EXHIBIT A

Nb62Cos1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK -----x 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 4 22 Cr. 281 (JPO) V. 5 JOHN COSTANZO, JR., MANUEL RECIO, 6 Defendants. 7 Trial -----X 8 New York, N.Y. 9 November 6, 2023 9:25 a.m. 10 11 Before: 12 HON. J. PAUL OETKEN, 13 District Judge -and a Jury-14 **APPEARANCES** 15 16 DAMIAN WILLIAMS, United States Attorney for the 17 Southern District of New York SEBASTIAN A. SWETT EMILY S. DEININGER 18 MATHEW ANDREWS 19 Assistant United States Attorneys 20 MUKASEY FRENCHMAN LLP Attorneys for Defendant Costanzo 21 MARC L. MUKASEY MICHAEL F. WESTFAL 22 STEPHANIE GUABA TORREY K. YOUNG 23 GAINOR & DONNER 24 Attorneys for Defendant Recio RONALD GAINOR 25 AMBER E. DONNER

Nb62Cos1

APPEARANCES (continued) Also Present: Dean Iannuzzelli, Paralegal Specialist (USAO) Nerlande Pierre, Paralegal Specialist (USAO) Marie-Lou Serna, Paralegal (Gainor & Donner) Sal Chan, Paralegal (Mukasey Frenchman) Delise Jeffrey, Special Agent, F.B.I.

1 (Trial resumed; jury not present)

THE COURT: Good morning.

COUNSEL: Good morning.

THE COURT: Preliminary matters. I received some letters over the weekend, and let's start with -- well, I will start with the defense. Is Mr. Pagan being called.

MR. MUKASEY: That's our intention, Judge.

THE COURT: Government, what do you want to say?

MR. ANDREWS: Judge, at this point the -- you have read the letters. The question of service is what it is. You can take what you want from the letters. I think our ask for today is that Mr. Pagan be voir dired outside the presence of the jury about when he received the subpoena and what he knew about it because we believe the government is entitled to cross-examine him about it.

THE COURT: Well, I don't think he was served. I don't think service is based on actual notice, and I don't think I can ask him about when his lawyer told him about a subpoena. From what I understand, September 27, the government asked for confirmation that his lawyer would accept service.

No response. So the lawyer didn't accept service. Unless I am missing something. The fact that the lawyer accepted service on behalf of his company doesn't seem to be -- to constitute service on behalf of him. I think you need to serve him today, we will do the direct, and when he is served, I will tell him

he has to produce documents within 24 hours, and then when he produces them, you will do your cross.

MS. DEININGER: I think that's fine. The only thing I would note is the lawyer did tell us he was accepting service on Saturday and then rescinded that yesterday.

THE COURT: Is his lawyer here?

MR. MUKASEY: Judge, his lawyer is in Florida, as I understand it. We did speak to him yesterday, and suggested that he make himself available by phone, if possible. I think we, I think, maybe tried to facilitate him getting in touch with Mr. Hampton. I don't know that we have a dog in this fight, but we certainly told Mr. DeCoste that we thought the Court might entertain a telephonic meeting or discussion or consultation. My understanding is that he is in court somewhere else in Florida today or on the way to court, but I think you could get in touch with him by phone or he could get in touch with you by phone.

MR. SWETT: Your Honor, we don't intend to delay this trial on this issue, and so to the extent there was any doubt, we will hand the subpoena to Mr. Pagan. If we feel like there is cross-examination on that point, we will pursue that, and whatever documents we get we get. But I think we are fine moving forward in the current posture.

We do, however, also have the issue of whether he will be allocuted on his Fifth Amendment rights before he

testifies.

MR. MUKASEY: Before we get to the Fifth, is the idea to serve him with a subpoena before he gets into the courtroom? I mean, you are not going to do it on the witness stand right in front of the jury.

MR. SWETT: Right. We wouldn't give him the subpoena on the witness stand. I think what we would do, just because there is ambiguity as to whether he's been given notice of the subpoena yet, is we would just give it to him if we see him out in the hallways, and then we will decide whether we think this is something worth pursuing on cross-examination.

MR. MUKASEY: Well, you can't subpoen him in the hallway and then cross-examine him about his failure to produce documents pursuant to the subpoena, right? I mean that's sort of --

THE COURT: Well, I was hoping his lawyer would be here. There is an argument that he was served on Saturday afternoon because his lawyer said in an e-mail "I accept service on the understanding that our obligations begin today." What does that mean? That could be a unilateral understanding or it could be on a condition. I think it's ambiguous. If it's a condition, he has a point. It was not accepted. The condition wasn't accepted. It could have been, but it wasn't. And then he rescinded.

If it was a unilateral understanding, that is, I

accept, my understanding is that my obligations begin today, then he has been served as of Saturday.

MR. SWETT: Your Honor, can we confer for one second?

THE COURT: Sure.

(Counsel confer)

MR. SWETT: Your Honor, we are not going to explore this on cross-examination. I think, as the Court has noted, there is a messy record here, and so we don't need to resolve this particular issue.

The two issues, one of which I flagged, that we do think the Court should be aware of is, first, the fact that he's already invoked, and so we think it is appropriate to allocute him on his Fifth Amendment rights. The other point we want to flag for the Court and for defense counsel is that our understanding is that it is appropriate on cross-examination to ask him whether he previously refused to appear before the grand jury without asking him directly whether he invoked his Fifth Amendment rights, and we want to raise that with the Court, so that the Court is aware that line of questioning would come up on cross-examination.

MR. ANDREWS: And just to add to the record, your Honor, the government has also asked to speak with him multiple other times beyond the grand jury as well, but as Mr. Swett just said, we believe that based on Second Circuit precedent you can't ask specifically did you invoke. However, you can

ask the fact that he was served a subpoena and he chose not to attend.

THE COURT: He did not appear before the grand jury.

MR. ANDREWS: Right.

MR. MUKASEY: Well, Judge, obviously I don't represent Mr. Pagan, and I would be hesitant to take any position on this without hearing from his lawyer or having his lawyer respond. I don't know the history of Pagan getting a subpoena. I don't know if that was accepted, not accepted, served on him, not served on him, went through counsel, didn't go through counsel. I don't know what he knows about it.

I also don't understand what's relevant about the fact that he got a grand jury subpoena and refused to testify. If you are not drawing a negative inference from that, what are you doing?

MS. DEININGER: He was served in person and it was only after that that he retained counsel with regard to that particular subpoena. And there is clear case law from the Supreme Court and the Second Circuit saying that witnesses can be cross-examined. Is it goes to bias and credibility on prior silence, on the prior silence as opposed to their willingness to testify in a defense case. We don't intend to elicit the fact that he invoked his Fifth Amendment right, but the fact that he refused to, you know, meet with us and talk to us or appear before the grand jury while talking to defense counsel

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 is a straightforward issue of bias.

MR. ANDREWS: And we would also add, your Honor, that before he testifies you could even instruct him he should not say on the record in front of the jury that you had invoked your Fifth Amendment right and that would address any concern in terms of --of that accidentally coming up.

MR. MUKASEY: I would like to look at that case law and I would also like to note for the record we have tried to be as transparent as possible in terms of who is going to be our potential witnesses. And every witness, virtually every witness we have disclosed has all of a sudden been bombarded with subpoenas and, you know, what we think is obstacles to allowing them to testify. So before I say, you know, I'm not going to object to questions about grand jury subpoenas to Pagan and his apparent refusal to testify, I don't know what his reaction was, I don't know what his lawyer said to Mr. Andrews or Mr. Swett. I would want to look at that case law. I think that is -- what's the argument that you make on summation? Ladies and gentlemen, remember he got a grand jury subpoena and he refused to testify? What do you think about that? I mean, if that's not using his silence against him, I'm not sure what is. I would like to see that case law and appreciate it. He is not a defendant in this case. He is an ordinary citizen who has a right to invoke his Fifth. sure what the relevance is to his invoking his Fifth other than

1 to draw t

to draw the negative inference.

THE COURT: It's not that he invoked the Fifth, it's that he did not testify before the grand jury.

MR. MUKASEY: Okay. Without saying that he invoked his Fifth, they are going to say that he refused to testify or he just failed to testify? I mean if he failed to testify, it could be because they decided they didn't need him. Obviously the inference is he refused to testify.

MR. ANDREWS: Well, Judge, that is not actually what the inference is. As Ms. Deininger pointed out before, the Supreme Court has said silence can be used in order to impeach the witness based on prior bias. The witness has been asked repeatedly, multiple times, to both speak with the government and speak in front of the grand jury. He has declined every one of those times, and his testimony is going to be today that all of this was completely innocent, there was nothing to hide, the defendants are being wrongfully prosecuted and we are allowed to impeach him over the fact that over this entire time period he never spoke to anybody—the government, the grand jury—about what he is saying today is entirely innocent activity.

THE COURT: Okay. I haven't looked at this case law at least not recently. Do you have cites or --

MS. DEININGER: I have one handy. It's U.S. v. Caro, 637 --

THE COURT: Hold on one second.

MS. DEININGER: 637 F.2d 869, and this is a case in which the witness in question was the defendant himself, not a civilian witness, but the question was whether the government could introduce evidence of the defendant's silence in order to cross-examine them in prior interactions with law enforcement. And that principle, I think, we think is essentially the same here. And I believe that *Caro* cites to the underlying relevant Supreme Court cases as well and the same idea, which is, that someone's refusal to talk to law enforcement is a proper matter for cross-examination as to their credibility and bias if they later then choose to voluntarily get up and testify either in their own defense or in someone else's defense.

THE COURT: All right. I'll take a look. I'm not sure when, but are there any other -- is there anything else in the government's case, first of all?

MR. SWETT: Your Honor, we are going to introduce a text message and two attachments to that text message and then we will rest.

THE COURT: Okay. Is there any defense witness before Pagan?

MR. MUKASEY: Yes, there are two before Pagan, both about 30 minutes.

THE COURT: Any issues with them?

MR. SWETT: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay, so we can start.

MR. MUKASEY: And, Judge, did your Honor want us to make Rule 29 applications after the government rests at sidebar? Are you going to send the jury back in? However you want to do it.

THE COURT: As a procedural matter, can you do it now?

I guess not. The appellate guy is saying no. I would rather

not.

MR. MUKASEY: I would rather not do it now, right.

MR. GAINOR: And, your Honor, also I think the government's rebuttal case, the earliest it begins is tomorrow, so perhaps maybe after, when the jury gets sent home, we make the Rule 29. I don't know what works better for the Court, but after the witnesses that are scheduled for today, that might be it.

THE COURT: Right, but I think, as a procedural matter, at least, we need to do it at sidebar, so a formal motion is clearly made at the close of the government's case. We can do that at sidebar and then you can expound on it at the end of the day or something like that.

MR. MUKASEY: Right.

And just scheduling wise, assuming there is no nuclear problem today, I think we discussed with the government that your Honor will probably get somewhere in the neighborhood of four hours worth of summations, probably one, one, one and a

half for their main summation and 30 minutes for their rebuttal.

THE COURT: I think we will do them tomorrow.

MR. MUKASEY: So if the rebuttal case starts at 9:30 and ends at 10:30 or 11:00, your Honor would be inclined to go right into summations for the rest of the day and then charge on Wednesday morning?

THE COURT: Wait. Say that again.

MR. MUKASEY: Your Honor would be inclined to do all the summations tomorrow, correct?

THE COURT: Yes, if possible. It depends when we finish tomorrow.

MR. MUKASEY: And then charge them on Wednesday morning. I'm just mindful of the fact that we have this sort of short week that's going to create sort of this artificial deadline for them of Thursday afternoon, and I want them to have as much time as possible, as much as they need, and not have some artificial backstop, which is why I am trying to play out the --

THE COURT: I think it's impossible to say now because I don't know how long these witnesses are and I don't know if the government is going to have a rebuttal case and how long that's going to be.

MR. SWETT: Your Honor, we also want to see what these witnesses say but we are lining up two very short rebuttal

witnesses for tomorrow. They are both — one is in Florida, one is in Texas, and we are flying them in tonight. So if defense counsel finishes with their witnesses before the end of the full jury day, we would not be prepared to put on a rebuttal case, but by tomorrow morning we could have our rebuttal case done we think within an hour or an hour and a half max.

THE COURT: Okay. So then we go to closings tomorrow.

MR. MUKASEY: Could we find out who the rebuttal witnesses are?

MR. SWETT: Sure. It's David Kubiliun, who was on our original witness list, and it's Katie Foster who was also on our original witness list.

THE COURT: Well, if that's the case, then I think we would be hopefully able to close and charge the jury on Wednesday.

MS. DEININGER: Which would be to push forward if the time allows.

MR. MUKASEY: Are you saying close the jury Wednesday or tomorrow?

MS. DEININGER: Sorry, tomorrow.

THE COURT: Tomorrow.

MR. MUKASEY: We also have a preliminary read on Caro.

I want to have a chance to read that before the Pagan thing
happens. Does your Honor want us to try to get the Court in

touch with Pagan's lawyer? I think that might be a prudent thing to do for Mr. Pagan, although we don't represent him.

THE COURT: I am planning to ask him about the Fifth Amendment outside the presence of the jury, and I guess it would make sense to talk to the lawyer about the documents issue, because either he was served on Saturday or he will be served today, assuming he is here in person, with a subpoena, and there would be an obligation to produce documents before the cross. And if the government wants to take a break and review any documents, you can, or you can decide not to. But unless the government tells me you don't care about the subpoena now . . .

MR. SWETT: I think we need to see what he says on direct, and I think we need to see what he brings with him, if anything. I think there are some documents that we care about, and we don't know if he intends to bring those documents or if he has them with him already. But, again, we don't want this to unnecessarily delay the trial. That's our primary concern here.

THE COURT: Do you think it makes sense to have a call with his lawyer?

MR. SWETT: As in the Court having a call with his lawyer on the record?

THE COURT: On the record with counsel and me, yeah.

MR. SWETT: Your Honor, I don't know how much that's

going to accomplish because he is taking the stand today. The documents he has are — the documents he is able to produce today are going to be what they are, and I don't really know if there is a realistic remedy on our end even if the Court were to find that we were entitled to some sort of remedy. So for the time being, we don't think that's necessary.

I think we would just make sure he gets the subpoena before he takes the stand and see what documents he produces, and maybe when his direct is finished we could have a brief recess and figure out if we need a little more time. But, again, we want to get through these defense witnesses today.

MR. MUKASEY: I don't oppose that, I just want to point out that the wrestling match between the government and Pagan and his lawyer should not prejudice our client; and serving him with a subpoena, putting him on the witness stand, serving him with a subpoena in the hallway, and then saying "how come you haven't produced any documents" in front of the jury is a bit of a gimmick and a bit unfair to Mr. Costanzo. So I don't mind if they serve him with a subpoena, but I don't think cross-examination about why he didn't go and grab his documents from Florida and come back here and produce them is fair play. That's a stunt.

THE COURT: You are not planning to ask about that, are you?

MR. SWETT: Your Honor, I don't know if it helps our

case to ask him why he didn't serve -- or produce documents for a subpoena he got a few hours before. I think it's really more just to the extent he is going to produce documents that removes any doubt as to whether he's been served under the subpoena.

THE COURT: Do you know if he is planning to produce documents today? Do you have any understanding about that?

MR. SWETT: Your Honor, it's been a moving target with his attorney. When the attorney called late Friday night, he said that they were gathering documents and would produce. There was back-and-forth on Saturday where the attorney indicated that he had accepted service, but since then I'm not sure we can rely on those prior representations.

MR. MUKASEY: We are going to want a little while, you know, 10, 15 minutes to take a look at *Caro* before the cross-examination.

MR. GAINOR: And obviously with regard to Mr. Recio, we make the same arguments, have the same position.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, let's go ahead with what we can. You are going to do two witnesses before Mr. Pagan, right?

MR. MUKASEY: Correct.

THE COURT: Why don't we go ahead and finish the government's case now then you will rest and we will go to sidebar for motion of the defendants and then maybe I will give

them a break at that point and even though it will be pretty quick, and then we will resume with defendant's case.

How long do you think the remainder of government's case will be?

MR. SWETT: Two to four minutes.

THE COURT: Okay. And then the first defense witness

MR. MUKASEY: Is Neil Ross.

THE COURT: Are you ready to go with him?

MR. MUKASEY: Yes.

THE COURT: So we will proceed with that after the sidebar. All right. Can we bring out the jury? Get the jury.

(Continued on next page)

24

25

1 (Jury present) 2 THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Please be seated. Welcome back. 3 Mr. Swett. 4 MR. SWETT: Thank you, your Honor. 5 6 At this time the government would offer Government 7 Exhibits 1037, 1037A and 1037B. THE COURT: Any objection. 8 9 MR. MUKASEY: No objection. 10 THE COURT: They are receive received. 11 (Government's Exhibits 1037, 1037A, 1037B received in 12 evidence) 13 MR. SWETT: Let's briefly publish for the jury 14 Government Exhibit 1037. This is a What's App chat thread. 15 There is Umberto with the gray messages and JC with the green 16 messages. 17 I'm going to start reading the third gray message in the middle of this page dated January 31, 2019. I'm going to 18 19 read these first two messages -- excuse me, that message and 20 the one that follows. 21 Umberto, in gray, says: "A reliable acquaintance 22 (Daniel Garcia Barragan also a lawyer) recommended Lic. Sergio 23

Becerril Vega as a criminal lawyer in Mexico, cell" and a long string of numbers, "e-mail," e-mail address.

Costanzo, in green, responded: "Okay. Manuel Recio,

```
my partner, gonna contact him to see if he is interested in
meeting next week. We have a shit ton of attorneys read to go.
How is everything going? Do you need anything?"
         We can take that down.
         Your Honor, at this time the government rests.
         THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
         MR. MUKASEY: We have an application, Judge.
         THE COURT: Yeah. We will go to the sidebar.
         (Continued on next page)
```

(At the sidebar)

MR. WESTFAL: Your Honor, pursuant to Rule 29 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, Special Agent Costanzo moves for judgment of acquittal based on the government's failure to introduce sufficient evidence to sustain a conviction on each of the four counts against Special Agent Costanzo. As to each element of each of the four counts, the government has failed to introduce sufficient evidence to sustain a conviction beyond a reasonable doubt.

Go through the counts?

THE COURT: You don't need to.

MR. WESTFAL: I can go through that after, if that is sufficient.

THE COURT: That is sufficient to make your motion.

MR. WESTFAL: Okay. I just want to go through two more points.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. WESTFAL: As to the aiding and abetting allegation, the government has failed to introduce sufficient evidence to establish beyond a reasonable doubt that Special Agent Costanzo aided and abetted the commission of any crime.

As to venue, with respect to each of the four counts against Special Agent Costanzo, the government has failed to introduce sufficient evidence to establish by a preponderance that Special Agent Costanzo or anyone else committed an act in

furtherance of any of the charged counts in the Southern
District of New York.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to respond?

MR. GAINOR: Do you want me to put mine on the record too?

THE COURT: Yeah. You can go ahead.

MR. GAINOR: Your Honor, on behalf of Mr. Recio, we would reassert all previously made objections, pretrial motions, and motions for mistrial. In addition, we would be adopting the arguments of codefendant Costanzo and also echo the fact that, with regard to Mr. Recio, on each count that he is charged, the government has failed to produce evidence sufficient for conviction, sufficient to establish a prima facie case.

That's what I have at this time.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Swett.

MR. GAINOR: We would reassert, obviously, all of this, including the venue argument, as well.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SWETT: Sure.

So on the aiding and abetting, that is the indictment charged in section 2, which is the aiding and abetting statute, but that's also inherent in every charge, so there is no reason to rule on an aiding and abetting theory when it is baked into the charges that are contained in the substantive

counts, so we would oppose a Rule 29 on an aiding and abetting theory. The jury will be charged on that, and the jury can make that determination. But that is part of the statute itself. That's part of the crime.

And with respect to venue, as the Court heard at trial, there was a phone call in which Mr. Recio called Special Agent Costanzo. There was a stipulated agreement to that phone call heard in Manhattan, where they discussed an HSI case involving an individual named AAO and how HSI was coming for this person and how, I believe the line was, his world is about to come crashing down, and Costanzo said, well, he needs to hire you. So this is clearly a conversation about the scheme where one of the participants is in the Southern District of New York.

Furthermore, Special Agent Costanzo and David Macey traveled to New York together to attend a Yankees game, a game that David Macey paid for and a game that was designed to impress and to curry favor with another senior DEA agent, an individual named Nic Palmeri, as demonstrated by subsequent text messages where Costanzo and Recio discussed how they were going to, quote, hold Mexico because of Palmeri's promotion there.

So there is clearly evidence that acts occurred in Southern District of New York. All of these are continuing offenses for purposes of venue, so we don't need to prove that

Nb62Cos1

a specific act or that specific acts occurred as long as one act as part of the overall course of conduct occurred in the Southern District of New York. We have established venue, which we have clearly done for purposes of a Rule 29 and for purposes of the ultimate decision in this case.

THE COURT: Okay. The motion is made as to both defendants. I am reserving decision until after the close of all the evidence on both.

COUNSEL: Thank you.

(Continued on next page)

Nb62Cos1 Ross - Direct

1 (In open court)

THE COURT: Mr. Mukasey, Ms. Young, who ever wants to

3 go.

4

5

6

7

8

9

MR. MUKASEY: Your Honor, the defense chooses to put on a case, and our first witness is Neil Ross.

NEIL ROSS,

called as a witness by the defendant Costanzo,

having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

THE COURT: Ms. Young.

- 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 11 BY MS. YOUNG:
- 12 | Q. Good morning, Mr. Ross.
- 13 A. Good morning.
- 14 | Q. Where do you work?
- 15 | A. I work in Miami, at Ross Home Loans, a mortgage brokerage.
- 16 | Q. What is your current title at Ross Home Loans?
- 17 A. President.
- 18 | Q. How long have you worked there?
- 19 A. Since 2004.
- 20 | Q. And generally what does your role at Ross Home Loans
- 21 | entail?
- 22 | A. I focus on the operation, origination, and other activities
- 23 | for the company.
- 24 | Q. And the company is a mortgage company?
- 25 A. Yes, mortgage brokerage company.

Ross - Direct

- Q. And how does your company relate to mortgage wholesale companies?
- 3 A. As a mortgage broker, we are the intermediary between a
- 4 | borrower and a lender, which would include a wholesale lender.
 - Q. And did there come a time when you assisted John Costanzo
- 6 | with a mortgage?
- 7 | A. Yes.

5

- 8 Q. Could you please look around the courtroom and do you
- 9 recognize anyone?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Would you please identify John Costanzo, Jr.?
- 12 A. He is over there.
- 13 | Q. Perhaps by an article of clothing.
- 14 A. He has a tie. I'm color blind. He is next to the bald
- 15 guy, nothing against bald people.
- 16 \parallel THE COURT: My deputy is next to the bald guy, too.
- In the second table on the right there?
- 18 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 19 THE COURT: Next to Mr. Mukasey.
- 20 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 21 THE COURT: He's identify Mr. Costanzo.
- MR. MUKASEY: A handsome bald guy.
- 23 BY THE COURT:

- 24 | Q. So around what time did you assist John with a mortgage?
 - A. I believe it was around 2019.

Nb62Cos1

Ross - Direct

- 1 | Q. And what type of mortgage did you assist him with?
- 2 A. It was to finance the purchase of a property.
- 3 | Q. Was that property residential or commercial?
- 4 A. Residential.
- 5 Q. And where was the property located?
- 6 A. Coral Gables, Florida.
- 7 Q. And was there a wholesale mortgage company involved in the
- 8 purchase?
- 9 | A. Yes, United Wholesale Mortgage.
- 10 | Q. And could you please explain the relationship of Ross Home
- 11 Loans to United Wholesale Mortgage for the purpose of John's
- 12 | mortgage application?
- 13 A. We would gather the information from John and then present
- 14 | it to United Wholesale, which would then underwrite the file
- 15 and make the decision.
- 16 | Q. And were you personally the mortgage broker for purposes of
- 17 | this transaction?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. So you have personal knowledge from the time of John's
- 20 | mortgage application?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And you communicated with John?
- 23 A. Back then, yes.
- 24 | Q. And how did you communicate with him?
- 25 A. Telephone.

3

8

17

Ross - Direct

- Q. And you just described being sent information. What types of information did you gather?
 - A. Income, assets, credit, and other information.
- Q. And how were you paid for your role in this mortgage application?
- A. Upon closing of the application, we received an origination fee.
 - Q. And who paid the origination fee?
- 9 A. Back then it could have been either the lender or the borrower.
- Q. Sal, could you please pull up GX 434, which is already in evidence, at page 1667.
- Mr. Ross, do you recognize this document?

 Sorry, 1667.
- 15 A. This would be part of the loan application documents that
 16 are packaged through the transaction.
 - Q. And is this a standard form for the loan application?
- 18 A. It's a standard uniform loan application.
- Q. And what type of information did John provide for purposes of this loan application?
- A. It would include his address, personal information, such as, age, Social Security, it would include where he lived for at least two years, where he worked for at least two years, income information, asset information, authorization to pull
- 25 | credit.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. And why does the mortgage company collect all of this information?
- 3 \parallel A. That's my job.
- Q. Why is the financial picture for the applicant important for your job?
 - A. So we take that information and we put it into a system that goes to Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac. You don't get a loan necessarily for this type of transaction through Bank of America, Wells Fargo. Institutions like that, or us, go to Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac. Those institutions have certain guidelines. So we take information from someone like John, put it in the system. Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac then make the determination if it's eligible for a loan. If it's eligible
- 14 for a loan, we send that information to United Wholesale.
- 15 Q. Sal, if we could please go to page 2016.
- What is the title of this page?
- 17 A. Disclosure history.
- 18 Q. And what type of information is logged here?
- 19 A. It looks like the interest it shows when the interest 20 rate was locked.
- 21 | Q. And what's that date here?
- 22 Sal, if you could scroll back up still.
- 23 A. January 18, 2019, 6:35 p.m.
- Q. I would like to direct your attention now to page 32. What
- 25 is this portion of the mortgage?

Nb62Cos1 F

Ross - Direct

1 A. An appraisal.

2

5

6

7

- Q. And is this a standard process?
- 3 A. Yes. When you obtain financing, the lender will request
- 4 an appraisal of the property to determine the value.
 - Q. Sal, we could please go to page 53 and then scroll a little bit.

What are these images of?

- A. The actual subject property—the front, the rear, and then
 eventually there should be that's a street view, and then
 eventually interior, the side view, side view, street view, the
 community name, the unit number for the subject property, the
- patio, the AC compressor, the handler, the front door entry,
- 13 the foyer, laundry, staircase.
- 14 Q. We could stop there.
- So these are photographs of the specific unit that is subject to the loan, is that right?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. Sal, if you could please now go to page 75.
- 19 What is the title of this page?
- 20 A. Loan approval conditions.
- 21 | Q. And what was the appraised value?
- 22 | A. Appraised value \$530,000.
- 23 Q. And what was the purchase price?
- 24 A. \$470,000.
- 25 | Q. Does that mean there was equity in the condo from the time

Nb62Cos1 Ross - Direct

- 1 of purchase?
- 2 A. \$60 in equity.
- 3 | Q. And what was the down payment?
- 4 A. I believe it was 20 percent. Yes, 20 percent.
- 5 | Q. And is that a standard amount?
- 6 A. Yes.

- Q. And, Sal, if you could scroll up just slightly.
- 8 A. 20 percent is \$94,000.
- 9 Q. Thank you. The column that says—16, scrolling up just
- 10 | slightly—"housing/debt ratios," could you please explain
- 11 | that?
- 12 A. Sure. So one of the guidelines that the underwriter looks
- 13 at is the amount of debt a borrower has relative to the
- 14 | income, and usually you need to have below a certain ratio,
- 15 such as, 45 percent, and many times 49.99 percent. In this
- 16 case, his ratio is 27.64 percent, which is much lower than what
- 17 | is required.
- 18 | Q. And in this instance, low is good, is that fair to say?
- 19 A. Positive.
- 20 | Q. So he was a solid candidate, then, for the loan?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 | Q. And in the middle column, FICO, what does that mean for
- 23 purpose of this loan?
- 24 A. Another factor they determine is credit score and history,
- 25 and usually you need to have over a 640 or a 600. In this case

Ross - Direct

1603

- he had a 771. The highest band starts at equal to or greater than 740.
- Q. And then in the far right column, "submission date"? What does that mean?
 - A. The date that the file was actually submitted to the wholesale lender.
 - Q. And here that is January 23, 2019, is that right?
- 8 A. Correct.

5

6

7

9

- Q. And then in the lower part of the screen, where it says "conditions closing," what are these items that are listed?
- 11 A. After the underwriter reviews the documentation submitted, 12 they issue a list of conditions the borrower has to clear, and
- 13 | this is a list of those conditions.
- 14 Q. And then here it says, "Gift funds from John Costanzo, Sr.
- are being transferred prior to release of final closing package
- in the amount of \$50,000. Provide a copy of the cashier's
- 17 check or wire directly to the settlement agent. If the gift
- amount is exceeded, provide an updated, fully executed gift
- 19 | letter."
- 20 So were there gift funds in John's mortgage
- 21 | transaction?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 | Q. And who provided those funds?
- 24 A. His father, John Costanzo, Sr.
- 25 | Q. And what was required at closing for those gift funds to be

1 received?

- 2 A. An executed gift letter and a copy of the cashier's check
- 3 or wire directly to the settlement agent from the source.
- 4 | Q. Now I want to direct your attention to GX 434A. Do you
- 5 recognize this form?
- 6 A. This is a standard gift letter completed for the
- 7 | transaction.
- 8 Q. And, Sal, if you could please highlight at the top, it's a
- 9 | little cut off, but do you recognize what it says up here,
- 10 Mr. Ross?
- 11 | A. Yes. It says, "Ross Home Loans license number,
- 12 originator."
- 13 Q. And so is this your form?
- 14 A. Yes, it comes from an origination system called Calyx
- 15 | Point.
- 16 Q. Sal, if you could actually zoom in.
- 17 It's a little bit covered up by the government exhibit
- 18 stamp, but what does that say?
- 19 A. Calyx form.
- 20 \parallel Q. So this is a standard form, is that what you called it?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 | Q. Okay. If we could zoom back out, please, Sal.
- Now, did you provide this form to John Costanzo, Jr.?
- 24 A. Yes. Me or one of my staff would have provided it to him.
- 25 Q. And so he didn't draft the form. This is a standard form

- that you sent or someone from Ross Home Loans sent?
- A. Correct.
- 3 Q. And what information is requested on the form?
- 4 A. The donor's information, the amount from the donor, the
- 5 recipient, their relationship, and the source of the gift, such
- 6 as, which bank account.
- 7 Q. And why is this information requested when there is a gift
- 8 | for a mortgage?
- 9 A. To meet the guidelines, they want to make sure that it
- 10 comes from a family member or a fiancée or a fiancée's family
- 11 | member. They also want to make sure that it's a gift so that
- 12 | there is no -- so that the recipient doesn't have to pay back
- 13 | the donor.
- 14 | Q. And so when, in this instance, where the recipient doesn't
- 15 | have to pay back the donor, that would mean that John Costanzo,
- 16 | Jr. would not have to pay back John Costanzo, Sr.?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 | Q. And if the gift -- sorry, withdrawn.
- 19 Where in the form does it request that the donor
- 20 provide additional information beyond the bank account number
- 21 | from which the gift is being sent?
- 22 A. It does not.
- 23 | Q. And where on the form does it request identification of
- 24 additional information about the source of funds from the
- 25 donor, how the donor received funds?

Nb62Cos1 Ross - Cross

- 1 A. It does not.
- 2 Q. And does the form require identification of how long the
- 3 | funds have been in the source account?
- 4 A. It does not.
- 5 Q. Does the form request that the source provide the source's
- 6 own bank statements?
- 7 A. The form does not.
- 8 Q. And in this mortgage application for John Costanzo, Jr.,
- 9 what was required from the source at closing?
- 10 A. It would be a proof of the cashier's check or the wire.
- 11 | Q. From whom?
- 12 A. From John Costanzo, Sr., to the settlement agent. They
- 13 would want to see the cashier check cleared or the wire
- 14 between the two and this gift letter.
- 15 | Q. And were those two items provided?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- MS. YOUNG: Nothing further.
- 18 THE COURT: Mr. Swett.
- 19 MR. SWETT: Thank you, your Honor.
- 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 21 BY MR. SWETT:
- 22 | Q. Good morning, Mr. Ross.
- 23 A. Good morning.
- 24 | Q. Mr. Ross, how did you end up working for John Costanzo on
- 25 | this mortgage origination?

Nb62Cos1 Ross - Cross

- 1 A. He was referred to me.
- 2 | Q. Was he referred to you by an attorney?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Was this an individual named Umberto Bonavita?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. This is an attorney who specializes in yachts, right?
- 7 A. Yachts and corporate title.
- 8 Q. Have you worked --
- 9 A. Corporations, sorry.
- 10 Q. Yachts and corporations.
- 11 And you have worked with Mr. Bonavita in the past?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. And he's referred clients to you in the past?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And I think you said on direct that this would have been
- 16 sometime in 2019, right?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. Do you think it was probably beginning of the year in 2019?
- 19 Does that make sense?
- 20 A. Well, when the actual relationship was introduced?
- 21 | Q. Exactly.
- 22 | A. It could have been slightly before then, but the process
- 23 | started officially sometime in January 2019, I believe.
- 24 | Q. So prior to Mr. Bonavita referring this case to you, you
- 25 | had never met Special Agent Costanzo before?

Nb62Cos1

Ross - Cross

- A. Until we started working together, I don't recall meeting

 John before.
- Q. Okay. Why don't we pull up Government Exhibit 1037, which is in evidence.
 - Okay. Mr. Ross do you see that on your screen?
- 6 A. Yes.

5

7

- Q. Do you see that this says "What's App chat thread" at the top?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. And then there is an Umberto, right?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. I believe you just testified that you have worked with an
- 13 Umberto Bonavita, right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. And then those messages are in gray, correct? If you
- 16 | look --
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. -- to the right of the header?
- 19 | A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. And then underneath it says there is messages from JC,
- 21 | right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. Those are John Costanzo's initials, correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 | Q. It says those messages are in green, isn't that right?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 | Q. Then there is system messages in blue, right?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 | Q. Why don't we just read this. It's this page and then a
- 5 | little bit on the next page. I will read the gray messages and
- 6 then you can read the green messages, is that okay?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Do you need us to blow it up for you?
- 9 A. A little.
- 10 | Q. Okay. And it's hard to see now, but if you see sort of on
- 11 | the right-hand side of the screen, these messages are from
- 12 | January 31, 2019, right?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. And that's about when you recall beginning your
- 15 | relationship with John Costanzo, right?
- 16 A. Around that time.
- 17 | Q. Okay. So there is a system message that says "messages to
- 18 | this chat and calls are now secured with end-to-end encryption.
- 19 | Tap for more info." And then Umberto sends two attachments.
- 20 So why don't you read that first green message?
- 21 | A. "Wow, nice."
- 22 | Q. "A reliable acquaintance (Daniel Garcia Barragan also a
- 23 | lawyer) recommended Lic. Sergio Becerril Vega as a criminal
- 24 | lawyer in Mexico, cell" and there is a number, "e-mail" and
- 25 then there is a number.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

- A. "Okay. Manuel Recio, my partner, gonna contact him to see if he is interested in meeting next week. We have a shit ton of attorneys ready to go. How is everything going? Do you need anything?"
- Q. All right. Then can we --
- A. "We may be able to close next week. Neil sent you an e-mail. Can you send him or have send him the final close costs. Don't break your back. I'm willing to pay, but he needs to closing cost to finalize shit."
- Q. Okay. And then if we go to the next page, Umberto responds, "Will handle tomorrow."

So maybe starting from the bottom and going up, your name, obviously, is Neil, correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. And when you see the phrase "closing costs," what do you understand that to mean?
 - A. At the end of the transaction, there are a list of items needed to close the transaction. That must be referring to the closing costs for the transaction.
- Q. Okay. And then before that there are these messages about criminal lawyer in Mexico and then this person, Manuel Recio.

 As far as you know, did that have anything to do with the real
- 24 A. I don't know anything about Manuel Recio.

estate transaction you were involved in?

Q. Have you ever heard anything about an individual named

- 1 | Manuel Recio?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 | Q. Okay. In January of 2019, when you started working with
- 4 John Costanzo, did you know what he did for work?
- 5 A. Manuel or John?
- 6 Q. John Costanzo.
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. What was his job?
- 9 A. He was involved in the government. I think he was DEA.
- 10 | Q. Okay. But you don't know whether this individual, Manuel
- 11 Recio, was his partner in the DEA or anything like that?
- 12 A. No, I don't know.
- 13 | Q. Okay.
- 14 All right. Now, Mr. Ross, you are a mortgage broker,
- 15 || right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. And you are a licensed mortgage broker?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Are mortgage brokers required to obtain licenses?
- 20 | A. Now they are, yes.
- 21 | Q. Okay. And being a licensed mortgage broker carries some
- 22 requirements, right?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. And one of those requirements is that you have to continue
- 25 | taking classes in your field, isn't that right?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. That basically means that you can keep up with developments
- 3 | in the law and be aware of issues that might arise in your line
- 4 of work, is that right?
- 5 A. Sometimes that's included in the courses.
- 6 Q. Okay. And so you work out of Florida, right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. And in Florida, the continuing education requirement is
- 9 | eight hours of classes every year, is that right?
- 10 A. I believe it's -- yes, it's seven hours for certain topics
- 11 | and one hour for Florida.
- 12 | Q. Okay. And that includes training on ethics, right?
- 13 A. From time to time that can be one of the courses.
- 14 | Q. And that also includes trainings on frauds, as well, right?
- 15 A. Yes. Well. From time to time, there will be topics about
- 16 | fraud, yes.
- 17 | Q. Now it looked like you had quite a reaction when I said
- 18 | fraud. Is that a big topic for mortgage brokers?
- 19 | A. It's an important topic for me. I don't necessarily know
- 20 many focus on it. I have a compliance attorney which, yeah,
- 21 we actually take another step and we retained an attorney. I
- 22 | have had no issues, but I thought it shows that we are going
- 23 beyond the requirements of what we are supposed to do.
- 24 | Q. Right. Because, obviously, there are a number of ways
- 25 | that people can commit fraud in terms of acquiring mortgages,

- 1 | isn't that right?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 | Q. I mean, you are familiar with the term "mortgage fraud,"
- 4 | aren't you?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. And there is --
- 7 A. There is actually a disclosure that we send out regarding
- 8 | it.
- 9 Q. You send it to your clients, is that right?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. So that they are aware that it could be a crime if they
- 12 provide false information to the bank, is that right?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 | Q. Now, obviously a mortgage broker shouldn't participate in
- 15 mortgage fraud, right?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 | Q. That could jeopardize your career, right?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 | Q. And it could result in criminal charges, isn't that right?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 | Q. Now, when you work with your clients, I think on direct you
- 22 | talked about a lot of information that you have to collect as
- 23 | part of preparing the loan application, right?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 | Q. Now, you are to some extent relying on what your clients

Nb62Cos1 Ross - Cross

1 give you when you put that information together, right?

A. Correct.

- 3 | Q. I mean, the loan document has like 2,000 pages, right?
- 4 A. It's a tremendous amount of pages.
- 5 Q. And there is a lot of information that goes into a loan
- 6 application, isn't that right?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. Now, obviously you are alert for red flags, isn't that
- 9 | right?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Can you just explain to the jury what a red flag?
- 12 | A. Yes. There are a number of items that you use to determine
- 13 | if there is someone trying to do something that would cause a
- 14 | concern.
- 15 | Q. But obviously not everything can be identified through red
- 16 | flags, isn't that right?
- 17 A. I mean, yeah, I would need an example.
- 18 Q. So let me ask this a different way.
- 19 At the end of the day, you are not able to verify
- 20 every piece of information that's provided --
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 | Q. -- in a loan application, right?
- 23 | A. Correct.
- 24 | Q. Okay. But you said that you send a notice to your clients
- 25 warning them about the penalties for mortgage fraud, is that

- 1 | right?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. And that's also baked into the entire loan process, isn't
- 4 | that right?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. There are a number of documents making it clear that
- 7 | applicants have to provide truthful information, isn't that
- 8 | right?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. They are warned about the penalties for not providing
- 11 | truthful information, isn't that right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. And that obviously includes providing information that's
- 14 | just false on its face, isn't that right?
- 15 A. False on the?
- 16 | O. False on its face.
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. But people can also engage in fraud by omitting material
- 19 | information, isn't that right?
- 20 A. That could potentially happen.
- 21 | Q. All right. Why don't we look at the loan files.
- So I would like to pull up Government Exhibit 434 and
- 23 we can go to page 154.
- 24 Mr. Ross, I'm not going to make you go through all
- 25 | 2,000 pages of this, but I do want to look at a few things.

Nb62Cos1 Ross - Cross

A. Sure.

- 2 | Q. All right. We are going to go to 154.
- Do you see at the top where it says "borrower's certification and authorization"?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And the date on this is January 22, 2019, is that right?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 | Q. So this is -- the borrower in this case was John Costanzo,
- 9 | Jr., correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. And these are certifications that he is making as part of
- 12 | his loan application, correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 | Q. All right. Why don't you read paragraph 1 of this
- 15 | certification?
- 16 A. "I have applied for a mortgage loan and, in applying for
- 17 | the loan, I have completed a loan application containing
- 18 | various information on the purpose of the loan, the amount and
- 19 source of the down payment, employment and income information,
- 20 | and assets and liabilities. I certify that all of the
- 21 | information is true and complete. I made no misrepresentations
- 22 | in the loan application or other documents, nor did I omit any
- 23 pertinent information."
- 24 | Q. Okay. So that last line or that last part "nor did I omit
- 25 any pertinent information" that gets to what I just asked you,

- 1 | right?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. That someone can misrepresent the facts by withholding
- 4 | relevant information from the loan company, right?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. Okay. And then can you read paragraph 4.
- 7 A. "I fully understand that it is a federal crime, punishable
- 8 | by fine or imprisonment, or both, to knowingly make any false
- 9 statements when applying for a mortgage, as applicable under
- 10 | the provisions of 18 USCA 1014."
- 11 | Q. Okay. And we can take this -- we can take this zoomed
- 12 portion down.
- And if we scroll down, who signed this document?
- 14 A. John Anthony Costanzo, January 22, 2019.
- 15 | Q. Okay. Let's jump ahead to page 237.
- Okay. Now, do you recognize this as the mortgage
- 17 | itself, the mortgage agreement?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. Okay. And if you see right underneath where it says
- 20 | "mortgage," there is a name and a loan number. So whose
- 21 | mortgage is this?
- 22 A. John Costanzo.
- 23 | Q. All right. Let's jump ahead to page 243, which is further
- 24 | in in this document and can we zoom in on paragraph 8, please.
- 25 A. Do you want me to read that?

Nb62Cos1

Ross - Cross

1 | Q. That would be great. Thank you.

2 A. "Borrower's loan application. Borrower shall be in default

3 | if, during the loan application process, borrower or any

4 persons or entities acting at the direction of borrower or with

borrower's knowledge or consent gave materially false,

6 | misleading, or inaccurate information or statements to lender

(or failed to provide lender with material information) in

8 | connection with the loan. Material representations include,

but are not limited to, representations concerning borrower's

occupancy of the property as borrower's principal residence."

11 Q. Okay. So this paragraph is saying that if the borrower, if

John Costanzo lied as part of the mortgage application, he

would actually be in default of his mortgage, is that right?

14 A. Yes.

5

7

9

10

12

13

16

18

19

21

15 Q. And that includes failing to provide material information

as part of that application, right?

17 | A. Yes.

Q. All right. Let's go to page 249.

Who signed this document up at the top?

- 20 A. John Anthony Costanzo.
 - Q. Okay. And then one more thing I want to look at in
- 22 || Government Exhibit 434. Can we just pull up page 276. Okay.
- 23 Can you read what it says at the top?
- 24 | A. "Mortgage fraud is investigated by the F.B.I." This is the

25 form we discussed.

Nb62Cos1

Ross - Cross

- 1 Q. This is the form that you provide your clients.
- 2 A. (Nodding head).
- 3 Q. So this is basically your way of saying mortgage fraud is
- 4 | really, really serious, right?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And you put it in capital letters right at the top, isn't
- 7 | that right?
- 8 A. Well, it's a standard form, but, yes, it has capital
- 9 | letters on top.
- 10 | Q. And then there is the big F.B.I. seal underneath, right?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 | Q. So John Costanzo would have seen this?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 Q. All right. Let's now pull up Government Exhibit 434A,
- 15 which you talked about with Ms. Young.
- 16 Mr. Ross, you gave a little bit of background on gift
- 17 | letters and gifts during your direct examination. Do you
- 18 remember that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. Now, I think what you said is that gifts can only come from
- 21 certain sources, is that right?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 | Q. And I think you also said that there are certain quidelines
- 24 | that Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac have when they are approving
- 25 mortgages, isn't that right?

Nb62Cos1 Ross - Cross

A. For the -- for the underwriter approved, correct.

Q. For the underwriter. So for Special Agent Costanzo's mortgage, was he only allowed to receive a gift from family

4 members?

A. Family members or a fiancée or a fiancée's family members, and I think back then possibly an employer.

Q. Possibly employer. Okay. And so obviously that requirement is one of the reasons why these gift letters are required, right?

A. Yes.

(Continued on next page)

NB6KCOS2 Ross - Cross

- 1 BY MR. SWETT:
- 2 | Q. Because the bank needs to know if the gift is coming from
- 3 an allowed source or a prohibited source, right?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. As you said, that was true for John Costanzo's mortgage as
- 6 | well, right?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. That he was only able to receive gifts from family members
- 9 | for this mortgage, correct?
- 10 A. Or a fiancee or possibly an employer, yes.
- 11 | Q. All right. Family member, fiancee, or employer.
- Now, Mr. Ross, it's obviously important to provide
- 13 | truthful information in these gift letters, right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. And, in fact, at the bottom, there is a warning that false
- 16 statements in connection with a gift letter could result in
- 17 | being charged with a crime, right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. Let's look a little bit at what this document says. This
- 20 document says that John Costanzo, Sr. was making a \$50,000
- 21 gift, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. And that represented a little over 50 percent of the total
- 24 down payment; is that right?
- 25 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Q. Now, let's look at paragraph 3. This paragraph says, "No repayment of the gift is expected or implied in the form of cash or by future services of the recipient."

So the reason the gift letter asked that question is because banks wanted to know if this gift is actually just another loan, right?

- A. Correct.
- Q. Because if it was another loan, that might impact the borrower's ability to repay their mortgage, right?
 - A. The debt-to-income ratio that we discussed.
- 11 | Q. Exactly.
 - Let's look at paragraph 4.
- 13 Why don't you read paragraph 4.
 - A. "The funds given to the homebuyer were not made available to the donor from any person or entity with an interest in the sale of the property, including the seller, real estate agent, or broker, builder, loan officer, or any entity associated with them."
 - Q. So this paragraph is saying that the funds can't come from someone with an interest in the sale, right?
- 21 A. Correct.
- Q. Because if someone had an interest in the sale, then it wouldn't really be a gift, right?
- 24 A. There's other guidelines that you would follow.
- 25 Q. Okay. So if someone has an interest in the sale, they're

NB6KCOS2 Ross - Cross

1 | not making an actual gift, they've got skin in the game, right?

A. Correct.

2

- 3 Q. And so, for example, if the money was really an investment
- 4 | in the property, with some ownership interest, that wouldn't be
- 5 | a gift, right?
 - MS. YOUNG: Objection; calls for speculation.
- 7 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 8 You can answer, if you understand.
- 9 | THE WITNESS: Can you ask it one more time?
- 10 | BY MR. SWETT:
- 11 | Q. Sure.
- 12 If someone gives \$50,000 towards the purchase of a
- 13 home, and they are getting an investment stake in that home,
- 14 | that's not really a gift, right?
- 15 A. I would say that that would not be a gift.
- 16 Q. Because they have an interest in the sale, right?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 | Q. So it just has to be a pure gift, plain and simple, right?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 | Q. No strings attached, right?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 | Q. Let's look at paragraph 5.
- 23 So this paragraph lists the source of the gift, right?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 | Q. And it's got a Wells Fargo account number, right?

NB6KCOS2 Ross - Cross

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 | Q. And then it says "personal savings," right?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. So this would be John Costanzo, Sr.'s personal savings,
- 5 | right?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. Now, I think you testified on direct that there was proof
- 8 of the transfer from Costanzo, Sr. towards the payment before
- 9 | the closing, right?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. So other than this gift letter, and other than that proof
- 12 of transfer, you don't know anything else about this gift,
- 13 | right?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 | Q. Did John Costanzo, Jr. tell you this was coming from his
- 16 | father?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. And you, in fact, saw that a payment did come from the
- 19 | father's account, right?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 | Q. So you don't know whether John Costanzo, Sr. received a
- 22 | \$50,000 payment from another person only a few weeks before,
- 23 || right?
- 24 A. I would not know that, but he can -- it's -- John, the
- 25 | father, can get the money in a number of different ways.

NB6KCOS2

Ross - Cross

That's outside the scope of what we're doing. 1 2 Q. And you don't know whether the person making that \$50,000 payment intended it to go to John Costanzo, Jr., right? 3 4 MS. YOUNG: Objection; calls for state of mind of 5 another individual. 6 THE COURT: Overruled. 7 THE WITNESS: Can you ask that a different way, please? 8 BY MR. SWETT: 9 10 Ο. Sure. 11 Let me approach this from a different angle. 12 You're familiar with the term "straw buyer," right? 13 Somewhat. Α. 14 It's like when you stick someone up, they don't have any 15 real interest in the transaction, but you use them --16 MS. YOUNG: Objection. 17 THE COURT: Sustained. 18 MR. SWETT: Okay. BY MR. SWETT: 19 20 Q. You just testified it doesn't matter how John Costanzo, Sr. 21 got the money; is that right? 22 Α. It's supposed to come from his father. 23 And what if someone gave John Costanzo, Sr. the money and

said give this to your son for his down payment, would that

25 matter?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Technically, as long as there's no strings attached to it, it's fine.

Q. So you're saying that five minutes before John Costanzo, Sr. sent the money, if another person handed him \$50,000 in cash and said use this to pay for John's closing, that's fine?

MS. YOUNG: Objection; misstates the evidence.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: You're saying if they gave the money to the father --

BY MR. SWETT:

- Q. And said use this to pay for your son's down payment, you're saying the bank wouldn't want to know that?
- A. The bank doesn't ask, because there's a -- instead of

 Fannie/Freddie, you can say, for example, FHA, it's a different

 type of loan product, and in that type of loan product, they

 have different guidelines, and they specifically ask to see the

 bank statement from the donor.
 - Q. Right, okay. Let me ask this a different way.

Could John Costanzo, Jr.'s friend have made a gift towards his mortgage under the type of loan that he —

A. Under the type of loan he has, I will tell you that it is very difficult to get a friend accepted. I've done it in the past. If you can document that the friend is like a brother that you've grown up with, but I will tell you, it is very

NB6KCOS2 Ross - Cross

1 rare.

- Q. Now, Special Agent Costanzo never said to you, hey, I'd
- 3 | like to get a gift from my friend, can you help me do that?
- 4 A. I don't recall.
- 5 | Q. He only ever mentioned his father making a gift, right?
- A. From the documentation, I mean, that's what I can go by,
- 7 but it's many years ago.
- 8 | Q. Now, let me ask you, if he had told you, I'm getting a gift
- 9 | from my friend, but I just want to route it through my dad so
- 10 | the bank approves, would you have been okay with that?
- 11 MS. YOUNG: Objection; speculative.
- 12 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 13 | THE WITNESS: If he had said that he was getting a
- 14 gift from a friend through his father?
- 15 BY MR. SWETT:
- 16 Q. That he just wanted to route it through his father so the
- 17 | bank wouldn't cause issues, would you have been okay with that?
- 18 A. I mean, I would want to make sure there's no strings
- 19 | attached to it so that there was no debt obligations or
- 20 payback, so that it was truly a gift. And I probably would
- 21 | have tried to suggest submitting a gift through the friend, if
- 22 | it was a friend that you've known for a long time, but it's a
- 23 | long shot.
- 24 | Q. Right, because if you're just using the dad as a front,
- 25 | you're lying to the bank, right?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

MS. YOUNG: Objection.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: I mean, the father could get the money from a credit card, the father could get the money from another loan, the father — so if the father gets the money from another source, in this situation, most of the time, the underwriters are not looking for that type of documentation.

And then, also, if I remember correctly, in John's situation, I believe he had other funds available as well to use for the down payment.

- BY MR. SWETT:
- Q. Now, you kept referring to the idea that these gifts have to be with no strings attached, right?
- 14 A. Correct.
- Q. If a friend says to John Costanzo, Sr., here are the funds, you have to use them for this, there are strings attached
- 17 | there, right?
- 18 A. That you have to use the funds for --
- 19 Q. For the down payment.
- 20 A. -- the down payment?
- 21 | Q. There are strings attached there, right?
- A. Well, I mean, there's no payback strings, so I guess you could say, technically, he's giving the funds, I don't want you
- 24 to go party and buy, you know, a car; he wants it to help him
- 25 get a house.

Q. Okay. So it's your testimony that requirements that the banks have in place about gifts can be circumvented by just funneling money through a family member and not telling the

bank?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

MS. YOUNG: Objection.

Q. Is that your testimony?

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: What I'm saying is if he's getting money where he has to pay it back, you have to pay a certain amount of interest back, you have to pay a certain something back in return, then that's not really a gift.

- 12 BY MR. SWETT:
- Q. Let me ask my question again, and maybe I can get a yes-or-no answer this time.
- 15 | A. I'm sorry.
 - Q. Is it your testimony that you can circumvent gift letter requirements by funneling the money through a family member and then sending it on to the --

MS. YOUNG: Objection.

THE COURT: Overruled.

- Q. I'd just like a yes or no.
- A. I'm going to say, no, because you're using the word

 "circumvent," and you're not supposed to try to circumvent -
 you know, you're not supposed to try to circumvent.
 - MR. SWETT: No further questions.

NB6KCOS2 Ross - Redirect

1 THE COURT: All right.

2 Redirect?

- 3 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 4 BY MS. YOUNG:
- 5 Q. Mr. Ross, number 3 on this form requires that "No repayment
- 6 of the gift is expected or implied in form of cash or by future
- 7 services of the recipient, "right?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. And, here, the recipient is who?
- 10 A. It would be John.
- 11 Q. All right. Junior or Senior?
- 12 A. Junior.
- 13 Q. And so if there is no repayment expected between Senior and
- 14 | Junior, that is the requirement by banks, correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- MS. YOUNG: And number 4, please.
- 17 | Q. "The funds given to the homebuyer were not made available
- 18 to the donor from any person or entity with an interest in the
- 19 | sale of the property, including the seller, real estate agent,
- 20 or broker, builder, loan officer, or any entity associated with
- 21 | them."
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. Are you aware of John, Sr. having any interest in the sale
- 24 of the property here?
- 25 A. Not that I'm aware of.

NB6KCOS2

25

1 MS. YOUNG: Nothing further. 2 THE COURT: All right. 3 Mr. Swett? 4 RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. SWETT: 5 6 Q. Did John Costanzo, Jr. ever mention someone named Edwin 7 Pagan to you? I don't remember that name. 8 9 Q. You're not familiar with any involvement by Edwin Pagan in 10 the purchase of the home? 11 I don't recall any -- I don't recall that name, I'm sorry. 12 And you're not aware that there has been testimony in this 13 trial that Edwin Pagan provided the gift --14 MS. YOUNG: Objection. 15 Q. -- for the down payment? 16 No, I'm not aware of that name, no. Α. 17 MR. SWETT: No further questions. 18 THE COURT: Anything further? 19 MS. YOUNG: Nothing further. 20 THE COURT: Thank you. 21 You may step down. 22 (Witness excused) 23 THE COURT: Defense, are you ready for your next 24 witness?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

MS. YOUNG: The defense calls Elgin Polo.

23

24

25

Paragraph 13: "Defense Exhibit JC 1018 are records from Experian."

records from Regions Bank."

25

THE COURT:

1633

Paragraph 14: "Defense Exhibits JC 1316 contain 1 2 records from the State of Florida Department of Highway Safety 3 and Division of Motor Vehicles." 4 Paragraph 15: "Defense Exhibits JC 1314 and JC 1317 5 contain records from USAA, and Defense Exhibits JC 1101 and 6 JC 1102 contain records from Vanguard." 7 Paragraph 21: "The exhibits listed above consist of 8 records that were kept and maintained in the course and regular 9 practice of the regularly conducted business activity of the 10 entities described above and were made at or near the time of 11 the matters set forth in the records by a person, or from 12 information transmitted by a person, with knowledge of the 13 matters set forth therein." 14 "It is further stipulated and agreed that this 15 stipulation may be received into evidence at trial." DIRECT EXAMINATION 16 17 BY MS. YOUNG: 18 Good morning, Mr. Polo. 0. 19 Good morning. Α. 20 Where do you work? Ο. 21 I work for an accounting firm in Miami, Florida. Α. 22 THE COURT: Do you intend to move those? 23 MS. YOUNG: Yes, sorry.

Any objection?

MR. ANDREWS: No objection.

NB6KCOS2

Polo - Direct

1 THE COURT: They're admitted. 2 (Defendants' Exhibits JC 742, JC 768A, JC 775A, JC 1311A, JC 1316, JC 1317, JC 1318 received in evidence) 3 4 MR. SWETT: Could she clarify what's been moved in 5 because some, I think, have already been moved in? I want to 6 make sure we have the right exhibits. 7 MS. YOUNG: Sure. JC 742, JC 768A, JC 775A, JC 1311A, JC 1316, JC 1317, 8 JC 1318. 9 10 Sorry, I believe for some of these, I just read the 11 full number, not just the excerpt, but the excerpt is anything 12 with an A is excerpted from that defense exhibit. 13 THE COURT: You're moving just the As? 14 MS. YOUNG: Yes. For 768A and 775A and 1311A. THE COURT: Did you also move 742? 15 MS. YOUNG: Yes. 16 1316, 1317, and 1318 at this time. 17 18 THE COURT: Any objection? 19 MR. ANDREWS: No objection. 20 THE COURT: They're admitted. (Defendants' Exhibits 1316, 1317, and 1318 received in 21 22 evidence) BY MS. YOUNG: 23 24 Apologies, Mr. Polo. Where do you work? Ο. 25 I work for an accounting firm, Forensic Partners.

NB6KCOS2

4

Polo - Direct

- 1 | Q. What is your title?
- 2 A. I'm a managing member and founding member of the company.
- 3 | Q. How long have you worked there?
 - A. I started my company last month.
- 5 | Q. Prior to starting the company, where did you work?
- A. I was a partner at a public accounting firm called Kabat,
- 7 | Schertzer, De La Torre, Teraboulos for the last 12 years.
- 8 THE COURT: Would you mind spelling those?
- 9 THE WITNESS: The first name is K-a-b-a-t, the second,
- 10 | S-c-h-e-r-t-z-e-r, then it's three words, D-e L-a T-o-r-r-e,
- 11 | and the last name is Teraboulos, T-e-r-a-b-o-u-l-o-s.
- 12 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 13 THE WITNESS: We go by -- they went by KSDT, so we
- 14 | didn't have to have a spelling test.
- 15 BY MS. YOUNG:
- 16 Q. Now I know why you have Forensic Partners.
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. Briefly, what are your qualifications to be the president
- 19 of Forensic Partners?
- 20 | A. All right. So I am a certified public accountant licensed
- 21 here in the State of New York. I'm also licensed in the State
- 22 | of Florida. I have an undergraduate degree in accounting from
- 23 | Binghamton University, and I have a Master's in business
- 24 administration from the University of Miami, Coral Gables,
- 25 | Florida.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

In addition to that, I've served as an expert witness in many matters — family law, divorce cases, as well as commercial disputes between partners and shareholders typically dealing with assets or valuation, and I have been serving in that role at various different accounting firms for the last 20 years, and last month, I started my own company handling forensic partner disputes.

- Q. When did you become involved in this case?
- 9 A. Last month.
- 10 | Q. What information were you provided?
- 11 A. I was provided the financial discovery, banking records,
- 12 | invoices, and other financial matters that was provided in
- 13 discovery.
- 14 | Q. You have no personal knowledge of the events of this case;
- 15 | is that correct?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 | Q. Do you know either of the defendants in this case?
- 18 A. No. I've never met them.
- 19 | Q. What were you engaged to do for this case?
- 20 A. To review the financial discovery, prepare exhibits, and
- 21 prepare -- and then testify to that.
- 22 | Q. Did anyone assist you in that process?
- 23 A. I had a team that helps me at my office, and that team
- 24 helped me. They're my employees.
- 25 | Q. Are you being paid for your services in this case?

NB6KCOS2 Polo - Direct

- 1 | A. I am.
- Q. By whom?
- 3 A. My cost is being divided between the two defendants.
- 4 | Q. What was your rate?
- 5 A. My hourly billing rate is \$290 an hour.
- 6 Q. Is there any past due balance for this case currently?
- 7 A. No, there's not.
- 8 | Q. Is your payment dependent in any way on the testimony you
- 9 provide today?
- 10 \parallel A. Not at all.
- 11 | Q. Are you employed by any of the law firms representing
- 12 | either of the defendants?
- 13 | A. No.
- 14 | Q. Have you ever previously been engaged by either of the law
- 15 | firms representing Mr. Recio or Mr. Costanzo?
- 16 A. No. It's my first engagement with both.
- 17 | Q. I'd like to turn your attention to the voluminous records
- 18 you were engaged to summarize.
- 19 You received bank records; is that right?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. And from a number of financial institutions, including Dade
- 22 | County Federal Credit Union?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- 24 | Q. Wells Fargo?
- 25 A. Yes.

NB6KCOS2 Polo - Direct

- 1 Q. USAA?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Regions Bank?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And U.S. Century Bank; is that right?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. Now I'd like to direct your attention to your summary
- 9 MS. YOUNG: Just for the witness, could you please 10 pull up DX JC 2621.
- 11 | Q. Do you recognize this document?
- 12 A. Yes.

8

13 \mathbb{Q} . What is it?

charts.

- 14 A. It's an analysis of banking transactions for -- the top of 15 the chart, it's Global Legal Consulting, and at the bottom is a
- separate, which is EBCO International Miami, and I prepared
- 17 | this.
- MS. YOUNG: At this time I'd like to offer DX JC 2621.
- MR. ANDREWS: No objection.
- 20 THE COURT: It's admitted.
- 21 (Defendants' Exhibit DX JC 2621 received in evidence)
- MS. YOUNG: Please publish.
- 23 BY MS. YOUNG:
- 24 | Q. One more time, what is the title of this chart?
- 25 A. "Analysis of Banking Transactions During November and

NB6KCOS2 Po.

Polo - Direct

1639

1 December 2018."

- Q. For the top portion of the page, what account were you summarizing?
- A. This is a U.S. Century Bank account ending in 5188, owned by Global Legal Consulting.
- Q. Do you recall what source documents you reviewed for purposes of making this chart?
- A. Yes. It specifically was the U.S. Century Bank account, and the source, GX 440.
- 10 Q. Could you please describe the chart columns here?
- 11 A. Yes. The first column is the date showing -- the next
 12 column showing the beginning balance before the transactions
 13 occurred. The third column are withdrawals or checks or
- 15 the next column are deposits or other credits or additions to

debits, meaning money being removed from the account. And then

- the bank account. And then the last column is the ending balance as a result of the transaction.
- 18 Q. Who or what owns account number 5188 at U.S. Century Bank?
 - A. Global Legal Consulting.
- Q. Based on your review of the bank records, do you know who owns Global Legal Consulting?
- 22 A. Yes.

14

- 23 | Q. Who is that?
- 24 A. Manny Recio.
- 25 Q. Was John Costanzo, Jr. identified as a financial account

NB6KCOS2

Polo - Direct

1640

- 1 | owner of Global Legal Consulting?
- 2 | A. No.

5

- 3 Q. Now, I'd like to direct your attention to the transaction
- 4 on November 13, 2018.
 - Could you please explain what this row shows on the chart?
- 7 A. This shows a deposit of \$5,000.
- Q. And do you know where the \$5,000 came from prior to being
- 9 deposited?
- 10 A. Yes. It came from a law firm, Macey, David Macey.
- 11 Q. Was it the firm or an individual; do you recall?
- 12 | A. It was a law firm.
- Q. The next row on the chart, November 16, 2018, based on your
- 14 review of the records, what transaction occurred here?
- 15 | A. This is a disbursement or a check being withdrawn from the
- 16 account and paid to another company by the name of EBCO
- 17 | International Miami.
- 18 Q. When was that check written?
- 19 A. The check date on the check is November 14th, and it
- 20 cleared the bank on November 16th.
- 21 Q. So the beige statement reflects the November 16th date; is
- 22 | that right?
- 23 | A. Or that's the date the check cleared, but the check was
- 24 actually issued on the 14th of November.
- 25 | Q. Where was the \$2,500 check deposited?

- A. Into another company called EBCO International of Miami.
- 2 MS. YOUNG: Sal, can we please move down to the lower 3 part of this.
- 4 | Q. What does this portion of your summary chart describe?
- 5 A. This is that other company, EBCO International of Miami,
- 6 their Wells Fargo account ending in the last numbers 8835.
- 7 It's also source DX JC 742. And as you can see on
- 8 November 15th, 2018, that third row is the \$2,500 check that
- 9 you just asked me about.
- 10 | Q. Based on your review of the records, do you know who owns
- 11 | EBCO International of Miami?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 | 0. Who is that?
- 14 A. John Costanzo, Sr.
- 15 | Q. And was John Costanzo, Jr. identified as a financial
- 16 | account owner of EBCO International of Miami?
- 17 | A. No.
- 18 Q. After the \$2,500 deposit into EBCO, what other transactions
- 19 were reflected in the EBCO account for the months of November
- 20 and December?
- 21 | A. Well, this chart reflects four withdrawals, and there's an
- 22 | \$1,800 payment to a credit card and then the other three
- 23 | transactions, and the next page of the chart shows you the
- 24 payees listed on the bank statements.
- MS. YOUNG: Sal, if we could please go to page 2 of

- 1 | 2621. Thank you.
- Q. When you just said "the payees," are those listed in the description column here?
- 4 A. That's correct. This is what was listed on the bank
- 5 statement at Wells Fargo Bank for EBCO International of Miami,
- 6 reflecting the disbursements out to Citi card, hmfusa.com,
- 7 Transamerica Insurance, as well as BMW Financial.
- 8 Q. Based on your review of the records, was John Costanzo, Jr.
- 9 | the recipient of any of the following transactions?
- 10 | A. No.
- 11 Q. Was there any cash withdrawal reflected in the bank records
- 12 | from EBCO during this time period?
- 13 A. No.
- MS. YOUNG: Sal, can we please pull up DX JC 2622,
- 15 | just for the witness.
- 16 Q. Do you recognize this document?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. What is it?
- 19 A. This is an analysis of Edwin Pagan and his account with his
- 20 | father, Edwin Pagan Romero, that he had at Dade County Federal
- 21 Credit Union, account 12971, for the 25 months starting the
- 22 | beginning of 2018, the entire year, all of 2019, and then the
- 23 | first month of 2020.
- 24 MS. YOUNG: At this time the defense would like to
- 25 offer DX JC 2622 into evidence.

NB6KCOS2 Polo - Direct

1 THE COURT: Any objection.

MR. ANDREWS: No objection.

THE COURT: JC 2622 is admitted.

(Defendants' Exhibit JC 2622 received in evidence)

MS. YOUNG: Can we please publish?

BY MS. YOUNG:

2

3

4

5

6

- Q. So, what does this chart summarize?
- 8 A. Well, this summarizes the transactions of the deposits or
- 9 additions to the account for that 25-month time period, all of
- 10 | 2018, 2019, and one month of 2020, and then the withdrawals and
- 11 disbursements from that account for that same time period, and
- 12 | then reflecting what the ending balance was as well.
- 13 | Q. Sorry, to back up, whose account is this?
- 14 A. This is the joint account with Ed Pagan and Ed Pagan
- 15 Romero.
- 16 | Q. And this account is at Dade County Federal Credit Union; is
- 17 | that right?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. Where is that located?
- 20 | A. That's a credit union located where I live, in Miami-Dade,
- 21 | Florida.
- 22 MS. YOUNG: Sal, if we could please go to the next
- 23 page of this chart.
- 24 | Q. Mr. Polo, could you please describe the headers of this
- 25 | chart again?

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

25

So this is the detail of the last chart that we just 1 Okav. 2 That detail reflects the individual transaction reflected. line items. The first column has the date of the transaction, 3 4 the beginning balance is the next column, and then I have the 5 deposits and other additions or credits -- additions to the 6 The next column are the withdrawals, checks, and account. 7

other debits or subtractions from the account, and then you have the ending account balance after the transaction occurred.

Each of the lines reflect the ending balance. And then there's whatever description was listed on the credit union statement, that's also reflected in the last column.

- Q. So in January of 2018, the balance is 88,800, to begin with; is that right?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- Q. And description, "Deposit Coral Gables pay," based on your review of the records, what is that referring to?
 - A. That's a portion of Mr. Pagan's income, his Coral Gables pay that he receives every 14 days.
- 19 Q. The \$107.41 appears every 14 days on this chart; is that 20 right?
- 21 A. Yes. That's a biweekly pay.
- MS. YOUNG: Could we zoom out, actually, please?
- Q. So this page goes from January 2018 down to September 30, 24 2018?
 - A. Well, page 1 does, yes, but it's a four-page chart.

NB6KCOS2

Polo - Direct

- MS. YOUNG: Okay. So why don't we go to the next page.
- Q. And looking at -- let me do December 10th, 2018. There is a deposit of \$2,500.
 - Based on your review of the records, are you aware of the source of that \$2,500?
- 7 A. Yes.

5

6

9

10

- 8 \mathbb{Q} . What is it?
 - A. There are two checks. One of the checks that was received was a rent check, and then there was another check, and two of them were deposited together.
- Q. So does this \$2,500 have anything to do with the \$2,500 on the prior summary chart?
- 14 A. No.
- Q. And then at the end of December '18, what is the balance in
- 16 | this account?
- 17 | A. It's 113,467.
- 18 Q. At this point, do the records reflect a deposit from
- 19 | Manny Recio?
- 20 | A. No.
- 21 \parallel Q. Do the records reflect a deposit from Global Legal
- 22 | Consulting?
- 23 | A. No.
- 24 | Q. Do the records reflect a deposit from David Macey?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. Do the records reflect a deposit from Luis Guerra?
- 2 | A. No.
- Q. What is the vast majority of the money in this account by
- 4 | that point in time?
- 5 A. Well, the initial account balance at the beginning of the
- 6 analysis period would be the vast majority. The additions
- 7 | would be accounted for by the pay that was received every
- 8 | two weeks, as well as a rent check and other items.
- 9 Q. I'd like to direct your attention to --
- 10 A. I'm sorry, and dividends received for -- it's a savings
- 11 | account, so it earns dividends.
- 12 Q. Let's focus for a moment on January 17, 2019. The chart
- 13 reflects a transaction.
- 14 | Could you please describe it?
- 15 A. It's a cashier's check withdrawal, so it's a subtraction
- 16 | from the account.
- 17 | O. Are you aware of where that \$50,000 was subsequently
- 18 deposited?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | O. Where is that?
- 21 A. That went to John Costanzo, Sr.
- 22 | Q. So for the year prior to that payment, there were no
- 23 payments or deposits from those individuals I just listed -
- 24 | Manny Recio, Global Legal Consulting, and David Guerra right?
- 25 A. That's correct. None of those people -- for all four of

NB6KCOS2 Polo - Direct

- 1 those would be a no.
- 2 Q. And then continuing with the chart, for the entire year
- 3 | after January 17, 2019, do the records reflect a deposit from
- 4 | Manny Recio?
- 5 | A. No.
- 6 Q. Global Legal Consulting?
- 7 | A. No.
- 8 Q. David Macey?
- 9 | A. No.
- 10 | O. Or Luis Guerra?
- 11 | A. No.
- MS. YOUNG: Let's, please, turn to page 4 of this
- 13 chart.
- 14 | Q. What transaction occurs on January 3, 2020?
- 15 A. It's a cashier's check withdrawal, or subtraction from the
- 16 | account, for \$20,000.
- 17 | Q. And based on your review of the records, do you know who
- 18 | received that \$20,000?
- 19 A. Susana Rueda.
- 20 | Q. And where was that money then deposited?
- 21 | A. Into her account, her bank account.
- $22 \parallel Q$. So, again, up to this point, is there any transaction that
- 23 | reflects Manny Recio, Global Legal Consulting, David Macey, or
- 24 | Luis Guerra?
- 25 A. No to all of those.

NB6KCOS2

Polo - Direct

1 | THE COURT: What was that?

THE WITNESS: I said no to each one of those.

MS. YOUNG: Let's please go to the last page, page 5

of this chart.

4

5

6

BY MS. YOUNG:

- O. What is this account?
- A. All right. So a credit union has subaccounts, and this is a subaccount of that same credit union. So it's the same
- 9 account, but it has a 002 subaccount. And I also did the 10 tracing of that account during the same time period.
- 11 Q. There are two descriptions that say "IRS Treasury."

12 What are those transactions describing?

- 13 A. Those are tax refunds received by Pagan.
- Q. Once those tax refunds are received, where do you see that money going?
- 16 A. Well, it's deposited into this 002 subaccount in the Dade
 17 County Federal Credit Union.
- MS. YOUNG: Sal, could you please pull up DX JC 1317, which is now in evidence.

20 And if we could please go to page 15, I believe.

- 21 | S.D.N.Y. 010595. There we go.
- 22 | Q. Mr. Polo, what is the date of this document?
- 23 A. May 13, 2019, the top of the document.
- 24 | O. Who was sent this document?
- 25 A. This is -- the sender is USAA Federal Savings Bank; the

NB6KCOS2

Polo - Direct

1 | recipient is John A. Costanzo.

2 MS. YOUNG: If we could zoom out.

- Q. And then could you please read the sentence that begins
- 4 "Congratulations."
- 5 | A. Sure.
- 6 It says -- it's addressed to John A. Costanzo. It
- 7 says, "Congratulations. We're pleased to notify you that your
- 8 | loan referenced below has been paid in full."
- 9 Q. And then if we could take a look at the VIN, what does VIN
- 10 stand for?
- 11 A. That's a vehicle identification number. It's an acronym
- 12 | typically used when you're referring to, like, a long number
- 13 | for cars.
- 14 Q. So what are the last four digits of this VIN?
- 15 A. It's 1012.
- 16 | O. And the vehicle?
- 17 A. It's a Porsche Panamera 2012.
- MS. YOUNG: We can take that down.
- 19 And if we can please pull up now JC 1316, which is in
- 20 | evidence.
- 21 Q. What is this document?
- 22 A. This is a certificate of title --
- 23 | O. And --
- 24 A. -- for the State of Florida.
- 25 | Q. Who is the registered owner here?

NB6KCOS2 Polo - Direct

- 1 A. Ed Pagan.
- 2 Q. What are the last four digits of the identification number
- 3 here?

- 4 A. 1012.
- 5 | Q. And the year?
- 6 A. It's a 2012 Porsche.
 - Q. And the date of issue here?
- 8 A. June 17, 2019.
- 9 MS. YOUNG: We can take that down.
- And, Sal, could you please pull up DX JC 2623 just for the witness.
- 12 Q. Do you recognize this document?
- 13 A. Yes. It's an analysis of John Costanzo, Jr., as well as an
- 14 analysis of JEM Solutions, Inc., their respective Wells Fargo
- 15 bank accounts, for the period of April 23rd, 2019, through
- 16 October 4, 2019.
- MS. YOUNG: At this time the defense would like to
- 18 offer DX JC 2623.
- MR. ANDREWS: No objection.
- 20 THE COURT: Admitted.
- 21 (Defendants' Exhibit JC 2623 received in evidence)
- MS. YOUNG: Please publish.
- 23 BY MS. YOUNG:
- 24 | Q. Let's start with the top portion of this.
- What is this summarizing?

5

6

- A. This is John Costanzo, Jr.'s Wells Fargo checking 2084, as well as his Wells Fargo savings number 1347, for the period of April 23, 2019, through September 17, 2019.
 - Q. Do you recall what date you just read for the date the USAA car loan was fully paid by John Costanzo?
 - A. The letter was dated May 13, 2019.
- Q. And based on your review of the financial records, what are these payments that you summarized here?
 - A. These are payments to USAA Insurance.
- 10 | Q. Why did you include this date range on the chart?
- 11 A. Because these are USAA insurance payments that covered the 12 period of time post the sale of the car.
- MS. YOUNG: And now let's zoom out and look at the bottom portion of this chart.
- 15 | Q. You also reviewed JEM Solutions' bank accounts, correct?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- Q. What are these payments you summarize from JEM Solutions' bank account?
- A. These are three payments to American Airlines for airfare
 that occurred during that same time period, actually a little
 bit past that, starting July 4, 2019, and they stretched out to
- 22 | October 4, 2019.
- Q. Based on your review of the records, are you aware of who owns JEM Solutions, Inc.?
- 25 A. Yes. It's Ed Pagan, the purchaser of the car.

- Q. What is the total amount for these flights?
- A. It's 3,396 on my chart, but I believe there's another
 transaction of \$178 that I would have to add to that, so it's
- 4 approximately \$3,600.
- 5 MS. YOUNG: And if we could zoom out, please.
- Q. Does the total for the insurance payments approximate the total for the flights?
 - A. It's a little less, but it's very close.
- 9 | O. Which one is less?
- 10 A. The payments made by John Costanzo, Jr. would be 3,287,
- about 3300, and the flights were about 3600. So about a \$300
- 12 difference.

- MS. YOUNG: We can take that down.
- Q. And for the three summary charts we just reviewed, who prepared these?
- 16 | A. I did.
- MS. YOUNG: One moment?
- 18 (Pause)
- 19 Q. For the amounts listed here, are these truncated or 20 rounded?
- 21 \parallel A. Yes. The decimals are cut off from the -- if you add up
- 22 | the three amounts with the decimals, it equals 3,396 and
- change, but I cut off the decimals just to make the chart a
- 24 | little narrower.
- MS. YOUNG: Thank you. Nothing further.

NB6KCOS2 Polo - Direct THE COURT: Mr. Andrews? MR. ANDREWS: Yes, Judge. Now would be a good time for a quick bathroom break. THE COURT: Okay. Let's take a ten-minute break, and we'll resume after we recess for ten minutes. Please leave your notepads on your chairs, members of the jury. (Continued on next page)

NB6KCOS2

Polo - Direct

1 (Jury not present) 2 THE COURT: You may step down, as well, if you'd like. 3 THE WITNESS: Okay. 4 (Witness temporarily excused) 5 THE COURT: Are you ready for the jury? 6 MR. GAINOR: Yes, your Honor, but just one moment. 7 So - I guess the Court knows this - Mr. Polo and Mr. Pagan are also on our witness list, so I don't have any 8 9 questions, but I wanted to let the jury know that, or I can 10 just do it now, and let the Court know, but they are on our 11 witness list as well, rather than sitting as a bump on the log 12 here. 13 THE COURT: Okay. How do you want to do that? 14 MR. GAINOR: Well, if the Court wanted just to turn to 15 me, Mr. Gainor, do you have any questions, I can stand up and say, no, and keep it that way, do it simply. 16 17 THE COURT: Okay. I'll ask you right away or after 18 the cross. I guess right now. 19 MR. GAINOR: I guess so. That might be best. 20 Whatever the Court wants us to do. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 So I'll first turn to Mr. Gainor and say, do you have 23 any questions -- that's all I'll say, I quess. 24 MR. GAINOR: Yes, your Honor. 25 THE COURT: All right.

NB6KCOS2 Polo - Cross

1 (Jury present)

THE COURT: Please be seated.

Ladies and gentlemen, we'll go until 1:00 o'clock, and then we'll break for lunch from 1:00 to 2:00 again.

Mr. Gainor, do you have any questions of the witness?

MR. GAINOR: No questions, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

Cross, Mr. Andrews?

MR. ANDREWS: Thank you, your Honor.

- CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 11 BY MR. ANDREWS:
- 12 Q. Mr. Polo, I want to talk a little bit about your business
- 13 || first.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- And, by the way, I forgot to say good morning. Good
- 15 morning, sir.
- 16 A. Good morning.
- 17 | O. So, Mr. Polo, you run a legitimate business, right?
- 18 | A. I do.
- 19 | Q. Before you agreed to do any work in this case, you sent
- 20 | over a contract, right?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And the contract outlined the services you would provide
- 23 and how much you would be paid; is that right?
- 24 A. In the most part, yes.
- 25 | Q. And the defendants, your clients, signed the contract; is

NB6KCOS2 Polo - Cross

- 1 | that right?
- 2 A. They did.
- 3 | Q. And you kept a copy of the contract; is that right?
- 4 | A. I did.
- 5 Q. Because signing a contract is a normal thing to do for your
- 6 | legitimate business, correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And keeping a copy of the contract is a normal thing to do
- 9 | for your legitimate business, right?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Then as you started working on the account, you prepared
- 12 | documents, right?
- 13 A. Exhibits, yes.
- 14 | Q. And you sent the exhibits to defense counsel, right?
- 15 | A. I did.
- 16 Q. And you kept copies of those exhibits, correct?
- 17 A. I retained them, yes.
- 18 Q. You kept copies, right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. Because keeping copies of records is a normal thing for
- 21 | your legitimate business to do, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. Now, you also do work for legal cases; is that correct?
- 24 | A. I do.
- 25 | Q. When I say "legal cases," I mean cases that are in court.

NB6KCOS2 Polo - Cross

- 1 | Is that right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And you would agree with me that it's important to keep
- 4 records when you're doing work for legal cases; is that right?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Because your records can be subpoenaed, right?
- 7 A. No. It's just -- I mean, I suppose -- a subpoena would be
- 8 an alternate point of view, but I keep records so I -- I don't
- 9 have a crystal clear memory, so I keep records for that.
- 10 | Q. Right, because it's very important to keep records when
- 11 | you're doing work in connection with a legal case; you would
- 12 | agree with that?
- 13 A. Well, accounting is different than other types of work,
- 14 but, yes.
- 15 | Q. You also keep records because you might one day have to
- 16 | testify; is that right?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 | Q. Now, you were also sent documents by defense counsel; is
- 19 | that correct?
- 20 A. I was provided records, yes.
- 21 | Q. And you were sent a protective order when you got those
- 22 documents; is that right?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- 24 | Q. And a protective order is a court order illuminating who
- 25 can obtain documents, correct?

NB6KCOS2 Polo - Cross

A. Yes.

- 2 Q. And you had to sign a confidentiality agreement saying you
- 3 would abide by the protective order before you were allowed to
- 4 see any of the documents; is that right?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And that's a requirement, you've got to sign the
- 7 confidentiality agreement before you get any documents,
- 8 | correct?
- 9 A. I don't know.
- 10 | Q. Well, if you violate the protective order, you could be
- 11 | held in contempt, right?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 | Q. So it's very important you abide by a protective order in a
- 14 | case; is that correct?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. You also communicate with your clients, correct?
- 17 A. It depends.
- 18 | Q. You have clients that you don't communicate with?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. Does somebody else in your company communicate with them?
- 21 | A. No.
- 22 | Q. So maybe, then, help me understand. You have clients that
- 23 you've never communicated with, nobody in your company has
- 24 communicated with, yet you're doing work for them in the
- 25 context of a legal case?

NB6KCOS2 Polo - Cross

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. So you don't retain -- do you retain records of
- 3 communications as to how you retained the client?
- 4 A. I'm sorry, I couldn't hear that.
- 5 | Q. How do you get the client, then?
- A. How do I get the client? Typically, my -- majority of my
- 7 cases are referred by attorneys.
- 8 Q. So then you communicate with the attorney, correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 | Q. And then the attorney communicates with their client,
- 11 | correct?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 | Q. So you have some record of a communication with an
- 14 | intermediary; is that right?
- 15 A. Not an intermediary; the attorney.
- 16 Q. The attorney.
- Who, in this instance, is the intermediary between you
- 18 and the client, right?
- 19 A. Their attorney, yes.
- 20 | Q. And you would agree with me when you're doing tens of
- 21 | thousands of dollars of work, it's important to speak with
- 22 | someone who is representing your ultimate client; is that
- 23 | correct?
- 24 A. With someone, their attorney.
- 25 | Q. With someone, exactly.

NB6KCOS2

Polo - Cross

1 Your business also has expenses, right?

A. Of course.

- 3 | Q. And you pay these business-related expenses out of your
- 4 | business account?
- 5 A. It depends.
- Q. Well, you pay some of the expenses out of your business
- 7 | account, correct?
- 8 A. Most of them, yes.
- 9 Q. Most of them, right?
- Because that's a normal thing to do for your
- 11 legitimate business, correct?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 | Q. So let's talk about JEM Solutions.
- 14 You testified that you reviewed JEM Solutions' bank
- 15 | accounts; is that correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. I want you to compare some numbers in those charts to some
- 18 other charts, so I'm going to have the paralegal publish
- 19 Government Exhibit 604.
- 20 MR. ANDREWS: If we could turn to page 14.
- 21 And if we could just zoom in on the top third of the
- 22 page. Perfect.
- 23 Q. So this is a tax return for Edwin Pagan, III; is that
- 24 | correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

NB6KCOS2 Polo - Cross

- 1 | Q. And it's a tax return for JEM Solutions, correct?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- MR. ANDREWS: If we can now focus on Part II of the
- 4 | tax return.
- Q. So I'm just going to have you read off the numbers as I go
- 6 | through these expenses, okay?
- 7 Advertising, how much?
 - A. That's number 8, and it says 120.
- 9 | Q. Okay.

- 10 Car and truck expenses, how much is that?
- 11 A. That's the next line, number 9, 3,480.
- 12 | Q. And insurance, line 15, how much is that?
- 13 | A. 1,386.
- 14 Q. And legal and professional services, how much is that?
- 15 | A. Line 17, it's 825.
- 16 Q. Repairs and maintenance, how much is that?
- 17 \blacksquare A. The other column, number 21, is 3,005.
- 18 | Q. Supplies, how much is that?
- 19 | A. Number 22 is 205.
- 20 Q. And taxes and licenses, how much is that?
- 21 \blacksquare A. The next row, number 23, is 400.
- 22 | Q. Travel, how much was that?
- 23 | A. 3,010.
- 24 | Q. Keep that number, about 3,000, in your mind because I want
- 25 to go back to that.

NB6KCOS2

Polo - Cross

1 And deductible meals, how much was that?

A. 975.

- 3 Q. If we look at the bottom here, where it says, "Tentative
- 4 profit or loss," we see a negative number; is that right?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- Q. So a negative number means that JEM Solutions said or it recorded that it lost money; is that correct?
- 8 A. This is expenses greater than its revenue, yes.
- 9 Q. And, therefore, JEM Solutions paid no taxes; is that correct?
- 11 A. Well, JEM Solutions doesn't pay taxes; it's a sole
- proprietorship. The individual that owns the company would be
- 13 paying the taxes.
- 14 | Q. Right, so that means that the individual actually gets to
- 15 | pay less taxes because they get to deduct this \$3,136 from
- 16 | their individual return; is that correct?
- 17 A. It depends. In this specific case, that's true.
- 18 Q. In this specific, that's true, right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. So let's see whether any of these alleged expenses show up
- 21 on JEM Solutions' bank records.
- 22 MR. ANDREWS: Let's now publish Government
- 23 | Exhibit 705.
- 24 | Q. And you reviewed JEM Solutions' bank records, correct?
- 25 | A. I did.

NB6KCOS2

Polo - Cross

- Q. So this is a schedule of JEM Solutions' bank records
- 2 | through November 18th of 2019; is that correct?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. And you're aware of why this chart stops on November 18th
- 5 of 2019, right?
- 6 A. I didn't prepare this chart, so the answer would be no.
- 7 Q. So defense counsel didn't tell you that on November 18,
- 8 2019, law enforcement interviewed John Costanzo and Manuel
- 9 Recio, that's correct?
- 10 | A. I don't know.
- 11 | Q. Well, did they tell you that, or no?
- 12 | A. No.
- 13 | Q. So you would agree with me that, ordinarily, functioning
- 14 | businesses have debits on their bank accounts, correct?
- 15 A. They have debits and credits, but, yes.
- 16 | Q. Both sides, right?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. And debits are ordinarily associated with expenses?
- 19 A. Right, subtractions.
- 20 Q. Subtractions, right?
- 21 | A. And some subtractions can be expenses that are deductible
- 22 | by the business, and some taxes could be something else, other.
- 23 Q. Exactly.
- 24 And there are only 11 transactions in this bank
- 25 account through November 18th of 2019; is that right?

NB6KCOS2 Polo - Cross

- 1 A. I'll take your word for that. I can count it if you'd
- 2 like.
- 3 Q. Sure, yeah, go ahead.
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. So let me know if you see any of the words on this page
- 6 that we saw on that other page of the tax return.
- 7 Advertising?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Car and truck?
- 10 | A. No.
- 11 Q. Insurance?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 | Q. Legal and professional services?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 | Q. Repairs and maintenance?
- 16 | A. No.
- 17 Q. Supplies?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Taxes and licenses?
- 20 | A. No.
- 21 | Q. Meals?
- 22 | A. No.
- 23 | Q. Do you see travel expenses, though?
- 24 A. Well, American -- the last three rows would be American
- 25 Air, yes.

NB6KCOS2 Polo - Cross

1 | Q. So that's a travel expense, right?

- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. And --
- 4 A. Well, let me correct that. They are payments to American
- 5 Air. I don't know if they're travel expenses or not.
- 6 Q. But American Airlines is an airplane company, that's
- 7 | correct?
- 8 A. That's correct, but you're creating whether I have a
- 9 personal knowledge of how the numbers on the tax return were
- 10 | effected. I didn't prepare the tax return.
- 11 Q. Exactly. And I don't want you to speculate. So at no
- 12 | time, please do not speculate.
- Now you're aware, though, that John Costanzo bought
- 14 | three American Airlines tickets using JEM Solutions' bank
- 15 | account, correct?
- 16 A. No. JEM Solutions purchased these three transactions.
- 17 | That corporation purchased those.
- 18 Q. Okay. The corporation purchased them, but you don't
- 19 personally know who those tickets were for, correct?
- 20 A. They were for John Costanzo, Jr.
- 21 | Q. Okay. So fair to say these three airplane tickets were for
- 22 John Costanzo, Jr.; is that right?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And they add up to about \$3,574, right?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- Q. So that's pretty close to the \$3,005 that we saw on the tax return, right, for travel?
- A. It depends how you look at it and what the materiality threshold is, but 3,000 is close to 3600.
- Q. Well, I mean, when defense counsel was asking you questions, they asked you to compare two 3,000-ish numbers, and it seemed like you didn't have any problem answering that question, right?
 - A. I did answer your question, and they are comparable.
- 10 | Q. They're pretty close, right?
- 11 A. It depends on the threshold, and I think 300 and 600 is 12 close.
- 13 Q. Okay, great.
- So let's talk about the other transactions we see, and just let me know if we're missing anything here.
- So that first transaction, that's just a starting balance, right, a person put a hundred dollars in?
- 18 | A. Yes.

- Q. The second transaction, that's a \$36.19 transaction for checks, correct?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- Q. Then we get to the third transaction. Do you know what the
- 23 | third transaction is?
- 24 A. Yes. It's related to an invoice.
- 25 | Q. And it's a \$10,000 payment from Global Legal Consulting to

NB6KCOS2 Polo - Cross

1 | JEM Solutions, correct?

- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. Now, you're aware that JEM Solutions received a subpoena
- 4 | for documents related to this payment, correct?
- 5 | A. No.
- 6 Q. So defense counsel didn't tell you that?
- 7 A. I don't know how they were acquired.
- 8 Q. Well, just my question, sir: So defense counsel didn't
- 9 | tell you that, correct?
- 10 | A. I said I don't know.
- 11 | Q. Well, do you not know if they told you, or do you not know
- 12 | what the purpose of the -- do you not know about the subpoena?
- 13 A. I know they didn't tell me.
- 14 | Q. Okay.
- 15 A. But I don't know about the rest of it.
- 16 Q. Okay. So you're not aware that JEM Solutions produced no
- 17 | contracts, no communications, no documentation in response to
- 18 | the subpoena, correct?
- 19 A. I'm not aware.
- 20 | Q. And you're aware that Global Legal Consulting received a
- 21 | subpoena for documents related to this payment, correct?
- 22 A. Again, I don't know.
- 23 (Continued on next page)

24

Nb62Cos3 Polo - Cross

1 BY MR. ANDREWS:

- 2 Q. So defense counsel didn't tell you that Global Legal
- 3 | Consulting had received a subpoena for documents related to
- 4 | this transaction.
- 5 A. We did not discuss this, no.
- 6 | Q. Okay. So you are not aware that Global Legal Consulting
- 7 also produced no contracts or documentation in response to this
- 8 | subpoena, correct?
- 9 A. Well, that's not correct. I don't know.
- 10 | Q. Well, my question was you are not aware that Global Legal
- 11 | Consulting produced no contracts or documentation in response
- 12 | to this subpoena, correct?
- 13 A. I don't know, like I answered your question.
- 14 | Q. You have also reviewed Manuel Recio's phone records,
- 15 | though, correct?
- 16 A. No, I looked at the financial transactions in this case.
- 17 | Q. So defense counsel didn't give you the phone records
- 18 | either, is that right?
- 19 A. I don't recall.
- 20 | Q. So you are not aware that Manuel Recio and Edwin Pagan
- 21 | never called each other --
- 22 MS. YOUNG: Objection to the form.
- 23 | THE COURT: Sustained.
- 24 | Q. So you don't know whether Manuel Recio and Edwin Pagan ever
- 25 | called either other prior to this payment, correct?

Nb62Cos3

Polo - Cross

- 1 A. I mean, I don't know how to answer your question because I
- 2 have received voluminous amount of records. There was
- 3 information, but my focus was on the financial transactions in
- 4 | this case.
- 5 | Q. All right. So let's just keep on moving along, then.
- The fourth transaction, that's a \$2,000 transfer to savings account, correct?
- 8 | A. Yes.

- Q. And there was no activity in that savings account, correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 | Q. And then we have another \$10,750 payment, correct?
- 12 A. It's a deposit, not a payment.
- 13 Q. A deposit into the account from Global Legal Consulting, is
- 14 | that right?
- 15 A. That's correct, related to an invoice.
- 16 Q. Then we see the American Air Line ticket, correct?
- 17 A. When? July 8, yes.
- 18 Q. And the \$1700 deposit, do you know what that is?
- 19 A. I don't recall.
- 20 | Q. And the last three are American Air Lines tickets, right?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 | Q. All right. Are you familiar with the phrase "shell
- 23 | company"?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. Shell company is a company that doesn't have any expenses,

Nb62Cos3 Polo - Cross

1 | right?

- 2 A. It's a little more complicated than that, but they
- 3 | typically hold another asset.
- 4 Q. Well, a shell company can be essentially a company that
- 5 doesn't really do any business, correct?
- 6 A. Well, its business is to hold other assets, so I don't
- 7 | think that you are right about that.
- Q. I think we might be thinking in terms of accounting terms
- 9 versus the common expression.
- 10 Maybe like a sham company how about that?
- 11 A. Okay. Well, that's not an accounting concept as it relates
- 12 | to when I am preparing a tax return. I don't prepare tax
- 13 returns for sham companies.
- 14 | Q. Okay. Because sham companies aren't actually doing
- 15 | business, right?
- 16 A. Well, it depends. If they do have a legal substance, they
- 17 | may be prepared tax returns. It really depends.
- 18 Q. So before we move on, I just want to check with you. Are
- 19 | you aware that John Costanzo and Edwin Pagan opened JEM
- 20 | Solutions together?
- 21 MS. YOUNG: Objection. Misstates the evidence.
- 22 | THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection just in
- 23 terms of characterization. It is up to the jury how they
- 24 characterize that evidence.
- MR. ANDREWS: Sure.

Nb62Cos3

Polo - Cross

1 BY MR. ANDREWS:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Q. So why don't I do this. Instead, if we could publish for the witness Government Exhibit 507.

Defense counsel didn't send you text messages, correct?

- A. I don't recall.
- Q. So why don't we just read through this message, because you don't recall it. So if we could zoom in at the top of it.

All right. So the top is Eddie in gray and then we see Gio Costanzo in the green. So why don't we have you read the Eddie in gray and I will read the Gio Costanzo, okay? So you can go ahead?

- A. The first gray box says, "Working on names for our company.

 Gonna send you a list. Tell me which one gives you movement."
- 15 Q. "Okay. You wanna call it Global something"?
- 16 A. Next box says, "Yeah, maybe. Not bad. Needs some work.
- 17 | Global Social Solutions, Global Social Securities, Social
- 18 | Solutions and Securities, Social Solutions. We need something
- 19 | that appeals to many aspects."
- Q. And if we could keep going for the bottom part here, so you
- 21 | want to keep reading Eddie?
- 22 | A. "Social media protection, banks, athletes, Marco."
- 23 | Q. "Global Vigilance and Securities Solutions"?
- 24 | A. "Narco."
- 25 Q. "Gotcha."

Nb62Cos3 Polo - Cross

If we can go to the next page? Maybe we can just, instead, go to the bottom part of it where it begins with JEM Solutions.

MS. YOUNG: Your Honor, may we approach?

THE COURT: Yes.

(Continued on next page)

Nb62Cos3

Polo - Cross

(At the sidebar)

MR. MUKASEY: Judge, with all due respect to
Mr. Andrews' strategic decisions, this is a gimmick. This is a
cumulative argumentive line of questioning. These text
messages have been read over and over and over. This is now
being read by yet another person who has literally no idea
about it simply for the purpose of saying he's got no idea
about the communications. We can ask one question: Do you
know anything about the text message communications regarding
the creation of JEM Solutions? The answer is no. Wasting time
while maintaining that they want to get, you know, to closing
arguments as fast as possible by having a guy with no
knowledge, who never saw this or read these for the third or
fourth or fifth time is cumulative and a waste.

MR. ANDREWS: Judge, defense counsel repeatedly cross-examined our witnesses about what documents we showed to our summary witnesses and what documents we didn't. We are near the end of the document. I will try to keep this moving on. However, this was fair game for what they did on their own cross, and I will keep in mind and try to be quick. I don't want to bore the jury.

MS. YOUNG: If I may, Judge, their summary charts combine financial transactions and messages. Ours did not. It was solely focused on financial transactions. Quite frankly, it is outside the scope of the direct.

Nb62Cos3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

25

Polo - Cross

MR. MUKASEY: I will make one other point. The question, sorry, the question, "Are you aware that Costanzo did this or Costanzo did that" is improper. "Are you aware whether or not something happened?" He can say no. But it assumes facts not in evidence to say "are you aware that." THE COURT: Except unless the "that" is --MR. ANDREWS: And that is --THE COURT: He is not aware of any of the factual stuff, but it is what it is. MR. MUKASEY: Correct. THE COURT: Of course it's argumentative, but it's about a document in evidence. Keep it short. 12 13 MR. ANDREWS: Yeah. THE COURT: As long as it's short, I think it's fine. MR. ANDREWS: Sure. (Continued on next page) 17 23 24

Nb62Cos3 Polo - Cross

1 (In open court)

- 2 BY MR. ANDREWS:
- 3 | Q. If you could just read these last three messages, please.
- 4 | I think you were about to read "JEM Solutions."
- 5 A. It says, "JEM Solutions."
- Q. "JEM can be your acronym, then whatever word you want to use. JEM Solutions, JEM Risk Managers, whatever. It will take
- 8 | two business days to get the ID number, but it's being filed."
 - So I believe on that first page Gio Costanzo says "our company." Do you remember seeing that working on names for -- my apologies, it's not Gio Costanzo. It's Edwin Pagan. Eddie
- 12 | Pagan. So remember seeing the word "our company"?
- 13 A. It's right there, yes.
- 14 | Q. So that means a company owned by people together, correct?
- 15 | A. Yes.

9

10

- 16 | Q. Okay. So we can take this down now.
- Now, Mr. Polo you testified about Mr. Pagan's
- 18 | finances, is that correct?
- 19 A. I analyzed a bank account. I don't know about his
- 20 | finances.
- 21 | Q. Well, the bank account --
- 22 A. His finance are a little bit more encompassing than just
- 23 | the bank account analysis.
- 24 | Q. Correct. Well, you talked about the transactions in his
- 25 bank account.

Nb62Cos3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

25

Polo - Cross

1677

1 A. Right, specifically the Dade County Credit Union.

Q. Okay. So I want to talk a little bit more about

3 Mr. Pagan's financial transactions. So if we could publish

Government Exhibit 116, and I will read this first paragraph,

"The information contained in this document represents data

submitted by Edwin Pagan III for the E-QIP investigation,

request no. 20948510. Applicant certified the accuracy of this

information at 2016 June 14 at 12:44 p.m."

If we can go now to page 15, so if we can focus on your financial record here, specifically part A, so I will read part A, "In the last seven years, have you, or a company over which you have exercised some control, filed for bankruptcy, been declared bankrupt, been subject to a tax lien, or had a legal judgment rendered against you for a debt?"

What's the answer there?

- A. There is an X next to the "yes."
- Q. Okay. And below here, what type of action did we see being taken against Edwin Pagan in June of 2010?
- 19 A. Like a foreclosure, it says "type of action: foreclosure."
- Q. Foreclosure is like when a bank takes your house, is that
- 22 | A. Not takes a house, but recovers on a mortgage.
- 23 | Q. Because a mortgage wasn't being paid, correct?
- 24 A. Correct.

correct?

Q. Now if we can go to the next page, please. And this B

Nb62Cos3

Polo - Cross

here, if we could zoom in on that, too, please, so for B, "Are you now over 180 days delinquent on any loan or financial obligation? Includes loans or obligations funded or guaranteed by the federal government."

What's the answer there?

- A. There is an X next to "yes."
- Q. Okay. If we go to part 1, the type of loan or obligation that was delinquent by over 180 days was what?
 - A. Mortgage.

5

6

9

10

17

18

24

- Q. And the date that that happened was when?
- 11 A. Right above it says 01/2016, so that would be January of 2016.
- 13 Q. Okay. So we can take down that exhibit.
- Now, you have reviewed Mr. Pagan's credit report, correct?
- 16 A. I don't recall.
 - Q. So you don't recall defense counsel sending you over a credit report for Experian?
- 19 A. I don't recall.
- Q. So if we could just show the witness, then, Government
 Exhibit 2003B, and if we could go to the second page, the third
 to last entry, if we could zoom in on the third to last entry,
 please.
 - Does this refresh your recollection that defense counsel sent you over a credit report and mentioned the fact

Nb62Cos3 Polo - Cross

1 | that Eddie Pagan had many mortgages?

A. Yes.

2

7

3 | Q. All right. So we can take that down.

So why don't we now show the witness Defense Exhibit

- 5 JC 1018. Do you recognize Defense Exhibit JC 1018?
- 6 A. No.
 - Q. This is an Experian credit report, correct?
- 8 A. That's what it is titled.
- 9 Q. Why don't we pull up the stipulation S9. We can go to page
- 10 | 2., and I will read this portion, no. 13. "Defense Exhibit
- 11 | 1018 are records from Experian."
- 12 At this time the government offers a Defense Exhibit
- 13 | 1018.
- 14 THE COURT: Admitted.
- 15 | (Defendant's Exhibit JC 1018 received in evidence)
- 16 BY MR. ANDREWS:
- Q. If we can now publish Defense Exhibit 1018 for everybody,
- 18 please.
- 19 So I want to talk about how far Eddie Pagan was in
- 20 debt in 2020 and 2019. So the name of the person on this
- 21 credit report is Eddie Pagan, correct? Why don't we zoom in on
- 22 | the top.
- 23 A. Edwin Pagan III, yes.
- 24 | Q. So if we go to page 2 of this document and we start
- 25 | focusing on select portfolio servicing, if you want to zoom in

3

4

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Polo - Cross

on those entries, all right, now select portfolio servicing 1 2 typically handles delinquent mortgages, is that correct?

- I don't know. Α.
- You are not aware? Q.
- 5 No, I don't know. Α.
- 6 Okay. Well, Pagan received this mortgage, and we start 0. 7 with the number right next to select portfolio servicing in October of 2008, correct?
- I'm not familiar with this format of this report. 9
- 10 Q. Okav. So --
- 11 Referring to a date, but there is no title at the top of 12 that column, so I would have to see the report.
 - Q. Well, since you didn't have a chance to take a look at the report when defense counsel sent it over to you, there is actually a helpful instruction guide.

So why don't we publish Government Exhibit 2003C instead. And in fact, why don't we do it side by side, so Government Exhibit 2003C next to Government Exhibit --

MS. YOUNG: Not in evidence.

THE COURT: What's that?

MR. ANDREWS: My apologies. Why don't we show the witness Government Exhibit 2003C.

(Pause)

MR. ANDREWS: All right. Why don't we just move on from that topic for a moment.

Nb62Cos3 Polo - Cross

1 BY MR. ANDREWS:

- 2 Q. So I want to go back to your summary chart, Government
- 3 Exhibit 2622 -- rather, Defense Exhibit 2622. All right.
- 4 So I want to focus your attention on total
- 5 | withdrawals. In total, Pagan withdrew 64,416 from this account
- 6 | in 2019.
- 7 A. I think you said the number wrong, so no.
- 8 Q. I see this is an updated form. So in total he withdrew
- 9 | \$78,594, is that correct?
- 10 A. That's the number on my chart, yes.
- 11 | Q. And of that money, \$50,000 of it was a payment to John
- 12 | Costanzo, Sr., is that right?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. So about two-thirds of that money was to John Costanzo,
- 15 | Sr., is that right?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 | Q. And if we go to page 2, focusing on line 2, why don't we
- 18 | actually -- it's not page 2. It's on the prior page. And if
- 19 | we focus on the entry for the \$50,000, it's actually on the
- 20 | next page -- sorry, one more page down. Do you know what John
- 21 | Costanzo, Sr. did with this money?
- 22 | A. I believe he deposited it into his account.
- 23 | Q. And do you know what he did after with the money?
- 24 \blacksquare A. It was used by his son.
- 25 Q. So it was transferred to his son less than a month later,

Nb62Cos3 Polo - Cross

- 1 | is that correct?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. And if we can now pull up government exhibit -- sorry
- 4 Defense Exhibit 2621.
- 5 So I want to draw your attention to the bottom of this
- 6 page. So you scheduled EBCO's financial records from October
- 7 | 31 of 2018 to December 31 of 2018, is that correct?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. In the first activity you see here is this \$2500 payment
- 10 | from Global Legal Consulting, is that right?
- 11 A. Yes, on November 15.
- 12 Q. So that's on November 15 of 2018, correct?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. Now, you reviewed EBCO's financial records from before
- 15 November of 2018, right?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. So you are aware that during the six months prior to this
- 18 | \$2500 payment, EBCO had no bank account activity, right?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. No deposits, right?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 | Q. No payments out, correct?
- 23 A. I would have to go back and check. There may have been
- 24 some bank fees, but I'm not familiar.
- 25 Q. EBCO's bank account was completely dormant, correct?

Polo - Cross

- 1 A. In essence, yes.
- 2 Q. And then suddenly on November 15 a \$2500 payment appears,
- 3 correct?
- 4 A. Yes, on November 15.
- Q. And if we could just show the witness side by side, then,
 Defense Exhibit 2621 and Government Exhibit 2010A.
- 7 MS. YOUNG: Is 2010A in evidence?
- 8 MR. ANDREWS: We are just showing the witness.
- 9 Q. So you would agree with me that Government Exhibit 2010A
 10 shows in red the absence of any bank activity during the six
 11 months prior to the \$2500 payment, correct?
- 12 A. Well, there is no bank activity. I don't know if that's
 13 why it is red, but it is no bank activity.
- 14 | Q. And Government Exhibit 2010A is correct?
- 15 \parallel A. The numbers are correct.
- MR. ANDREWS: Okay. The government offers Government

 Exhibit 2010A.
- MS. YOUNG: No objection.
- 19 THE COURT: Admitted.
- 20 (Government's Exhibit 2010A received in evidence)
- 21 MR. ANDREWS: If we could publish that to the jury,
- 22 please.
- 23 BY MR. ANDREWS:
- Q. And by the way, the \$1800 credit card payment, do you know
- 25 whose expenses were being covered by that credit card?

Polo - Cross

1 A. No.

- Q. Okay. So let's now publish Defense Exhibit 2623.
- Now, Mr. Polo, did you come up with this chart all on
- 4 your own or did defense counsel speak with you about the chart?
- 5 A. Defense counsel asked me to look into these various
- 6 transactions, and I prepared the chart based on discussions
- 7 | with them.
- 8 Q. Okay. So defense counsel said, Mr. Polo take a look at
- 9 USAA Insurance, correct?
- 10 A. Yes, for this time period, can you please analyze payments
- 11 | paid on behalf of Jr., John Costanzo, Jr., for auto insurance
- 12 | and then compare that to any travel expenses paid by JEM or JEM
- 13 | Solutions for that same time period.
- 14 | Q. All right. So you have no idea if these payments are
- 15 | related, correct?
- 16 A. They are what they are. They are payments out of the bank
- 17 | account. I don't know what the reflection is. I'm just
- 18 showing the amounts that came out from his personal account,
- 19 | from the checking and savings account for John Costanzo, Jr.
- 20 during the same time period that JEM Solutions paid for these
- 21 expenses.
- 22 | Q. And USAA offers lots of different types of insurance,
- 23 | correct?
- 24 A. I'm not an expert on what they offer, but I do know that
- 25 they provide auto insurance.

Polo - Cross

- Q. Are you aware that they provide homeowners insurance?
- $2 \parallel A$. I am not.

- 3 | Q. Are you aware that they provide life and health insurance?
- 4 A. I am not aware of what product lines they have.
- 5 | Q. So you are also not aware they provide pet insurance,
- 6 travel insurance, insurance for special events, motorcycle
- 7 | insurance, and boat insurance, right?
- 8 A. I think I've answered your question. I'm not an expert on
- 9 what product lines they have.
- 10 Q. Okay. So let's just talk about whether there is a car
- 11 | insurance payment.
- 12 Mr. Polo, do you have a car?
- 13 | A. I do.
- 14 | Q. And does your car insurance change from month to month from
- 15 one number to a different number?
- 16 | A. Yes, it does. It depends on the time of the year and
- 17 | whether -- if there have been premium changes or not, so it
- 18 does.
- 19 | Q. So does it quadruple in a single month?
- 20 | A. I can't think of it doing that. I would have remembered
- 21 | that.
- 22 | Q. Right. So it never goes from 300 to \$1324, right?
- 23 | A. Well, you are equating the payments versus the premium. So
- 24 | I may pay my premium in various different methods. The premium
- 25 may not change. It's when I pay the premium.

Nb62Cos3 Polo - Cross

Q. So again --

- 2 A. These are payments.
- 3 | Q. These are payments, correct.
- 4 A. Not the actual premium.
- 5 | Q. And normally you pay your car insurance, right?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- Q. And when you pay your insurance, you are charged a monthly amount, is that right?
- 9 MS. YOUNG: Objection. Relevance to his own payments.
- 10 THE COURT: Sustained.
- MR. ANDREWS: By the way, if we could publish the
- defense exhibit side by side with this, 1316.
- 13 Q. So, Mr. Polo, 1316 is a certificate of title to this car,
- 14 | correct?
- 15 A. I'm sorry I didn't hear you.
- 16 Q. Defense Exhibit 1316 is a certificate of title for a
- 17 | vehicle, is that correct?
- 18 A. Yes, with the State of Florida.
- 19 | Q. And I think defense counsel asked you about this
- 20 certificate of title, is that right?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. So if we could zoom in on the bottom portion of this, where
- 23 | it says, "Date of sale."
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. What's the date of sale on this certificate of title?

Polo - Cross

- 1 A. It says, it looks like there, June 17, 2019.
- Q. And at the bottom it says, "Under penalties of perjury, I
- 3 declare that I have read the foregoing document that the facts
- 4 | stated in it are true."
- 5 A. It does say that.
- 6 Q. And this is a bill of sale between Edwin Pagan and John
- 7 | Costanzo?
- 8 | A. It is.
- 9 Q. Just to be clear, keeping that June 17, 2019 date in mind,
- 10 | if we take a look back at the chart that you prepared, so the
- 11 | April 23, 2019 payment, is that before or after the car was
- 12 | sold in June 17 of 2019?
- 13 A. It's before.
- 14 | Q. Okay. And the May 29 also before June 17?
- 15 A. Well, the date is before the June 17 date, but the date the
- 16 car, as I understand it, was paid off on May 13.
- 17 | Q. Well, I'm just focusing on the title of certificate that
- 18 was submitted under penalty of perjury that said the date of
- 19 | sale for the vehicle. That said June 17 of 2019, correct?
- 20 | A. That's the date that the document was executed, correct?
- 21 | Q. Well, it's not just the date the document was executed.
- 22 | That was the date of sale on the document, is it not?
- 23 A. It depends.
- 24 | O. Well, let's pull up the document one more time, please, if
- 25 we can just zoom in, and I will just have you read the portion,

Polo - Cross

- again, where it says "seller must enter date sold." What does that say?
- 3 A. It says June 17, 2019 again.
 - Q. So we can take down these exhibits as well.
 - So Mr. Polo, I would like to end with what you do and don't know.
 - Now, we talked about how defense asked you to review certain bank account records, is that correct?
 - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

- 10 Q. And those bank account records were for Edwin Pagan, John
- 11 Costanzo, Jr., Manuel Recio, and others, right?
- 12 A. And others, yes.
- Q. And you don't know whether Edwin Pagan has bank accounts other than the ones you reviewed, correct?
- 15 | A. I don't know? I didn't review them, but I'm sure he does.
- 16 Q. Okay. And you don't know if the government was able to
- 17 | locate all of Edwin Pagan's records, correct?
- 18 A. Let me restate, I don't know for sure because I didn't 19 review them.
- 20 Q. You don't know whether Edwin Pagan has other bank 21 accounts --
- MS. YOUNG: Objection.
- 23 THE COURT: Overruled.
- Q. You don't know whether Edwin Pagan has other bank accounts besides the specific bank accounts you reviewed, correct?

4

5

6

7

8

11

Polo - Cross

- A. Right. I was asked to analyze the account where the \$50,000 was drawn from in a cashier's check and analyze that account for the time period going back in time.
 - Q. Right. And you don't know whether John Costanzo, Jr. has other bank accounts other than the accounts that you specifically reviewed, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Same for John Costanzo, Sr., right?
- 9 A. I think I looked at most of his accounts, so I looked at 10 what -- the accounts that were provided.
 - Q. Just the accounts provided, correct?
- 12 A. Correct.
- Q. And if there were financial transactions in these other
 bank accounts, the ones you are not aware of, you, by
 definition, wouldn't know about them, correct?
- MS. YOUNG: Objection.
- 17 THE COURT: Overruled. You can answer.
- 18 A. Can you restate your question, please.
- Q. If there are financial transactions in these other bank
 accounts that you are unaware of, you, by definition, would not
 be aware of these transactions, correct?
- 22 A. Oh, yes, of course.
- Q. Okay. You would also agree with me that there are lots of ways to pay someone without having to use a bank, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

1

Polo - Cross

- Q. You can pay someone in cash, right?
- 2 A. In essence, the answer to that question is yes, but you
- 3 would have to have an ability to receive the cash, and that
- 4 | would be something that you would have to document.
- Q. Well, if I gave you \$10 in cash, that doesn't show up in a
- 6 bank account, right?
- 7 A. It depends. I don't know your sources of income. I would
- 8 | have to determine. If your sources of income are from
- 9 employment, then typically the money is going to get deposited
- 10 | into a bank account or there is going to be a record from some
- 11 | institution paying you, so some bank somewhere is going to
- 12 reflect that there was a transaction.
- 13 | Q. And if that was in one of the thousands or millions of
- 14 other bank accounts that you did not review, you would not be
- able to testify about that transaction, correct?
- MS. YOUNG: Objection as to form.
- 17 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 18 Q. You can only testify about the financial transactions that
- 19 | you have actually reviewed, correct?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 | Q. And are you aware that people can use PayPal, Venmo,
- 22 | Western Union, and CashApp to also transfer money?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. And you are aware that somebody can also give somebody
- 25 property, correct?

4

Polo - Cross

- A. Well, give, no, that would be -- your question is talking about payment.
- 3 Q. Correct. You can pay somebody with property, like a watch.
 - A. Right, you can pay someone with property, as a transmittal
- of assets, but if you are giving someone, that's different.
- Q. So to be clear, you don't know whether someone reimbursed
- 7 Edwin Pagan for the \$50,000, correct?
- 8 A. I did not see any transactions that were deposited into his
- 9 Dade County Credit Union, no.
- 10 | Q. For this specific account and only the account that you
- 11 reviewed, correct?
- 12 | A. The accounts that I reviewed, that's correct.
- 13 | Q. Okay. And you also don't know whether someone reimbursed
- 14 | Edwin Pagan for the \$20,000, correct?
- 15 A. That -- he was not reimbursed, no.
- 16 Q. Based on your review of just one bank account, correct?
- 17 A. Based on review of the Dade County credit union, yes.
- 18 | Q. And you don't know whether John Costanzo, Sr. gave his son
- 19 | John Costanzo any money after EBCO was paid \$2500 using another
- 20 | bank account, correct?
- 21 | A. No, I actually reviewed John Costanzo, Sr.'s account, and I
- 22 was looking for cash withdrawals from the account, and I did
- 23 | not find any, just like I did not find any cash withdrawals
- 24 | from EBCO. EBCO did not have any cash withdrawals, either.
- 25 | Q. Right, but just to circle back again, you only know about

Polo - Redirect

- 1 | the bank accounts that you specifically reviewed, correct?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. So you cannot say as a factual matter whether John
- 4 Costanzo, one way or another, paid his son \$2500 after he
- 5 received it from Global Legal Consulting, is that --
 - MS. YOUNG: Objection to form.
- 7 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 8 A. Well, the \$2500 was clearly deposited into EBCO
- 9 | International of Miami and was not withdrawn, it was disbursed
- 10 | out to the four transactions we had, so it was not paid to John
- 11 Costanzo, Jr.

6

- 12 | Q. Using that specific bank account.
- 13 A. Using that account and I also reviewed his personal
- 14 | accounts and it wasn't paid from any of those personal bank
- 15 | accounts either.
- 16 | Q. But you are not aware what John Costanzo, Sr. has other
- 17 | accounts, correct?
- 18 A. I reviewed the accounts that were provided.
- 19 MR. ANDREWS: Okay. One moment.
- 20 (Counsel confer)
- 21 MR. ANDREWS: No further questions.
- 22 THE COURT: Ms. Young.
- 23 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 24 BY MS. YOUNG:

25

Q. Mr. Polo, you were asked a moment ago about the formation

Nb62Cos3 Polo - Redirect

of Global Legal Consulting. Do you recall that?

A. Yes.

- 3 Q. Could we please pull up GX 440A. Can you show me where in
- 4 | this document there is any reference to John Costanzo, Jr.?
- 5 | A. No.
- 6 \mathbb{Q} . Why not?
- 7 A. It's not there.
- 8 Q. And you were also asked about JEM Solutions.
- 9 Could we please pull up GX 502.
- Same question. Could you please show me where John
- 11 | Costanzo helped form JEM Solutions?
- 12 | A. No.
- 13 | Q. Why not?
- 14 A. He is not there.
- 15 | Q. Again, we can take that down.
- Now, you are a CPA, is that right?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 | Q. And you went to undergraduate, right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. And graduate school?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And you have worked in Big Four accounting firms?
- 23 A. Not Big Four, but large accounting firms.
- 24 | Q. Large accounting firms, and you own your own accounting
- 25 | firm now?

Nb62Cos3 Polo - Redirect

- 1 A. I do now, yes.
- 2 | Q. And where do you spend most of your day when you are
- 3 working?
- 4 A. I'm working in conjunction with litigation, forensic
- 5 | engagements.
- 6 Q. And are you usually at a desk?
- 7 A. Depends. A lot of times I'm in the courtroom.
- 8 Q. So when you are not in a courtroom are you usually with a
- 9 | computer?
- 10 | A. I am.
- 11 | Q. And you are not a task force officer?
- 12 | A. No.
- 13 | Q. And you are not a private investigator?
- 14 A. I am not.
- 15 | Q. Or a paralegal at the U.S. Attorney's office?
- 16 | A. No, I'm not.
- 17 | Q. And you were engaged to summarize financial transactions?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 | Q. And in the course of reviewing the transactions, we looked
- 20 | at one involving \$2500. Do you recall that?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And so based on your review of the records, is it possible
- 23 to have two different transactions involving around or the same
- 24 amount and having them be unrelated?
- 25 A. Yeah, well, the dollar amount may be similar, but you are

Polo - Redirect

1695

- looking at the flow of money, so it could be similar dollar
 amounts, but you have to look to see where was the trail of
 money coming from. In this particular case we saw a payment
 from Global Legal Consulting going to EBCO International Miami,
 - Q. And do you recall on that index that the government put up in front of you of the records that you were provided in preparation for your engagement Bates numbers, like, what you had sourced to your summary charts before?
- 10 A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

- 11 | Q. And do you recall what those Bates numbers started with?
- 12 | A. SDNY.
- 13 | Q. And do you know what that stands for?

and then that money being spent.

- 14 A. It stands for this court, the Southern District of New 15 York.
- Q. So who provided all of those records or collected all of those records?
- A. Well, I don't know who provided them, but they were Bates stamped by using that indicator, so I really couldn't answer that.
- Q. When you receive records from the government, are they usually Bates stamped with SDNY?
- 23 | A. No.
- Q. What are they Bates stamped for you?
- 25 A. It depends on what indicator, like sometimes they are -- it

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- could be the name of the petitioner, it could be the name of the complainant, it could be defense. It is really up to legal counsel to decide how they are going to Bates stamp and what acronyms they are going to be using.
- Q. So are you aware that everything that the government provided to us was Bates stamped with SDNY?
- A. Am I aware of that? I'm not aware of that.
- Q. Okay. And you mentioned something about the USAA premiums versus the payment amounts?
 - A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Could you elaborate as to what you meant by that?
 - A. Well, these are transactions of what was being paid out of the bank account, and I think the question that was being posed to me is does the premium change on a regular basis? And the answer is, well, we are not talking about what the premium was on that particular month. We are just reflecting how much was paid. So there may be a situation where you only pay a portion of the premium one month or you maybe pay a larger portion another month. So the payment amounts can fluctuate different than the actual premium itself.
 - Q. And can someone make use of a car without the title having -- yet having an effective date or sold date?
 - A. Yes. It's common where you have a transaction that may take a period of time and someone may be able to -- you are saying that the transaction occurred on a specific date. It

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

Polo - Recross

- could be that the transaction took longer than the date and it completed on that date.
 - Q. Now, you talked a little bit about your practices as an accounting firm. Are accounting firms different than start-up companies?
 - A. It depends. In a recordkeeping phase they are probably exactly the same. Whereas it relates to how they operate, each industry is going to be different.
 - Q. And each industry can be different about what invoices they use?
 - A. It's dependent on the requirements by the owner of the company, what records are going to be maintained.
 - Q. And each industry can be different about what contracts, if any, they use?
 - A. Right, not only each industry, but each owner of each company can decide what is going to be maintained and what is not going to be maintained.

MS. YOUNG: Nothing further.

THE COURT: Anything further?

MR. ANDREWS: Just one question, your Honor.

RECROSS EXAMINATION

- 22 BY MR. ANDREWS:
- 23 | Q. Do you remember being asked about the payments to USAA?
- 24 A. Yes.
 - Q. To be clear, you don't know what those payments were for,

Nb62Cos3 Polo - Recross correct? 1 2 A. They were payment -- I don't know what they were for, no. 3 They were paid to USAA Insurance. Q. And you haven't reviewed any documents from USAA indicating 4 what those are for, correct? 5 6 A. I have not. 7 MR. ANDREWS: One moment. 8 No further questions. 9 THE COURT: All right. Sir, you may step down. 10 (Witness excused) 11 MR. MUKASEY: May we approach regarding the next 12 witness. 13 THE COURT: Yes. 14 (Continued on next page) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(At the sidebar).

MR. MUKASEY: So the next witness is the aforementioned Mr. Pagan.

We have had a chance to review Caro very quickly. think it is distinguishable on its facts. It has to do with a defendant taking the stand to testify and doing something different than he had done earlier. I'm not sure it's even applicable to the facts of this case.

But even before we get to the legal arguments, Pagan is here. My understanding is he has been served with a subpoena.

MR. SWETT: He was given a subpoena in the halls.

MR. MUKASEY: I don't know what the status of is DeCoste, if his lawyer has reached out to you or how the Court wants to handle it, or you just want to kind of go for it. I quess they wanted to do some work outside the presence of the jury.

MR. GAINOR: Or reach out to the lawyer directly. I'm not sure.

THE COURT: What does the government think?

MR. SWETT: Well, your Honor, with respect to the subpoena, it was really served just because we wanted there to be clarity. It's unclear. I don't think we are going to inquire into that much, if at all.

With respect to Caro our position remains the same.

And then with respect to matters before he testifies, we do think the allocution is necessary and the Court had indicated that it would allocute him on his Fifth amendment rights.

His lawyer can -- we can attempt to reach out to his lawyer, but I don't know if we can force his lawyer to be here for that allocution.

MR. GAINOR: I think the attempt should be made and see where the chips fall.

THE COURT: About the Fifth Amendment allocution or the subpoena?

MR. GAINOR: Reaching out to the lawyer in general.

MR. ANDREWS: To be clear, we informed the lawyer that this would be happening and asked if he wanted to come to New York. He said no. I mean, we can try calling the attorney, but he is fully aware of what's going on.

MR. MUKASEY: Yeah. I think we probably had the last touch with the attorney. Ms. Guaba and I spoke to him at about 10:00 --

MS. GUABA: 10:00 last night.

MR. MUKASEY: -- last night and told him, like, if you can be available, that would probably be good, but we are not giving you advice on how to do your job. And he said he would try to make himself available, but he had some other prior court date. If Mr. Pagan is going to be allocuted -- let me

Polo - Recross

put it this way. If my client were going to be allocuted about 1 2 the Fifth Amendment in a federal courtroom in the middle of a criminal trial, I would want to be there for my client. But if 3 4 the Court wants to call him --5 MR. GAINOR: And where would the allocution occur? Ιf 6 it would occur here, if it would occur in the robing room, 7 which is probably more appropriate. I mean, I don't know. 8 MR. MUKASEY: As long as it is not in front the jury, I don't really care where it happens. 9 THE COURT: I would think it would be here without the 10 11 jury. I mean, I can try to make a call to the lawyer. 12 MR. MUKASEY: You can also ask Pagan whether he wants 13 his lawyer available if he says no. 14 THE COURT: That's one of the questions, yeah, that I would ask. So why don't we do the --15 16 MR. MUKASEY: Maybe give them an early lunch and we 17 can do that. 18 MS. DEININGER: That's clock's an hour fast. 19 THE COURT: Oh, right. Yeah. 20 MR. SWETT: It's 12:30. 21 THE COURT: Right, it's 12:30. 22 Why don't I let them go for lunch and have them come back at 2:00? 23 24 MR. MUKASEY: Have you read Caro? 25 THE COURT: Yeah, I think it is very different facts.

Polo - Recross

You know, I think the question, you know, whether he refused to testify before the grand jury is sort of delicate because it is so close to asking about Fifth Amendment, you know. But in general I do think asking about prior silence, we contacted you and you wouldn't speak to us, is that right, is sort of standard impeachment, so I guess I would want to think about it a little more and see if there is any other case law.

MR. MUKASEY: I agree with that.

THE COURT: And I think also the additional time will allow me to try to contact his lawyer. But I think I'm okay with going ahead and doing the allocution as to him and then follow up with his lawyer.

MS. DONNER: Okay.

MR. ANDREWS: Sure.

THE COURT: Okay.

(Continued on next page)

(In open court)

THE COURT: Members of the jury, I'm going to give you an extra long lunch break because we have to deal with a couple of things.

I'm going to let you go now. We will resume promptly at 2:00 o'clock. We are making good progress in terms of getting through the witnesses. So I'm going to ask you to please leave your notepads on your chairs. You'll have an hour and a half for lunch, but we will begin promptly at 2:00 o'clock.

Have a good lunch.

(Continued on next page)

1 (Jury not present) 2 THE COURT: Please be seated. I quess I'd propose to go ahead and put Mr. Pagan on 3 4 the stand and ask him about the Fifth Amendment at this point. 5 Any objection to that? MS. DEININGER: No objection. 6 7 MR. MUKASEY: May I have one moment? 8 (Pause) 9 MR. MUKASEY: I'm told by Mr. Gainor that he heard 10 from Mr. DeCoste this morning, and he seems to be available. I 11 want to give the Court all the knowledge that we have. THE COURT: Do you have a different number for him 12 13 than is on his office email? 14 MR. GAINOR: I don't think so. I think I just have 15 that number, that one number, for him. THE COURT: I guess the thing to do would be to have 16 17 me contact him in the robing room with counsel and the court 18 reporter present, or without counsel? 19 MR. MUKASEY: You're the judge. I don't see a problem 20 with doing it with all of us. 21 MR. GAINOR: Neither do we. 22 THE COURT: Do you think I should have a court 23 reporter there? 24 MR. MUKASEY: I would think so. They're the ones that 25 have to preserve this in case -- I would think we should have a

court reporter.

MR. GAINOR: We would agree.

MS. DEININGER: Yes, we would agree, it would be best if the court reporter is there.

THE COURT: I'll contact him in the robing room with the court reporter present so there's a record of it, and, to be clear, I'm going to say we're at the point in the trial where I can allocute him regarding the Fifth Amendment, and that I understand that he has been called as a defense witness, and my understanding is that he has been served in person with a subpoena, to the extent there's any confusion about whether he's been served has now been resolved with respect to the subpoena for documents, and let him know that that's what's about to happen, and if he wants to talk to his client, he should, I guess. Or if he wants to make some other application, he can.

All right?

MS. DEININGER: Okay.

MR. ANDREWS: Very good.

THE COURT: I'll do that now.

(Continued on next page)

(In the robing room)

THE COURT: We're on the record now.

This is Judge Oetken, and I have contacted Lawyer Christopher DeCoste by phone, and he returned the call.

I just wanted to touch base with you, Mr. DeCoste, about Mr. Pagan because we're at the point in the trial when he will be testifying shortly, and I was going to start with a brief allocution regarding the Fifth Amendment, make sure he's aware that he has a right under the Fifth Amendment not to give any testimony that could be incriminating, and it's his decision whether to testify, et cetera. I wanted to make you aware of that, and also let you know that on the subpoena question, I earlier, in court, said I don't think he had been served at least before Saturday, so we are where we are, and then, as I understand it, the government has now seen him and served him personally with the subpoena for documents. So I was going to proceed with the direct and then address the issue of documents.

MR. DeCOSTE: Okay.

THE COURT: I wanted to make you aware of that and just see if you wanted to make any applications or requests or anything, or speak with your client.

MR. DeCOSTE: With respect to the first issue, with the allocution, no, I've spoken to my client. If the Court -- I apologize, normally, in a situation like this, I'd be

NB6KCOS4

present, the decision was made to not have me there for this, but if the Court needs me to be available by phone, I don't know if that's something that the Court would do, I can do that as well if I'm needed.

As to the second issue, given the fact that my client has just now effectively been served, and given the fact that he is there, in the courthouse, without his phone, I don't know if the Court would allow an ore tenus motion to quash the subpoena for the production of items because we can't comply within that time frame.

THE COURT: Well, I don't know about quashing it entirely. Can you comply in part?

MR. DeCOSTE: Yes. So it's wiser to make the motion, and over the course of the weekend, I was able to work diligently and get it together so that I can move very quickly when your Honor gave the instruction. And I do have a series of items. If your Honor wants me to specify, I can. There are some items that I can't get. With my client being in the courthouse and not having a phone, I was the one that was communicating with his accountant to get supporting documentation, to get his 2018 returns. The response that I got from Glen, who is the accountant, is that he's not back in the office until tomorrow at 8:00 a.m. Everything else, I do have, and I can either produce it, I can also specify to the Court what we have, whatever the Court decides.

THE COURT: Okay.

25

sending that over.

1 MR. DeCOSTE: We cannot get 2018 because the 2 government, it's my understanding, contacted Wells Fargo, Wells 3 Fargo shut down the account, and they have effectively blocked 4 Mr. Pagan out of the account. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Got it. 6 MR. DeCOSTE: The third one is JP Morgan Chase. 7 THE COURT: Okay. MR. DeCOSTE: And I have records for 2018 and 2019. 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. DeCOSTE: As for personal assets in those years, 11 I'm a bit confused. Are they personal assets he's holding, are 12 they ones that he acquired? The one that we know he acquired 13 This is the Porsche that he purchased from was a car. 14 Mr. Costanzo. I have the auto loan paperwork and a State Farm -- it's titled "Policy Breakdown." 15 "State Farm Policy Breakdown"? 16 THE COURT: 17 MR. DeCOSTE: Correct. 18 THE COURT: Okay. MR. DeCOSTE: The auto loan is with USAA. 19 20 THE COURT: USAA? Okay. MR. DeCOSTE: The next item that I have is a 21 22 cryptocurrency purchase. He bought, off memory, I believe -23 it's opening here - .118 Bitcoin in 2017, so I consider that to 24 be an asset being held during that time, so we're going to be

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DeCOSTE: Going back quickly to the government subpoena, number 2, complete tax returns, federal and state. He has no state tax returns for Florida. For yourself, for the years of 2018 and 2019. I am sending over 2019. It includes an amended tax return and the original tax return. I cannot get the 2018 tax return until the accountant returns.

THE COURT: So you have 2019?

MR. DeCOSTE: Correct.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DeCOSTE: Next, number 3, is documentation justifying expenses claimed on the JEM tax return for year 2019. This is things like a car expense, advertising, insurance. I can't get that from the accountant, and it's also past the recommended retention period for the IRS.

I would note that certain items, like the car expenses, are in the bank statements that were sent pursuant to the JEM subpoena.

THE COURT: Okay. So you do not have additional tax documents on that?

MR. DeCOSTE: Things like receipts, emails, invoices, we can't get them right now. There is undoubtedly stuff that shows the \$3,000 of travel or the \$975 of meals. If the accountants don't have them, or if Mr. Pagan can't do it from there, and the accountant won't be in until tomorrow. Some of

it, however, is within the bank statements for JEM that shows what the car expenses were, what the travel expenses were, but I think the government was looking for things like receipts, stuff that you would normally retain for three years, but these taxes were filed three and a half years ago, and many times somebody's not even going to retain them.

I apologize for the roundabout explanation. The best way to answer it is he doesn't know what he has, and he would have needed time to be able to look. So, at this point, we don't have anything to provide.

THE COURT: Okay.

Any others?

MR. DeCOSTE: Yes. Number 4 is records related to or reflecting any criminal or regulatory investigation. There are none.

Number 5 is records sufficient to show educational and work history. We had already provided my client's resume pursuant to the JEM subpoena, and I'm also sending over to them a picture of his diploma, which is the one thing that we could get with him being in New York, the one thing we could scrounge up to support that.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DeCOSTE: Next is number 6, the foundational documents for JEM Solutions, including articles of incorporation. That was already provided pursuant to the JEM

subpoena, and there are no operating agreements or managing agreements.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DeCOSTE: The last two items have to do -- well, the first one is 2018-2019 records reflecting income payments, fees, commissions, gifts, or any other form of remuneration received by the defendants before you or any of the believed coconspirators, and the answer to that is no.

Then number 8 has to do with any and all records regarding gifts, money transfers, from JEM to Mr. Costanzo, Mr. Recio, or the other people that are involved. The answers to all of that are contained within either the bank statements that were provided pursuant to this subpoena or what was provided pursuant to the JEM subpoena three years ago.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DeCOSTE: Then the last item, the biggest one, are communications between January 2018 and now. And I have -- and I can sum this up: For the list of people of David Macey, John Costanzo, that John Costanzo and Mr. Macey, John Costanzo and Susana Rueda, Luis Guerra and Susana Rueda, there are a series of WhatsApp messages and iMessages that pretty much go back to January of 2018 and go up to, I want to say, the middle of 2020. That is the most -- that is the biggest document that we're sending over, it's probably a couple of hundred pages. My understanding, though, is that the government and the

```
defendants already have these.
1
 2
               THE COURT: So that covers it?
 3
               MR. DeCOSTE: That's everything that I'm providing
 4
      over.
 5
               THE COURT: Okay.
6
               Are you able to provide -- well, let me talk to them
 7
      and just give them where things stand.
               Are you able to provide those electronically?
8
9
               MR. DeCOSTE: Yes. They had provided me access to a
10
     portal before to give to them. I can either do it through that
11
      or I can link them to my office's Dropbox.
12
               THE COURT: Okay, great. I will let them know this,
13
      and then have them follow up with you.
14
               MR. DeCOSTE: Understood. I will stand by and make
15
     myself available in the afternoon for you, your Honor.
               THE COURT: Great. Thank you so much.
16
17
               MR. DeCOSTE: Thank you.
18
               THE COURT: All right. Bye-bye.
19
               (Continued on next page)
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

(In open court; jury not present)

THE COURT: Should we wait?

MR. MUKASEY: If your Honor wants to go on the record and would excuse the defendants, since this is, I think -- I think they're in the cafeteria.

THE COURT: Oh, okay.

MR. MUKASEY: This is largely a legal discussion, I think.

THE COURT: If you're okay with it, it's okay.

MR. GAINOR: Yes, we are, your Honor.

THE COURT: I did speak with Mr. DeCoste, and there's a transcript of it, which you can see.

On the Fifth Amendment allocution issue, he said, fine, doesn't have a problem with it. The decision was made not to have him be here, but he's available to speak with the Court, with his client, and he didn't have an issue with my doing the allocution of Mr. Pagan regarding the Fifth Amendment.

And then on the issue of the subpoena, he said something about an oral motion to quash given the timing. I indicated that it wasn't necessary to resolve whether it had been properly served on Saturday because it appears the government has served him personally at this point, so what have you got, what can you produce. He proceeded to say I'm prepared to produce a number of things, and he gave me the

categories of things. I guess the question is whether these are things that you already have or not.

The transcript reflects — and this is what I took notes on — first, 2018 and 2019 bank statements from Dade County Federal Credit Union. This is what he is prepared to provide electronically.

Two, an EPCV account, he has 2019 and 2020 records, but, as I understand it, he could not get 2018.

Third, a JP Morgan Chase account, he has 2018 and 2019 records.

Fourth, Porsche auto loan paperwork from USAA as well as a State Farm policy breakdown.

Next, a cryptocurrency Bitcoin purchase from 2017 of .118 Bitcoin.

And then the 2019 tax return. He is not able to get the 2018 tax return because the accountant is away and will not be returning until tomorrow.

And then next was J-E-M, JEM, expenses, documentation, he's unable to get right now, to the extent that that is, I believe, talking about specific receipts and things that might be kept. He's unable to get those right away.

Next was the request for criminal and regulatory investigation information. He said there's nothing in that category.

Next was educational and work history. He said he

already provided a resume, and he is sending a diploma.

Next was JEM foundational documents. He had provided the main document, I guess, registering or incorporating JEM, and there were no operating or managing agreements.

And then last was the request for communications,

January 2018 to present, with certain individuals. He said
there are a number of WhatsApp and iMessages.

MR. SWETT: Your Honor, I think the items that we would be interested in -- many of these, we do have. The EPCV accounts information is something that we do not have, and so that, certainly, we'd like a copy of.

The Porsche auto loan paperwork and the State Farm policy documents, we think are responsive, and we don't have.

The Bitcoin purchase, I think we would like to see that.

The 2019 tax returns.

And then whatever WhatsApp and iMessage communications he has.

And, just to be clear, these are things that he has and is ready to produce electronically?

THE COURT: Yes, that's what he indicated.

MR. SWETT: Okay.

That's it.

THE COURT: I think what I said is the government would follow up with him, and my understanding is he's prepared

23

24

25

right now, and once we have them, we'll turn them over.

MR. MUKASEY: If we look at these documents, we may reassess whether or not we want to call him, so I think it's in

everyone's interests to review the documents.

THE COURT: I don't think that's how it works. You don't really have a right to them until after the direct, as I understand it.

MR. MUKASEY: Well, we still would have to reassess whether we're going to call him knowing there are documents coming in that we haven't seen.

MR. ANDREWS: It sounds like defense counsel should confer, then.

MR. MUKASEY: Which is what we would ask to do.

THE COURT: You should probably talk to his lawyer.

MR. MUKASEY: Yes, among other things.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. ANDREWS: Judge, might it speed up things — sorry to keep asking things of you. I'm not sure how your conversation with him ended, but what would not be ideal is if we email him, and then he goes to lunch and sends it to us afterwards. Did you express, once the government tells you to produce these things, you have to produce these things more immediately, or might it be more helpful if you call him back and say, hey, I've conferred with the parties, we really want you to produce these things ASAP?

THE COURT: I'm not sure exactly how I left it, but my understanding was he said he was prepared to produce them.

MR. ANDREWS: It might speed things up if you ask him

NB6KCOS4

to produce them quickly. THE COURT: Yes, I can do that. MS. DEININGER: Should we go ahead -- since counsel wants time to confer, should we go ahead and break for lunch, then we can try and use that to get these records? THE COURT: Yes, why don't we do that. MS. DEININGER: Okay. THE COURT: We'll see you at 2:00 o'clock. Thank you. (Luncheon recess)

AFTERNOON SESSION 1 2 2:30 p.m. 3 (Jury not present) 4 THE COURT: Be seated. 5 All right. Where do things stand. 6 MS. DEININGER: So for the record, we did receive 7 records from Chris DeCoste, Pagan's lawyer, over the lunch break. We have produced electronic copies of those via our 8 9 shared file transfer program. I think we were able to produce 10 those at 2 p.m. to defense counsel. We are working on trying 11 to make hard copies available, but that printing is still 12 ongoing. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 MR. MUKASEY: Sorry. We are working on a stipulation 15 to speed up some of the presentation. THE COURT: Okay. So the defense is still calling 16 17 Mr. Pagan? 18 MR. MUKASEY: We are. 19 THE COURT: Are there any defense witnesses after 20 Mr. Pagan? MR. MUKASEY: Your guess is as good as mine. No. 21 22 Doubtful, highly doubtful, virtually impossible, but --23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 MR. MUKASEY: I don't want to foreclose it, but I 25 doubt it.

25

1 THE COURT: All right. Are we ready for the 2 allocution of Mr. Pagan? Then I will bring the jury out and we 3 will start the direct, is that right? 4 MR. MUKASEY: Yes. 5 THE COURT: Okay. 6 (Witness present) 7 THE COURT: Good afternoon. THE WITNESS: Good afternoon, Judge. 8 9 THE COURT: I'm Judge Oetken. You are Mr. Pagan, 10 right? 11 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 12 THE COURT: Before you testify, I just want to advise 13 you that you have the right, under the Fifth Amendment to the 14 United States Constitution, not to give any testimony if you 15 have a well-founded fear that the testimony may tend to incriminate you of a crime, whether federal or state crime. 16 17 Do you understand that? 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, Judge. 19 THE COURT: Of course it's your decision, and your 20 decision alone, whether to testify, and I am not in any way 21 suggesting that you should or should not testify. I just want 22 to make sure you fully understand your rights and you have had 23 a chance to discuss them with your attorney. 24 Have you had a chance to talk to your lawyer about the

decision whether to testify?

1 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: And do you need further time to speak with 3 your lawyer? 4 THE WITNESS: No, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: All right. And do you wish to waive your 6 right not to incriminate yourself and testify in this case? 7 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: And have you made that decision knowingly 8 9 and voluntarily? THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. 10 11 THE COURT: And are you satisfied with your attorney's 12 representation of you in connection with this matter? 13 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: All right. May I bring the jury out? 15 COUNSEL: Yes, your Honor. 16 (Continued on next page) 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

called as a witness by the defendant,

25

- 1 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
- THE COURT: Ms. Guaba.
- 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 4 BY MS. GUABA:
- 5 Q. Good afternoon, Officer Pagan.
- 6 A. Good afternoon.
- 7 Q. Officer Pagan, did you participated in a criminal
- 8 conspiracy with John Costanzo, Jr.?
- 9 | A. No.
- 10 | Q. Did you participate in a criminal conspiracy with Manny
- 11 | Recio?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 | Q. Did you participate in a criminal conspiracy with John and
- 14 | his father?
- 15 | A. No.
- 16 | Q. Did you participate in a criminal conspiracy with John and
- 17 David Macey?
- 18 | A. No.
- 19 | Q. Did you participate in a conspiracy with John and Luis
- 20 | Guerra?
- 21 | A. No.
- 22 | Q. Did you conspire to funnel bribe payments from Manny Recio
- 23 to John Costanzo, Jr.?
- 24 | A. No.
- 25 | Q. Did you conspire to funnel bribe payments from David Macey

- 1 to John Costanzo, Jr.?
- 2 | A. No.
- 3 Q. And did you conspire to funnel bribe payments from Luis
- 4 | Guerra to John Costanzo, Jr.?
- 5 | A. No.
- 6 Q. Officer Pagan, can you please take a look around the
- 7 | courtroom. Do you recognize anyone in this courtroom here
- 8 today?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. Who do you recognize?
- 11 A. John and Manny.
- 12 | Q. Would you please identify John by an article of clothing?
- 13 A. John's wearing a black-blue suit, white shirt, purple tie.
- 14 | Manny is wearing a gray suit, a plaid tie.
- 15 MR. MUKASEY: Indicating the defendant.
- 16 | THE COURT: The witness identified each of the
- 17 defendants.
- 18 BY MS. GUABA:
- 19 Q. Sal, can you please pull up previously admitted Exhibit 5,
- 20 Government Exhibit 5.
- 21 Officer Pagan, do you recognize this photo?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. Where is this photo from?
- 24 A. It's my driver's license photo.
- 25 Q. You appear to look different today from this photo, why is

Pagan - Direct

1 | that?

- 2 A. I was a narcotics detective for 16 years. That was the
- 3 | look. The clean shaven look didn't work. I am now in uniform,
- 4 so we have to be clean shaven.
- 5 Q. What kind of work were you doing when you looked like this?
- 6 A. I did a lot of undercover work, surveillance, etc.
- 7 Q. Thank you, Sal. You could please take this down.
 - Officer Pagan, how old are you?
- 9 A. 51.

8

- 10 | Q. Where do you live?
- 11 A. Miami, Florida.
- 12 Q. What other real estate properties do you have, if any?
- 13 A. I have two duplexes and I have an apartment where my
- 14 parents -- where my father lives in and I rent an apartment.
- 15 | Q. Where do you currently work?
- 16 A. Coral Gables Police.
- 17 | Q. What sources of income do you have other than Coral Gables
- 18 Police, if any?
- 19 | A. My company JEM Solutions and also the rental properties
- 20 | that I own.
- 21 | Q. Can you please walk the jury through your work history
- 22 | starting from after college?
- 23 | A. While I was in college and after college I worked at a
- 24 | bank. When I graduated, I applied to the police department and
- 25 | in 1997 I was hired.

- 1 | Q. Did there come a time that you were assigned to the DEA?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. How long were you assigned to the DEA?
- 4 A. For about ten years.
- 5 | Q. What position were you assigned to?
- 6 A. I was a task force officer.
- 7 | Q. What is a task force officer?
- 8 A. You are still a detective with your department, but you
- 9 were placed in the DEA office to assist them in their
- 10 | investigations.
- 11 Q. How did you get assigned to the DEA as a task force
- 12 | officer?
- 13 A. I don't know. In like 2008 or '9, John asked me if I
- 14 wanted to be a task force officer with DEA, to help them out on
- 15 | a case, and I was interested, so that began the process.
- 16 \parallel Q. When you were working as both a DEA task force officer and
- 17 | in the Coral Gables Police Department, what was your schedule
- 18 | like?
- 19 | A. I worked 10 to 6. I worked basically half the time in
- 20 Coral Gables and half the time as a task force officer.
- 21 | Q. Did you work any overtime?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | O. How often?
- 24 A. Very often averaged between 50 and 60 hours a week.
- 25 | Q. Mr. Pagan, when did you first meet John Costanzo, Jr.?

4

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Pagan - Direct

1 A. In 1997 in the police academy.

how the relationship started.

- 2 Q. Can you please describe meeting John.
- 3 A. If I can describe what?
 - Q. Can you please describe meeting John.
- A. Sure. I met him in the police academy and we had similar interests working out, fitness. We became friends. A small group of us started to hang out and we did study groups, and we would go to his parents' house and study, work out, and sometimes go upstairs and eat at his parents' house. So that's
 - Q. And have you remained friends with John since the police academy?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 Q. How would you describe your friendship?
 - A. He is my best friend. He is like family to me. After the academy, when we graduated, he went to a small, small police department. We remained friends. We still hang out, go out on weekends, work out together. When he went to Secret Service, he introduced me to some of his friends, and I became friends with them. We would all go out together, hang out together, work out together. And in 2009, when I became a task force officer, we started doing work together, traveling, doing operations on the street. I did a lot of undercover. He was like one of my security guys. So when you work in that environment, you bond with the people you work with.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Pagan - Direct

2011 I got married. He was my best man at the wedding. 2014 I got divorced. He helped me out there.

And we are still -- you know, see each other a lot, hang out a lot, go out a lot, work out a lot.

- Q. And Sal, can you please pull up Government Exhibit 104, which is already in evidence.
 - Mr. Pagan, do you recognize this document?
- A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Can you please describe to the jury what this document is.
- 10 A. It's a questionnaire when you apply for a position with
- 11 DEA. It does like your background, other printed questions.
- Q. Who was this questionnaire for, if you could just look at
- 13 | the top right?
- 14 A. John Costanzo.
- 15 | Q. Sal, can we please go to page 14.
- Mr. Pagan, can you please read the title under section
- 17 | 16.
- 18 A. "People who know you well."
- 19 | Q. And Sal, if we can please go to the next page.
- 20 Mr. Pagan, can you please read who is listed as the 21 second person that knows John well?
- 22 \parallel A. That would be myself.
- 23 \parallel Q. What are the dates that are listed under "dates known"?
- 24 A. July of '97 to present.
- 25 | Q. And Sal, if we can please pull up Government Exhibit 116

- 1 which is already in evidence.
- 2 Mr. Pagan, do you recognize this document?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Do you remember filling this document out?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 0. What is this document for?
- 7 A. The questionnaire and background check when you apply to
- 8 DEA.
- 9 Q. Who is this questionnaire for?
- 10 A. Myself.
- 11 Q. And Sal, can we please go to page 10.
- 12 And Mr. Pagan, if you can read the title under section
- 13 | 13. If you can please read the title under section 13.
- 14 A. "People who know you well."
- 15 | Q. If we can go to the next page or just scroll, actually,
- 16 down to the bottom.
- Can you please identify the second person that is
- 18 | identified as someone who knows you well?
- 19 A. John Costanzo.
- 20 | Q. And what are the dates listed under dates known?
- 21 | A. June '97 to present.
- 22 | Q. Mr. Pagan, do you see the first entry under "people who
- 23 | know you well"?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Who is listed?

- 1 A. Frank Prieto.
- 2 Q. How long have you known Mr. Prieto?
- 3 A. September of 1980.
- 4 | Q. How do you know him?
- 5 A. We went to school together, junior high school, we went to
- 6 | high school together, we worked together, we went to college
- 7 | together. He went to law school. I worked for the City of
- 8 | Coral Gables. He went to University of Miami, so I would
- 9 always see him studying and pass by.
- 10 Q. Are you still friends with Mr. Prieto?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. And what does he do for a living?
- 13 A. He is a criminal defense attorney.
- 14 | Q. Where?
- 15 A. Miami, Florida.
- 16 | Q. We can take this down.
- 17 Mr. Pagan, how often do you see John?
- 18 A. At least five days a week.
- 19 | Q. Why do you see him five days a week?
- 20 | A. He lives right by the police department, and when I go to
- 21 | work, I drop off my dog at his house and I go to work; and
- 22 | then, when I get a break, I go feed the dog, walk the dog, and
- 23 | if I can't make it, he will do it for me.
- 24 | Q. And when was the last time you spoke to John?
- 25 A. Last week.

- 1 | Q. Do you know John's family?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. Have you developed a relationship with them?
- 4 A. I first met them while I was in the academy in '97 because
- 5 we would go eat dinner once or twice a week and then, from
- 6 there, as I became close to John, I was invited to family
- 7 dinners, holiday dinners.
- I then met his sister, his brother-in-law, the nieces
- 9 and nephew. I have known them since they were small and
- 10 became, you know, friends with them.
- 11 And then through family get-togethers and dinners and
- 12 | stuff like that, we became pretty close. I also played golf
- 13 with his father.
- 14 | Q. And what, if anything, do you know about his father's
- 15 | career?
- 16 A. He is retired DEA agent.
- 17 | Q. Do you know what he did after he retired?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. What did he do?
- 20 A. He opened up a security firm.
- 21 | Q. Do you know the name of the firm?
- 22 A. EBCO.
- 23 Q. Have you ever worked for EBCO?
- 24 | A. No.
- 25 Q. Did there come a time when you met Manny Recio?

Pagan - Direct

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. When did you meet Mr. Recio?
- 3 A. 2009, when I was trying to be a task force officer, he was
- 4 | the group supervisor of the group in Homestead. So John
- 5 | introduced me to him, and then we became friends and he went to
- 6 my department and asked if I can be assigned there.
 - Q. And have you remained friends with Mr. Recio?
- 8 | A. Yes.

7

- 9 Q. Describe your friendship with Mr. Recio.
- 10 A. I consider him a good friend. We, you know, talk a lot
- 11 about baseball and sports, and I met his son when he was
- 12 | little; and his son is big now, is a big baseball player. We
- 13 have done work together. He was my group supervisor in
- 14 | Homestead for a couple of years. And then when I went to
- 15 | Washington, he was one of the bigger bosses there.
- 16 Q. When was the last time you spoke to Mr. Recio?
- 17 A. Last week sometime.
- 18 Q. Did there come a time when you met David Macey?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. How do you know Mr. Macey?
- 21 | A. I met him through John.
- 22 | Q. Describe your relationship with Mr. Macey.
- 23 A. I consider him a friend. We used to go to his house for
- 24 dinners and barbecues and watch football. I became close to
- 25 his kids. I began to train his children, became friends with

Pagan - Direct

- 1 | his wife. His wife invited us to dinner every so often.
- 2 Q. How did you train his children?
- 3 A. I trained his older son in fighting. He wanted me to
- 4 | teach him how to fight. But that didn't last very long. And
- 5 then his younger son, who is autistic, would always come in
- 6 and watch and then I started to train his younger son.
 - Q. And when was the last time you spoke with Mr. Macey?
- 8 A. Couple months ago.
- 9 Q. Do you have any personal knowledge of John leaking
- 10 confidential DEA information to David Macey?
- 11 | A. No.

7

- 12 Q. Did there come a time when you met Luis Guerra?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 Q. How do you know Mr. Guerra?
- 15 | A. Again through John. There was a short period of time
- 16 where all three of us lived in the same building.
- 17 | Q. Can you describe your relationship with Mr. Guerra?
- 18 A. Friends, but I don't -- we don't really cross paths. He
- 19 | travels a lot and he got married and we used to hang out once
- 20 | in a blue moon, but ever since he got married, I haven't seen
- 21 | him much.
- 22 | Q. When was the last time you spoke with Mr. Guerra?
- 23 A. A couple years ago maybe.
- 24 | Q. Do you have any personal knowledge of John leaking
- 25 confidential DEA information to Luis Guerra?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 | Q. Officer Pagan, let me direct your attention to
- 3 | January-February of 2019. What, if any, financial transaction
- 4 | did you engage in at that time?
- 5 A. Can you be more specific? I'm sorry.
- 6 Q. Did there come a time when you invested money in a property
- 7 | that was purchased by John Costanzo, Jr.?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And are you familiar with the property located at 261
- 10 | Navarre Avenue?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. When did you first become familiar with that property?
- 13 A. I believe it was towards the end of 2018, John had been
- 14 | looking for a property to buy. He was tired of renting. So
- 15 | his girlfriend at the time was a realtor, and she knew the area
- of where he wanted to buy, and they found a townhouse in the
- 17 City of Coral Gables.
- 18 | Q. And when did you first see that townhouse?
- 19 A. I believe it was towards the end of '18. I'm not sure.
- 20 | Q. And Sal, if we can please put up Government Exhibit 6,
- 21 which is already in evidence.
- 22 Officer Pagan, is this a photo of the condo John ended
- 23 up buying in early 2019?
- 24 | A. No.
- 25 Q. What is this a photo of?

- A. That's a photo of the townhouses that face south. His is
- 2 | not in that row. His is on the north side.
- 3 | Q. Is this the building where John lives?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And is his apartment depicted in this picture?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Thank you.
- And Sal, if you can please pull up Government Exhibit
 401B which is already in evidence.
- 10 Officer Pagan, do you recognize this document?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 || Q. What is it?
- 13 A. It's a receipt, withdrawal receipt from the Dade County
- 14 | Credit Union.
- 15 | Q. Is that your name listed on the account?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. And do you see an account number with the last four digits
- 18 | shown?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. Do those numbers correspond with your bank account at Dade
- 21 | County Federal Credit Union?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. What is the amount that was withdrawn on this receipt?
- 24 A. \$50,003.
- 25 | Q. What is the previous balance on the receipt?

- 1 A. \$113,574.38.
- Q. At the time that you made this withdrawal, what was the source of the \$113,574.38?
- 4 A. This account, I opened up a savings account when I became
- 5 | a police officer, so it was about 26 years old. I did direct
- 6 debits from my paycheck into that account since, about 25, 26
- 7 | years. Any time I get money back from the IRS, it's directly
- 8 debited into that account. If I get -- sometimes when my
- 9 properties have an insurance claim, once I fix what needs to
- 10 be fixed, if there is any money left over, I will put it in
- 11 | there. And sometimes I put rental income in there.
- 12 | Q. And did that account have any money you received from Manny
- 13 | Recio?
- 14 | A. No.
- 15 Q. Whose money was in that account?
- 16 \mid A. Mine.
- 17 | Q. Was the money in your account taxed?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. How was it taxed?
- 20 A. From my paycheck. My paycheck is taxed and then some money
- 21 | would go into that account automatically.
- 22 | Q. And Sal, can we please go to the next page.
- 23 Did there come a time when you made out a cashier's
- 24 check from this account for the benefit of John Costanzo?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Which John Costanzo?
- 2 A. Senior.
- $3 \parallel Q$. Why senior?
- 4 A. There was talks of -- when John first saw the house, I
- 5 | liked the house, and there was another townhouse that his
- 6 girlfriend at the time told me there was another house in the
- 7 development that was for sale, so I was interested in
- 8 purchasing it. By the time I got to see it, it was already
- 9 under contract, so I took my money to invest in the house.
- 10 | Q. And did you review any mortgage documents relating to the
- 11 purchase of the condo?
- 12 | A. No.
- 13 | Q. And is there any formal paperwork that memorializes your
- 14 understanding with John about you paying his father?
- 15 | A. No.
- 16 | Q. Why not?
- 17 A. Because he is my best friend, I didn't think I would need a
- 18 contract or any type of agreement.
- 19 Q. And did Manny Recio give you any money to reimburse you for
- 20 | that \$50,000?
- 21 | A. No.
- 22 | Q. And did David Macey give you any money to reimburse you for
- 23 | that \$50,000?
- 24 | A. No.
- 25 | Q. And did Luis Guerra give you any money to reimburse you for

- 1 | that \$50,000?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. Did anyone give you any money to reimburse you for that
- 4 \$50,000?
- 5 | A. No.
- 6 Q. What would your position have been if John doesn't pay you
- 7 back ever the \$50,000?
- 8 A. I'm sure there would have been a good reason. It's fine.
- 9 It's an investment. Sometimes you don't make any money off
- 10 your investment.
- 11 | Q. And why would it be fine if John didn't do it?
- 12 A. Because he is still one of my best friends, so I know he
- 13 | didn't do it on purpose.
- 14 Q. Okay. Sal, if we can please take that down.
- Officer Pagan, have you heard of a company called JEM
- 16 | Solutions?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. What is JEM Solutions?
- 19 A. A company that opened up in January of 2019.
- 20 | Q. What was the company for?
- 21 A. At first it was going to be a consulting firm for athletes,
- 22 someone who would be professional, whether it was basketball or
- 23 | baseball. We were going to provide services to help them with
- 24 | security, finance, social media, etc. John had a good friend
- 25 who was a personal trainer that trained high-end athletes, and

Pagan - Direct

- one of those athletes wanted to open up a firm, and we were going to do the work for him.
 - Q. And why did you call it JEM Solutions?
- 4 A. Because John, Manny, and I had this -- several
- 5 conversations, once everyone retired, to have a company
- 6 | together.

3

- 7 \mathbb{Q} . So what does the J-E-M in JEM stand for?
- 8 A. John, Eddie, and Manny.
- 9 Q. And how did you see John's role, if any, in JEM Solutions?
- 10 | A. Well, he didn't really have a role. I think in 2019 he
- 11 | was -- he knew his time in D.C. was coming up, so he was
- 12 | talking about retirement and he wasn't sure if he was going to
- 13 go to D.C., so . . .
- 14 | Q. So when did you anticipate that John would join JEM
- 15 | Solutions?
- 16 A. When he retired.
- 17 | Q. And what role did he have in 2019?
- 18 A. He didn't have a role.
- 19 \parallel Q. Sal, if you can please pull up GX 507, which is already in
- 20 | evidence. My apologies, Government Exhibit 502. Take it off.
- 21 | It's 502. The articles of incorporation. Sorry.
- 22 Officer Pagan, can you please review the document.
- 23 What is this document?
- 24 A. The articles of incorporation for JEM Solutions.
- 25 Q. And when was JEM Solutions incorporated?

- 1 | A. January 31, 2019.
- 2 | Q. Why did you incorporate JEM Solutions in January of 2019?
- 3 A. I had already begun to teach classes, whether it was
- 4 | shooting or combaters classes, so I thought it was time to
- 5 | finally start opening up the company, the training side of the
- 6 company that I wanted to do.
- 7 | Q. Can you clarify what you mean by training?
- 8 A. Yes. I teach other -- I recertify certain officers in OC
- 9 spray, baton, CPR, defensive tactics. I used to do
- 10 recertifications for taser also. I do seminars for police
- 11 | jiu-jitsu. I teach at my gym twice a week. I teach shooting.
- 12 | I teach room clearing. I have been in S.W.A.T. for 23 years.
- 13 | I've been practicing jiu-jitsu for 16 years. I teach entries,
- 14 | shield work.
- 15 | Q. And who are the registered agents of JEM Solutions?
- 16 A. Just me.
- 17 | Q. And who are the officers and directors of JEM Solutions?
- 18 A. Just me.
- 19 Q. Does JEM Solutions have any employees?
- 20 A. When I am not teaching a class, I hire contractors to help
- 21 me.
- 22 | Q. Is John Costanzo one of those contractors?
- 23 | A. No.
- 24 | Q. Is John Costanzo an employee of JEM Solutions?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. Was John Costanzo ever paid by JEM Solutions a salary?
- 2 | A. No.
- 3 Q. Was John Costanzo ever paid a commission by JEM Solutions?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 | Q. Was he ever paid any wages by JEM Solutions?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Mr. Pagan, how informal were the operations of JEM
- 8 | Solutions in January-February of 2019?
- 9 A. All I had was the company was opened, I started to
- 10 purchase equipment that I needed to teach classes, but I wasn't
- 11 really up and running.
- 12 | Q. And was JEM Solutions created to pay bribes to John
- 13 | Costanzo, Jr.?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. When you founded JEM Solutions, were you still working
- 16 | full-time at Coral Gables PD?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. And how much time were you devoting to JEM when you formed
- 19 || it?
- 20 A. Not a lot. I was teaching maybe once a week.
- 21 | Q. And does the Coral Gables Police Department have a policy
- 22 | with regards to outside employment?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Sal, you can please pull up JC 1040 only for the witness,
- 25 | counsel, and the Court.

Pagan - Direct

Officer Pagan, do you recognize this document? 1 2 Yes. Α. 3 Without reading anything on it, what is this document? This is a form you have to fill out. If you have outside 4 Α. 5 employment, it has to be approved by the chief and by human 6 resources. 7 And how do you recognize this form? 8 I have to fill one out for JEM Solutions every year. 9 MS. GUABA: The defense offers JC 1040 into evidence. 10 MR. ANDREWS: Judge, may we have a sidebar? 11 THE COURT: Yes. 12 MR. ANDREWS: Thank you. 13 (Continued on next page) 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

(At the sidebar)

MR. ANDREWS: So, Judge, what they are about to introduce is a document filed by the witness with Coral Gables Police Department. I imagine the argument is going to be that this is a business record. The business record rule applies to records created by a business. So, for example, if I sent an e-mail as an employee to the Coral Gables Police Department, that doesn't necessarily qualify as a business record because that's just my self-serving hearsay. What's on this document is hearsay stating what the purpose of JEM Solutions was, and it's clearly being offered for its truth because they are trying to say that this is the purpose and you can take this statement for its truth. They can elicit that he filed the documents; however, I don't think that they can get in the hearsay just by virtue of the fact that he decided to submit it to Coral Gables Police Department.

MR. MUKASEY: But if the Coral Gables Police

Department requests the information and keeps it on file, then
they keep it in the ordinary course of business and it's filled
out at or about the time of the events at issue by a person
with knowledge, so the Coral Gables Police Department treats it
as a business record. I don't see why the Court shouldn't --

MR. ANDREWS: But then anything submitted to any type of government entity -- or any type of entity would qualify as a business record. The idea is it is maintained by the

business, not that it is just sent there by somebody.

MR. MUKASEY: It is maintained by the business, not fully created by the business, maintained by the business is the language.

THE COURT: So is the objection that we don't have anybody confirming that they maintained it in the ordinary course of business?

MR. ANDREWS: No, we don't know the circumstances in which this was created, the due diligence that was gone into. At this point we would not be -- we -- they have not established a basis for this business record. We don't believe that it qualifies. They can elicit testimony through the witness, but they can't put in the record.

MS. GUABA: You received this record from the Coral Gables Police Department with a certification from the custodian?

MR. ANDREWS: We received the record, however, we don't know the circumstances in which this particular record was created.

MR. MUKASEY: We got it from them, and they are the ones who got the business record.

MR. ANDREWS: Because we sent the subpoena to

Coral Gables. But the point is, this specific record doesn't

qualify as a business record. It doesn't matter if

Coral Gables was sent a custodian of record form saying

generally these are custodian of record forms. Anyone who ever sent a subpoena, that's what any entity does. There are limitations to what extent you get self-serving hearsay just by virtue of the fact that you submitted to a company. So, for example, if I mail AT&T and say my company X, Y, and Z does A, B, and C, it doesn't become a business record just because a telecom company maintains it in the ordinary course.

THE COURT: But if it's a form that's filled out, if it's a standard form that he fills out, then I think that's probably different. And I'm not sure -- I think you could get a foundation for business record by saying this was a form that was filled out.

MS. GUABA: Which is what I asked.

MR. MUKASEY: Submitted in the ordinary course of business for people who wanted to get outside employment to the Coral Gables Police Department.

MS. GUABA: It's no different than the FS85 that was already in evidence for Mr. Pagan that he filled out for his national security clearance and submits to the DEA. There is yet another form that he fills out for his employment to the Coral Gables Police Department and submits.

THE COURT: I will allow it as a business record.

MR. ANDREWS: Okay.

(Continued on next page)

Pagan - Direct

1 (In open court)

BY MS. GUABA:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Q. Mr. Chan, can you please pull up JC 1040.

THE COURT: JC 1040 is admitted.

(Defendant's Exhibit JC 1040 received in evidence)

MS. GUABA: Can it please be published to the jury.

BY MS. GUABA:

- Q. Officer Pagan, can you please look at the section called "proposed outside employment." What is the name of the company
- 10 | that is listed?
- 11 A. JEM Solutions.
- 12 | Q. What is the nature of the company that is listed?
- 13 A. Private protection/consulting, private security in
- 14 consultation regarding security/protection.
- Q. I just want to hold up, because we were interrupted, if you
- 16 could just remind us what is this form for?
- 17 A. You have to fill it out any time you have -- receive
- outside employment. So when I opened the company, I had to
- 19 have the company approved by my chief and by human resources.
- 20 | Q. Okay. And what are the responsibilities that are listed?
- 21 A. Consulting, private protection, private security, and
- 22 consultations regarding security/protection.
- 23 Q. And what are the proposed hours that are listed?
- 24 A. Sporadic, but usually during the weekend.
- 25 Q. And if we can just scroll out, and when did you get

- 1 | approval for this request from your department?
- 2 A. The chief signed it February 4, 2019. And the HR director
- 3 | signed it February 5, 2019. And the city manager signed it
- 4 | February 8, 2019.
- 5 | Q. Okay. We can take that down.
- 6 Where were JEM's offices located?
- 7 A. We didn't have an office.
- 8 Q. Were you looking for one?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- Q. Sal, if you can please pull up GX 503 which is already in evidence.
- 12 Officer Pagan, do you recognize this document?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. What is it?
- 15 A. This is an invoice I wrote out to Manny for \$10,000 which
- 16 was the investment of the Risk Management Company we were going
- 17 | to start, specifically office space.
- 18 | Q. And did you create this invoice?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. Where did you get this sheet from, this invoice sheet?
- 21 A. Office Depot.
- 22 | Q. And why is most of it blank?
- 23 A. A lot of things on there don't pertain to what it was for,
- 24 | plus I'm not -- I'm not a big company. I do everything. So I
- 25 didn't have any professional invoices made yet.

- 1 | Q. And did you give this invoice to anyone?
- 2 A. I gave a copy to Manny.
- 3 | Q. How did you give it to him?
- 4 | A. I handed it to him.
- 5 | Q. And did Manny ultimately end up paying \$10,000 to JEM
- 6 | Solutions?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And did you create a contract or written agreement with
- 9 | Manny for this investment?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Why not?
- 12 A. Because this is something we talked about and he is a good
- 13 | friend of mine, so I didn't think it was necessary.
- 14 | Q. Was the \$10,000 payment a bribe for John Costanzo, Jr.?
- 15 | A. No.
- 16 \parallel Q. Was this the only payment that Global Legal Consulting made
- 17 | to JEM Solutions?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Sal, if you can please pull up GX 504 which is already in
- 20 | evidence.
- 21 Officer Pagan, do you recognize this document?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | 0. What is it?
- 24 A. It's an invoice for work I did, addressed to Manny, that I
- 25 | did for Manny.

- 1 | Q. And who created this invoice?
- 2 | A. I did.
- 3 Q. What was this invoice for?
- 4 A. It was for a case that Manny was working that he had. It
- 5 was a bribery case, and he had a lot of documents to go
- 6 through, so he asked me to help him.
- Q. When you say a case, was this when Manny was at DEA or when
- 8 he was retired?
- 9 A. No, he was retired.
- 10 | Q. And working as -- and what was he doing after he retired?
- 11 A. He opened up Global Legal and he was doing investigations
- 12 | and . . .
- 13 Q. And can you please explain what work you did on the Johnny
- 14 Grobman matter?
- 15 | A. Manny had a bunch of documents he had to go through, text
- 16 | messages, e-mails, and so I -- he gave me several months to
- 17 | complete it, so I -- he gave me a hard drive and paper, like a
- 18 stack, large stack of paper with text messages, and I had to
- 19 review it and look for anything important.
- 20 | Q. Did you take any notes as you were doing that review?
- 21 A. I wrote -- he didn't want me to write on the actual
- 22 documents, so anything that looked important, I would mark it
- 23 with a, I guess, sticky note.
- 24 | Q. And what did you do with those documents after you finished
- 25 | your review?

- 1 A. I gave them back to Manny.
- 2 | Q. Did you meet any of the lawyers on the Johnny Grobman
- 3 | matter?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 | Q. Did you meet any of the paralegals on the Johnny job
- 6 | matter?
- 7 | A. No.
- 8 | Q. Did you meet anyone else on the Johnny Grobman matter other
- 9 | than Manny?
- 10 | A. No.
- 11 | Q. Do you have any records from your work on the Johnny
- 12 Grobman matter?
- 13 | A. No.
- 14 | Q. And why didn't you create a contract or written agreement
- 15 | with Manny for this work?
- 16 A. Again, a friend. He was a friend of mine. I didn't think
- 17 | I needed it.
- 18 | Q. And approximately how many hours did you spend doing this
- 19 work on this case?
- 20 A. About 80 -- 80 something hours.
- 21 | Q. Did John Costanzo have anything to do with the Johnny
- 22 || Grobman matter or your work?
- 23 | A. No.
- 24 | Q. Who did you send this invoice to?
- 25 A. I gave it to Manny.

- 1 | Q. And did Manny pay the invoice?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. How much did he pay?
- 4 A. \$10,750.
- 5 Q. And was that \$10,750 a payment for a bribe to John
- 6 Costanzo, Jr.?
- 7 | A. No.
- 8 Q. You can take that down.
- 9 Officer Pagan, did there come a time when John sold 10 you his car?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 \parallel Q. What kind of car was it?
- 13 A. A 2012 Porsche Panamera.
- 14 | Q. How did that come about?
- 15 | A. At that time he was close to going to D.C. Group
- 16 | supervisors have to go to D.C. for a year and a half, two
- 17 | years, whatever the time frame is, so he couldn't take that car
- 18 | to D.C. because of the weather and the snow or whatever, so I
- 19 | told him I would buy it from him.
- 20 Q. And he never had a Mercedes Benz, did he?
- 21 | A. No.
- 22 | Q. And Sal, if we can please pull up previously admitted
- 23 Defense Exhibit JC 1316.
- 24 Mr. Pagan, do you recognize this document?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 \square Q. What is it?
- 2 A. It's the certificate of title.
- 3 | Q. And was this the certificate of title for the car you
- 4 purchased for John?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 0. And was it a new or used car?
- 7 A. It was a used car.
- 8 | Q. If we can just zoom out.
- 9 And approximately how many miles did the car have?
- 10 A. When I bought it?
- 11 Q. Just zoom in on the bottom.
- 12 | A. 62,047.
- 13 | Q. And what was the total amount that you paid for the car?
- 14 A. The total was \$35,000. I don't remember the exact amount.
- 15 Q. Now, what amount does the form say was the seller's selling
- 16 | price?
- 17 A. \$29,000.
- 18 Q. Was that the total amount that you paid for the car?
- 19 A. No. I told John that -- I gave him 6,000 plus dollars to
- 20 pay the note down as a down payment because I didn't want my
- 21 payments over a certain amount, because I wanted to pay the
- 22 | principal down and get a loan for \$29,000.
- 23 Q. And Sal, if we could please pull up previously admitted
- 24 Government Exhibit 412 at page 66.
- 25 Mr. Pagan, what is this?

- A. It's a check that I wrote for John for the down payment of the car.
- Q. And who took possession of the car after you wrote this check?
- 5 A. I had possession of the car.
- 6 | Q. And was the car insured?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. When, if at all, did you take over the insurance payments
- 9 | for the car?
- 10 A. Whenever my loan went through, which I don't recall exactly
- 11 | what month, but I was using the car for a couple of months
- 12 | while John -- while I was getting the loan and John was still
- 13 paying the car and the insurance.
- 14 | Q. So John was paying the insurance while you were waiting for
- 15 | the loan?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. And did there come a time when John purchased new tires for
- 18 | the car?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Was that before or after you bought it?
- 21 | A. I believe that was before the loan actually went through.
- 22 | Q. And do you know how much approximately those tires cost?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. And between insurance payments and the tires, what was the
- 25 | total amount, to the best of your knowledge, that John paid

- 1 | while you had the car?
- 2 A. So he was paying for the actual car, plus insurance, plus
- 3 | three tires, it was about 34, \$3500, around there.
- 4 | Q. Was that money paid back at all?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Sal, can you please pull up Government Exhibit 705, which
- 7 is already in evidence. Mr. Pagan, what is the title of this
- 8 | chart?
- 9 A. "Schedule for JEM Solutions bank account from account
- 10 | inception to November 18, 2019."
- 11 | Q. And is this a summary of the transactions from your JEM
- 12 | Solutions bank account?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. And do you see three line items for flights from American
- 15 | Air Lines?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Who purchased those flights?
- 18 A. We had a conversation because I owed him money for the car
- 19 and for the tires and I said I'll -- just whenever you come
- 20 down, I'll take care of your flights.
- 21 | Q. Who did you have that conversation with?
- 22 | A. John.
- 23 Q. And did you have an understanding of why John was
- 24 purchasing these flights?
- 25 A. Yes.

2

Pagan - Direct

1756

- 1 | Q. Why was he purchasing them?
 - A. It was repaying for the car, the insurance, and the tires.
- 3 Q. And how does the total cost of the flights compare to the
- 4 | value of the insurance and the tires that John covered while
- 5 you were driving the car?
- 6 A. It's pretty much even.
- 7 Q. And were these flights money for a bribe that you were
- 8 paying to John?
- 9 | A. No.
- 10 Q. Sal, can you please pull up previously admitted Defense
- 11 | Exhibit JC 9358.
- Officer Pagan, can you please read the names of the
- 13 people on this text chain?
- 14 A. Gio Costanzo and Eddie.
- 15 | Q. Who was Gio Costanzo?
- 16 | A. John.
- 17 | Q. And I would like to read through some of these messages, if
- 18 we can go to the third page. Officer Pagan, if we can read
- 19 | through these messages together, you reading the blue messages
- 20 | and I will read the green for John. And if you could start
- 21 | with the message on the top left.
- 22 | A. "Were in his apartment talking. He's admitting to money
- 23 | but not dope."
- 24 | 0. "10-4."
- 25 A. "Going to gables to interview."

Pagan - Direct

- 1 | Q. "Okay. I am here. You on the move?"
- 2 A. "Just left."
- 3 | Q. "K."
- 4 A. "Deposit made. Done with banks. 100,000 Wells. 100,000
- 5 Bank of America. He only had 40,000 at Citibank."
- 6 | O. "Nice."
- 7 If we could just go to the top.
- 8 Officer Pagan, what does 10-4 mean here at the top?
- 9 A. That he acknowledges what I said.
 - Q. Do you remember these messages?
- 11 | A. Yes.

10

- 12 \parallel Q. What are they about?
- 13 A. We were following a target, a bad guy, and we approached
- 14 | him at his apartment and we seized money off of him. We
- 15 | interviewed him at his apartment, then we took him to
- 16 | Coral Gables where I worked to interview him, and then we took
- 17 | him to the banks that he claimed that he had money in.
- 18 | Q. And is that what you are referring to when you say "deposit
- 19 || made"?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. So this was related to your job.
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Why were you texting John about it?
- 24 A. Because he was our boss.
- 25 | Q. Do you have a bank account at Bank of America?

Pagan - Direct

- 1 | A. No.
- 2 | Q. Do you have a bank account at Citibank?
- 3 | A. No.

4

9

Q. Okay. We can take that down.

Oh, sorry, if we could just go back to that just one more thing. On the third page, what is the date of these

- 7 messages?
- 8 A. 4/22/19.
 - Q. Okay. Thank you.
- We could take that down.
- Officer Pagan, drawing your attention back to
- 12 | January -- drawing your attention to January of 2020, did there
- come a time when you made a \$20,000 payment on behalf of John
- 14 | to Susana Rueda?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. Who is Susana Rueda?
- 17 A. That's John's ex-girlfriend.
- 18 | Q. What do you know about John's relationship with Susana
- 19 Rueda?
- 20 A. It was a very long, on-and-off relationship.
- 21 Q. And, Sal, if you could please pull up GX 415 which is
- 22 | already in evidence.
- Officer Pagan, can you please review the document. Do
- 24 | you recognize it?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 | Q. What is this?
- 2 A. Withdrawal receipt from Dade County Credit Union.
- 3 | Q. If we go to the next page, is this the check that you gave
- 4 Ms. Rueda for the \$20,000?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Why did you give this check to Ms. Rueda?
- 7 A. Ms. Rueda paid a portion of John's attorney's fees, the
- 8 | first attorney he had. And then shortly thereafter, they had a
- 9 | big fight, and he was very stressed out about it because she
- 10 wanted her money back, so I told him I would take care of it.
- 11 | Q. And what was the source of the funds for this payment?
- 12 A. From my savings account.
- 13 Q. And did John ever pay you back?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 \parallel Q. Was that \$20,000 payment to Ms. Rueda a bribe payment to
- 16 John from Mr. Pagan?
- 17 | A. No.
- 18 Q. Was that \$20,000 payment a bribe payment from -- to John
- 19 | from Mr. Guerra?
- 20 | A. No.
- 21 | Q. Was that \$20,000 payment a bribe payment to John from David
- 22 Macey?
- 23 | A. No.
- Q. Officer Pagan, \$20,000 is a lot of money, fair?
- 25 | A. Fair.

- 1 Q. Why did you pay Ms. Rueda back on John's behalf?
- 2 | A. Because I didn't want him to be stressed out about it.
- 3 Q. And at the time you gave the check to Susana Rueda, how did
- 4 you understand that you would be paid back?
- 5 A. We didn't talk about it. He pays me back when he pays me
- 6 back.
- 7 Q. Officer Pagan, have you discussed your testimony today with
- 8 John Costanzo, Jr.?
- 9 | A. No.
- 10 | Q. And have you discussed your testimony today with Manny
- 11 | Recio?
- 12 | A. No.
- 13 | Q. Officer Pagan, just one more question about the \$20,000.
- 14 | Was that -- the \$20,000 you gave to Ms. Rueda, was that \$20,000
- 15 | a bribe payment from Manny Recio to John?
- 16 | A. No.
- MS. GUABA: No further questions.
- 18 | THE COURT: All right. Should we take a ten-minute
- 19 break or do we --
- 20 MR. ANDREWS: Maybe we should check if Recio's counsel
- 21 has questions.
- 22 THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry.
- 23 MR. GAINOR: Can we take a ten-minute break, your
- 24 | Honor?
- 25 THE COURT: We will take a break. Folks. Please

```
Nb62Cos5
                                  Pagan - Direct
      leave your notepads on your chairs.
 1
 2
                 (Continued on next page)
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

25

Pagan

1 (Jury not present) 2 THE COURT: You may step down. 3 I wanted to address an evidentiary issue that we had 4 talked about before. 5 MR. SWETT: Sure. 6 THE WITNESS: Do you want me to leave, sir? 7 THE COURT: Yes, if you can step out. Thanks. (Witness temporarily excused) 8 9 MR. ANDREWS: Judge, we might be able to streamline 10 I think we can just moot the issue. We were planning on 11 asking -- I think the witness has left the courtroom; is that 12 correct? 13 THE COURT: One second. 14 MR. ANDREWS: Okay. 15 (Pause) 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MR. ANDREWS: We were planning on just asking the witness about how, in January of 2019, he was approached by --18 2021, my apologies -- of 2021, he was approached by two special 19 20 agents in the case who asked to speak with him, and he refused 21 at that time to speak with them. 22 We think that gets the point across without having to 23 go into any of this grand jury stuff and seems totally fair 24 game under the case law.

THE COURT:

Okay.

MR. MUKASEY: Let me take the break to think about it.

I'm not as opposed to this form as I was to the prior form, but
let me take the break to think about it.

THE COURT: Okay. I did have my law clerks do a whole bunch of great research on the grand jury question, so I was going to rule that it's inadmissible under 403. There are a bunch of other circuit decisions on the question whether you can impeach a witness specifically about refusal to speak to the grand jury, not explicitly asking about invocation of the Fifth Amendment, where the courts have said that it should not be allowed under Rule 403. And there's Second Circuit case law that's from the 1970s that generally supports that principle.

But I don't think there's anything that precludes a question just about being approached to speak with an agent, as far as I know.

MR. MUKASEY: Just an unrelated procedural question:

To the extent we have some larger and smaller issues with

respect to the charge that the Court sent around, the revised

charge the Court sent around, I think, last night, when did you

want to handle that, after court today, before court tomorrow,

before summations?

THE COURT: If we have time, we can do it after court today maybe, or in the morning tomorrow.

MR. MUKASEY: I just want to make sure it doesn't go unaddressed.

NB6KCOS6 Pagan 1 MS. DONNER: I would appreciate tomorrow morning. 2 THE COURT: Tomorrow morning? That's okay. 3 If that's okay with everyone, tomorrow morning is fine 4 with me. 5 MR. MUKASEY: Sure. 6 MR. ANDREWS: Mr. Mukasey is undoubtedly going to yell 7 at me for the length of my cross, so why don't we do it for tomorrow. 8 9 THE COURT: All right. We'll resume in five or 10 ten minutes. 11 (Recess) 12 THE COURT: Is everyone ready? 13 MR. ANDREWS: Yes. 14 MR. GAINOR: Can I have a moment, your Honor? 15 THE COURT: Yes. 16 (Pause) 17 THE COURT: Ready? 18 MR. GAINOR: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Will you have questions? 19 20 MR. GAINOR: Yes, just a few. 21 THE COURT: Okay. We'll get the jury. 22 Bring in the witness. 23 (Continued on next page) 24 25

Case 1:24-cr-00641-JHR Document 70-1 Filed 08/08/25 Page 194 of 254 1765 NB6KC0S6 Pagan - Direct 1 (Jury present) 2 THE COURT: Please be seated. 3 Mr. Gainor? 4 MR. GAINOR: Yes, your Honor. Thank you. 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GAINOR: 6 7 Mr. Pagan, good afternoon. Good afternoon. 8 Α. 9 I wanted to ask you some questions that you were asked 10 about on direct on the Grobman case. Okay? 11 Α. Yes. 12 This was the case that you worked with Manny Recio on? 13 Α. Yes. 14 This was the fraud case involving baby formula? 15 Α. Yes. And you were aware that Manny Recio was originally hired by a law firm as an investigator in this case?

- 16
- 17
- 18 Α. Yes.
- 19 The law firm was Greenspoon Marder?
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 MR. ANDREWS: Objection; leading.
- 22 THE COURT: Sustained.
- BY MR. GAINOR: 23
- 24 Did you have discussions with Manny Recio about this case?
- 25 Α. Yes.

NB6KC0S6

- 1 Q. Were they face to face?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 MR. ANDREWS: Objection; leading.
- 4 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 5 BY MR. GAINOR:
- 6 | Q. I'm sorry, were they face to face, for the most part?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 Q. What type of materials were you given, Mr. Pagan, in your
- 9 work on the Grobman case?
- 10 A. It was emails and text messages, mostly.
- 11 | Q. Can you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury, were you
- 12 given a hard drive, were you given some other form of these
- 13 | documents?
- 14 A. I was given a hard drive, and I was given a stack of -- a
- 15 | large stack of papers, documents, text messages.
- 16 Q. Can you give us an idea how many documents were on this
- 17 | hard drive?
- 18 A. A great deal of information, and the documents was a very
- 19 | tall stack of papers.
- 20 | Q. And this was at a time when Manny Recio was in private
- 21 practice, after he left the DEA?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. When he worked for Global Legal Consulting?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. Can you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury how many

- 1 | months you worked on this project for?
- 2 A. Oh, for four months.
- 3 Q. Can you tell us how many hours exactly? I think you
- 4 mentioned 80. Was it in that vicinity?
- 5 A. Eighty-something.
- 6 Q. What hourly rate were you paid?
- 7 | A. 125 an hour.
- 8 Q. Did you have multiple discussions with Mr. Recio about
- 9 this, about your work on the Grobman case?
- 10 A. Not many. He told me what he needed done.
- MR. GAINOR: Just a moment, your Honor?
- 12 THE COURT: Yes.
- 13 (Pause)
- MR. GAINOR: Nothing further, your Honor.
- 15 | THE COURT: All right.
- Mr. Andrews.
- 17 | CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 18 BY MR. ANDREWS:
- 19 | Q. Mr. Pagan, you've been a law enforcement officer for over
- 20 | 20 years; is that correct?
- 21 | A. Yes, sir.
- 22 | Q. As part of your job, you speak with prosecutors, correct?
- 23 | A. I speak with?
- 24 | Q. With prosecutors?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. As part of your job, you speak with other law enforcement officers, correct?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. That's what you do every day, day in and day out, correct?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- Q. Now, in January of 2021, two special agents in this case
- 7 asked to speak with you, didn't they?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. To get your side of the story; is that right?
- 10 A. I didn't know what they wanted.
- 11 | Q. Did you ask what they wanted?
- 12 A. I asked what it was about.
- 13 | Q. And then the investigators told you what it was about,
- 14 | correct?
- 15 A. They told me what it was about, yes.
- 16 Q. And they told you this was about Costanzo, John Costanzo,
- 17 and Manuel Recio, right?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. And at that time, you could have told the entire story that
- 20 you told today, correct?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. But, instead, you refused to say anything, right?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 | Q. And despite the fact that you refused to speak with those
- 25 | law enforcement officers in January of 2021, you continued to

NB6KCOS6 Pagan - Cross

1 | speak with Costanzo and Recio, right?

- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. You spoke with them in 2020, correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. 2021?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. 2022?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. In fact, all the way until last week, correct?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. In the middle of this trial, right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. You spoke with both John Costanzo correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. and you spoke with Manuel Recio, right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Now, to be clear, after you refused to speak with those law
- 18 enforcement officers in January 2021, law enforcement had to
- 19 | serve you with a subpoena to JEM Solutions, correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. And that subpoena required JEM Solutions to turn over
- 22 documents, right?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. So even though you refused to speak with those officers in
- 25 | January of 2021, you had no choice to comply with the subpoena;

NB6KCOS6 Pagan - Cross

- 1 | is that right?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. And you produced all the records that you had in response
- 4 | to that subpoena, right?
- 5 A. I believe so.
- 6 Q. Well, you believe so or you did?
- 7 A. I believe I did.
- 8 | Q. Are you confident that you did?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Did you comply with the subpoena?
- 11 A. Are you talking about the one in 2021?
- 12 | Q. I'm talking about the one to JEM Solutions.
- 13 A. I'm pretty sure I complied with most of it.
- 14 | Q. You're pretty sure you complied with most of it.
- So when you say you're pretty sure you complied with
- 16 | most of it, you think you didn't turn over all the documents,
- 17 | that's your statement?
- 18 A. I turned over everything I had to my attorney.
- 19 | Q. Now you're not pretty sure, now you're sure?
- 20 A. Everything I was asked for in the original subpoena, yes.
- 21 | Q. So your production was complete, right?
- 22 A. I believe so.
- 23 Q. Okay. So, then, let's talk about first this \$50,000
- 24 payment.
- So in January of 2019, you wrote a \$50,000 cashier's

- 1 | check to John Costanzo, Sr., right?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 Q. That's John Costanzo's father, right?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. And this money, though, was intended for John
- 6 Costanzo, Jr.; is that right?
- 7 A. It was an investment in a house.
- 8 Q. Yes, and that was an investment in a house being bought by
- 9 John Costanzo, Jr.; is that right?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 Q. So your agreement with John Costanzo, Jr. was to give him
- 12 | this money, correct?
- MS. GUABA: Objection; characterization of the
- 14 | evidence.
- 15 THE COURT: Rephrase, please.
- 16 BY MR. GAINOR:
- 17 | Q. So you had an agreement to pay John Costanzo, Jr., correct?
- 18 A. A written agreement or a verbal agreement?
- 19 | Q. Any type of agreement.
- 20 A. I was going to invest in the house, yes.
- 21 | Q. And, to be clear, this money was not a gift to John
- 22 | Costanzo, Jr., correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 | Q. This money was not a gift to John Costanzo, Sr., correct?
- 25 A. Correct.

- 1 | Q. This was what you call an investment, right?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. An investment into the house, right?
- $4 \parallel A$. Right.
- 5 | Q. So before you invested this \$50,000 into the house, you and
- 6 Costanzo discussed the terms of the investment, right?
- 7 | A. Yeah.
- 8 Q. So you discussed how much of the house you would own,
- 9 | right?
- 10 A. No, I didn't have any formal conversations about anything.
- 11 | It was basically an investment, and --
- 12 MS. GUABA: Objection. Can you just clarify if it's
- 13 | Senior or Junior?
- 14 MR. ANDREWS: It's Junior.
- 15 BY MR. GAINOR:
- 16 | O. You can continue.
- 17 | A. Yep.
- 18 So there was no formal agreement. It was an
- 19 | investment, and once the house was sold, we'll figure it out
- 20 then.
- 21 | Q. So my question, though, wasn't whether there was a formal
- 22 | agreement. I just want to know what you and Costanzo
- 23 | discussed.
- So you didn't discuss how much of the house you would
- 25 own, correct?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 | Q. You didn't discuss how much you would make if the house was
- 3 | sold, correct?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. You didn't discuss what would happen if Costanzo sold the
- 6 property, right?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 | Q. You just gave \$50,000, correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. And, to be clear, you gave this money to Costanzo, Sr.
- 11 | rather than Costanzo, Jr.; is that right?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 Q. So we'll get back to that in a moment.
- But I think you mentioned before that you have a
- 15 couple of different properties, right?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. And when you got a mortgage on those properties, were you
- 18 ever told about something called a gift letter?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 | Q. Okay. So you never heard of a gift letter before?
- 21 | A. I've heard of it.
- 22 | Q. All right, so you've heard of it; is that right?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. And did you hear about it because you were getting a
- 25 mortgage?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 | Q. How did you hear about it?
- 3 A. I don't recall when I heard about it, but you're talking
- 4 about previous mortgages I have where I have a gift letter.
- 5 | Q. So John Costanzo never told you about a gift letter, is
- 6 | that your testimony?
- 7 A. I don't remember who spoke about it, but I have heard of a
- 8 gift letter before.
- 9 Q. So you don't remember now who told you about a gift letter;
- 10 | is that correct?
- 11 A. No. The first time? No. I've heard of gift letters
- 12 before.
- 13 | Q. So you've heard of a gift letter, but you have no idea who
- 14 | actually told you about a gift letter; is that right?
- 15 | A. Right.
- 16 MR. GAINOR: So let's publish, then, Government
- 17 | Exhibit 434A.
- 18 Q. Could you read the title at the top of this document?
- 19 A. "Gift Letter."
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 MR. GAINOR: And if we could zoom in on the very
- 22 | bottom of the document as to who signed the gift letter.
- 23 | Q. Do you want to read those two names?
- 24 A. John Costanzo, Sr.
- 25 | Q. And the other one on the right, please?

NB6KCOS6 Pagan - Cross

- 1 A. John Costanzo.
- 2 | Q. Junior?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Okay.
- MR. GAINOR: So, then, let's now zoom in on point
- 6 | number 3.
- Q. So, just to be clear, you stated that you paid Costanzo the \$50,000 because it was an investment, right?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. So why don't you read that line?
- 11 A. "No repayment of the gift is expected or implied in the
- 12 | form of cash or by future services of the recipient."
- 13 Q. And the recipient of this money, the end recipient of it,
- 14 was John Costanzo, Jr., right?
- 15 A. I paid it to Senior.
- 16 | Q. And then the end recipient was John Costanzo, Jr., right?
- 17 A. Yes, right.
- 18 | Q. He's the guy getting the mortgage?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 MR. GAINOR: So we can take that down.
- 21 Q. I just want to see if I can understand a little bit
- 22 | clearer, then.
- 23 So the money was supposed to be invested with
- 24 John Costanzo, Jr., right?
- 25 | A. Right.

- Q. You didn't give it directly to John Costanzo, Jr.; is that right?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. You gave it to John Costanzo, Sr., correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. And then a couple of weeks later, Senior gave it to Junior,
- 7 | right?
- $8 \parallel A$. Right.
- 9 Q. So you knew that once you gave it to Senior, it would be 10 given to Junior, right?
- 11 A. I didn't know the timing of it. I don't know when he gave 12 it to him.
- Q. So you knew that the money was going to be given to Junior, correct?
- A. I was paying Senior. Senior was helping Junior with the down payment. So I don't know the order of when he gave the money to Junior.
- Q. Okay. But I think the question is actually just a little bit simpler.
- You knew that after you gave the money to

 John Costanzo, Sr., the money was going to go to

 John Costanzo, Jr., correct?
- MS. GUABA: Objection.
- 24 THE WITNESS: I did not know. I didn't know the order 25 of it.

Pagan - Cross

1 BY MR. GAINOR:

- 2 | Q. So, sorry, you just said that you now don't --
- 3 MR. MUKASEY: There's a pending objection.
- 4 THE COURT: Objection overruled.
- 5 Q. So I think you just said one second ago that now you didn't
- 6 know where the money was going to go after it was paid to
- 7 John Costanzo, Sr.; is that correct?
- 8 A. I didn't know the order, if John, Sr. paid the down payment
- 9 | before I paid John, Sr. or after I paid John, Sr. I don't know
- 10 | what the order was, who gave money for the down payment or I
- 11 gave Senior the money first.
- 12 | Q. I see.
- So you didn't know whether the \$50,000 had already
- 14 been paid for the mortgage before or after you gave the money;
- 15 | that's your testimony?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Okay. Just so I can understand clearly, you could have
- 18 | just given the money directly to John Costanzo, Jr. the entire
- 19 | time, right?
- 20 A. Not for that down payment.
- 21 | Q. You couldn't give it to him for that down payment, right?
- 22 | A. It was -- I'm sorry?
- 23 | Q. You couldn't give it to him for that down payment, right?
- 24 | A. No.
- 25 Q. Let's talk about --

Pagan - Cross

1 MR. ANDREWS: One moment. 2 (Pause) Just to be clear, you couldn't give it to him because you 3 knew that friends were not allowed to make a down payment, 4 5 right? I knew a third party couldn't make a down payment, yeah. 6 7 And you are a third party, correct? 8 Α. Right. And you also knew you couldn't give it to him directly 9 0. 10 because you knew that it was an investment, correct? 11 Α. Right. 12 Q. And John Costanzo, Jr. said it was a gift, correct? 13 MS. GUABA: Objection. 14 THE COURT: Overruled. 15 THE WITNESS: According to the letter, yes. BY MR. GAINOR: 16 17 So, just to be clear, that's mortgage fraud, right? 18 MS. GUABA: Objection. 19 THE COURT: Sustained. 20 So, just to be clear, that was a false statement to the 21 bank, correct? 22 MS. GUABA: Objection. 23 THE COURT: Sustained. 24 And you discussed all of this with John Costanzo, Jr., 25 right?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- Q. So let's also talk about this \$20,000 payment to
- 3 Susana Rueda, then.
- So in November of 2019, you learned that Costanzo had been interviewed by law enforcement, right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. And that's when you learned that there was an investigation
- 8 | into Costanzo, correct?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And afterwards, Costanzo told you that he needed money for
- 11 | a defense attorney; is that correct?
- 12 | A. No.
- 13 Q. Costanzo never told you that he needed money for a defense
- 14 attorney?
- 15 A. He paid \$50,000 to his first lawyer, and his girlfriend
- 16 | paid 20 of it.
- 17 | Q. Okay. But you said at some point, you repaid the
- 18 | girlfriend \$20,000, right?
- 19 | A. Right.
- 20 | Q. And I assume Costanzo told you why he needed you to repay
- 21 | the girlfriend, correct?
- 22 A. He didn't tell me to repay. I offered to repay.
- 23 Q. Okay. So you offered to repay after he told you that he
- 24 | needed the money for an attorney; is that right?
- 25 A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

- Q. But it's your testimony that he never came to you first before he paid Susana Rueda; that's your testimony?
 - A. I don't understand the question.
 - Q. Let me rephrase.
 - Your testimony is that John Costanzo never came to you to ask for money before he first got it from Susana Rueda; is that right?
- 8 A. He didn't ask me for money, no.
- 9 Q. And, to be clear, John Costanzo didn't repay you the \$50,000 investment, correct?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. And he didn't repay you the \$20,000 payment that you made
- 13 to Susana Rueda, correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- Q. I think you said it was because you didn't want to stress
- 16 | him out about it; is that right?
- 17 | A. Right.
- 18 Q. So, while we're talking about stressing out about finances,
- 19 I want to talk a little bit more about your finances.
- In June of 2010, your house was foreclosed on,
- 21 || correct?
- 22 | A. In what?
- 23 | Q. In June of 2010, your house was foreclosed on, correct?
- 24 A. A condo, yes.
- 25 | Q. And in June of 2016, you were more than six months'

- 1 | delinquent on your next mortgage; isn't that right?
- 2 A. Which one?
- 3 | Q. Well, you tell me. How many mortgages do you have?
- 4 A. I have a couple, but --
- 5 Q. Well, were you delinquent in June of 2016 on a mortgage for
- 6 more than six months?
- 7 A. Yes. I was doing a modification.
- 8 | Q. And afterwards, you had a total of four mortgages between
- 9 | 2016 and 2020; is that right?
- 10 | A. Right.
- 11 | Q. So by 2020, you have two mortgages with Select Portfolio
- 12 | Servicing; is that correct?
- 13 | A. Right.
- 14 | Q. And by 2020, let's say October of 2020, you owed Select
- 15 | Portfolio Servicing approximately 268,000 on the first
- 16 mortgage; is that right?
- 17 A. I don't know the numbers.
- 18 | Q. Well, by 2020, you owed at least -- above \$250,000 on the
- 19 | first mortgage; is that correct?
- 20 | A. Yeah.
- 21 | Q. And by 2020, you also owed at least another 374,000 on the
- 22 | other mortgage; is that correct?
- 23 A. I don't know the exact numbers, but, okay.
- 24 | Q. But is it in the ballpark?
- 25 A. Yes.

Pagan - Cross

- 1 Okay. So those are the first two mortgages, and you also 2 had another two mortgages, correct?
 - On my house, my personal house? Α.
 - Right. Q.

3

4

5

6

7

8

15

- So you have the \$268,000 owed for Select Portfolio Servicing, the \$374,000 owed for the second Select Portfolio Servicing, and then you have a Bank of America mortgage; is that correct?
- I don't recall Bank of America unless they sold it, sold 9 10 the mortgage, to someone else.
- 11 Q. You don't recall having a mortgage with Bank of America in 12 2020?
- 13 Was that a primary residence? Α.
- 14 Q. You, sir, would know better than me, but is it your testimony that you can't even remember who services your
- 16 mortgage?
- 17 They've been sold many times to different companies.
- 18 Well, do you remember owing about \$140,000 on yet a third 19 mortgage by 2020?
- 20 You're talking about the apartment, yes. Α.
- 21 And on a fourth mortgage, you owed Union Home Mortgage Q. 22 another \$252,000 by 2020, right?
- 23 I don't know which mortgage that is. Α.
- 24 Ο. You can't even remember, right?
- 25 I don't remember which mortgage. Α.

- Q. Well, so it's a fourth mortgage, let's say, that you owe another approximately \$250,000; is that correct?
- 3 | A. Okay.
- 4 MS. GUABA: Objection; relevance.
- 5 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 6 BY MR. GAINOR:
- 7 Q. Sorry, was that a yes?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And then there was also a loan from USAA Federal Savings
- 10 | Bank that you owed approximately \$24,000 on; is that right?
- 11 A. For the car?
- 12 | Q. Yes.
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. So you know that adds up to about \$1,060,000 in debt?
- 15 | A. Okay.
- 16 | Q. Well, does that sound about right, about the amount of debt
- 17 | you had in 2020?
- 18 | A. Yeah.
- 19 | Q. I mean, you owed so much money on your mortgages, you
- 20 couldn't even pay down the principal on them, correct?
- 21 A. They were rented out, they were being paid.
- 22 Q. Well, to be clear, were you paying the principal on every
- 23 | single mortgage?
- 24 A. Whatever the mortgage was, I was paying. I can't tell you
- 25 how much I was paying on the principal.

Pagan - Cross

1784

- Q. So it's your testimony that for every single one of those mortgages, you were paying down the principal in 2020 and 2019?
- 3 A. I can't tell you how much I was paying down the principal.
- 4 I don't know.
- Q. But the question wasn't how much you were paying it down, the question was, were you paying it down?
- 7 A. I don't recall the specifics of the loan I had.
- Q. Okay. So you don't recall whether you were paying down your principal on all of these four mortgages, correct?
- 10 A. Correct.

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Am I correct to say that for two of those mortgages, you actually owed more money than the mortgage was worth?
 - A. No, not -- the properties were worth more than that.
 - Q. Well, let me just clarify the question, then.

What I mean is, you took out a mortgage for a certain amount, and then you actually owed more than that amount because of the interest? You understand what I'm getting at?

A. Well, I mean, when you buy a house, yes, you buy it for X

- amount of dollars, and then you finance it. Yes, you're paying
- 20 more interest.
- Q. And if you don't pay off the principal, the interest causes you to owe even more money, correct?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- Q. Are you aware I assume you are that in 2020 for two of the mortgages, the amount you owed on the mortgage was more

- 1 | than you actually took out originally?
- 2 MS. GUABA: Objection as to what the witness knows.
- 3 It's assuming.
- 4 THE COURT: Don't answer -- don't assume. Just if you
- 5 know, you can answer.
- 6 THE WITNESS: No, I don't know the specifics.
- 7 BY MR. GAINOR:
- 8 Q. You can't remember.
- 9 So with all this debt, you were totally fine losing
- 10 | 70,000 thousand bucks?
- 11 A. Yes. The properties were rented out.
- 12 | Q. Just to be clear, your posttax, postdeduction income in
- 13 | 2019 was about \$60,000, right?
- 14 A. If you say so. I don't know, sir.
- MR. GAINOR: Here, why don't we publish Government
- 16 | Exhibit 709.
- 17 | Q. So do you want to read the top line there?
- 18 A. "Edwin Pagan, III available net income per 2019 F1040 tax
- 19 return filing."
- 20 | Q. I want you to take a look at this chart. Let me know
- 21 whether this looks about right to you for 2019.
- 22 A. It looks right.
- 23 Q. It looks right.
- So your net income after deductions was about \$61,792;
- 25 | is that right?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. So if you owed approximately \$1,060,000, and your net
- 3 | income after deductions was \$61,792, do you know how long it
- 4 | would take for you to pay off all that debt if you didn't use
- 5 any money to eat, pay utilities, or any other life expenses?
- 6 | A. No, I don't.
- 7 | Q. It would take 17 years.
- 8 So let's now, then, talk about JEM Solutions.
- 9 MR. ANDREWS: And we can take that down.
- 10 | Q. So you testified on direct that JEM is an acronym; is that
- 11 | correct?
- 12 | A. A what?
- 13 Q. That JEM is an acronym, correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 \parallel Q. J is for John?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. John Costanzo.
- 18 E is for Eddie?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. Eddie Pagan?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 | Q. And M is for Manny, right?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Manuel Recio, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And when you started JEM Solutions, Costanzo texted you that this was "our company," right?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 | Q. And he sent you a picture of the debit card, right?
- 5 A. I sent him a picture.
- 6 Q. You sent him the picture; that's exactly right.
- 7 And then you sent him pictures of the checks,
- 8 | checkbook as well, correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. And you also sent him log-in information for the account,
- 11 | right?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. But it's your testimony that even though you sent him the
- 14 debit card, the checkbook, the log-in information, Costanzo had
- 15 | no role in JEM in 2019, correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 | Q. So let's talk about some of these payments to JEM, then.
- 18 You testified on direct that Manuel Recio paid about
- 19 | \$20,000 to JEM Solutions; is that right?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. Now, you received a subpoena to JEM Solutions, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. And I think you testified, at the beginning of your
- 24 | testimony, that you complied with that subpoena, right?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. You turned over all the documents in your possession,
- 2 correct?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. And you turned over no contracts to Global Legal Consulting
- 5 | for 2019, correct?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 | Q. You turned over no agreements with Global Legal Consulting
- 8 | in 2019, correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 | Q. You turned over no emails with Global Legal Consulting in
- 11 | 2019, correct?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. You turned over no text messages with Global Legal
- 14 | Consulting in 2019, right?
- 15 A. No text messages?
- 16 | O. Yes. In 2019.
- 17 A. With Manny or with --
- 18 Q. With Manny.
- 19 A. I turned over what I had.
- 20 Q. You turned over what you had?
- 21 You remember you didn't have any text messages that
- 22 you turned over with Manny in 2019?
- 23 A. No, I don't recall that.
- 24 | Q. You turned over no investigative reports in 2019, right?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. And you turned over no expenses that you had in 2019,
- 2 | correct?
- 3 A. I don't think I turned over, no.
- 4 | Q. Because you didn't have any, correct?
- 5 A. I had expenses.
- 6 Q. You didn't have any documentation of any of those expenses?
- 7 A. Well, I mean, the IRS says I can -- after three years I
- 8 don't have to hold it anymore, so...
- 9 Q. To be clear, though, when you got the subpoena in 2021,
- 10 | 2019 was still within that three-year period, right?
- 11 | A. Right.
- 12 | Q. But, still, you turned over no documentation of your
- 13 expenses in 2019, right?
- 14 A. If you say so. I don't know what my lawyer sent you, I'm
- 15 sorry.
- 16 | Q. Well, let me be clear, you gathered the documents that were
- 17 | turned over, correct?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 | Q. And then you gave those documents to your attorney?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. And then your attorney, to your understanding, gave them to
- 22 | the U.S. Attorney's Office, correct?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. And I assume you have no reason to think that your attorney
- 25 decided to take documents out of the production, right?

Pagan - Cross

- 1 A. Right.
- 2 | Q. So let's just take a look, then, at your bank account.
- 3 MR. ANDREWS: So if we could publish Government
- 4 | Exhibit 705.
 - Q. I think you saw this on direct, right?
- 6 A. Right.

- 7 Q. This is a summary of all the financial transactions done by
- 8 | JEM from inception through November 18th of 2019, right?
- 9 A. What was the 18 part? I'm sorry.
- 10 | Q. This is a summary of all the financial transactions done by
- 11 | JEM Solutions from its inception to November 18th of 2019,
- 12 | correct?
- 13 A. I'm sorry, I thought you said 2018. Excuse me.
- 14 Yes, sir, that's correct.
- 15 | Q. Is okay. Now, to be clear, November 18th of 2019 is when
- 16 | law enforcement interviewed Costanzo and Recio, correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. Are you aware of whether Costanzo and Recio lied during
- 19 | those interviews?
- 20 | A. If they lied?
- 21 MS. GUABA: Objection.
- 22 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 23 \ Q. Now, there are only 11 transactions on this schedule,
- 24 | correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. No expenses that we see here, right?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 | Q. So no charges for advertising, right?
- 4 A. Not on this statement, no.
- 5 | Q. Oh, not on this statement?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. So from the account's inception to November 18th of 2019,
- 8 | are you saying that there's some other bank account that exists
- 9 | for JEM Solutions that has all of the expenses?
- 10 A. No, sir. I'm just saying I sometimes used a credit card to
- 11 purchase.
- 12 | Q. But you didn't use a credit card for JEM Solutions, then,
- 13 | right?
- 14 A. I used basically one credit card.
- 15 | Q. And that credit card was not JEM Solutions', right?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 | Q. To be clear, you didn't use any of the money from JEM
- 18 | Solutions to pay the expenses on that credit card, right?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 | Q. You just let all the money sit inside JEM Solutions' bank
- 21 | account?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. And you did that from February to November of 2019, right?
- 24 | A. Right.
- 25 | Q. And this is the same time that you had almost a million

- 1 | dollars in debt, right?
- 2 A. Right.
- 3 | Q. You didn't want to touch this money, correct?
- 4 A. That's money that had nothing to do with my rentals. I had
- 5 another account that dealt with the rentals.
- Q. Well, I just want to be clear, though: What I was asking
- 7 you about were expenses by JEM Solutions.
- 8 | A. Okay.
- 9 Q. You didn't use any of the money in JEM Solutions' account to pay the alleged expenses, correct?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. And, by the way, these airplane tickets, those are airplane
- 13 | tickets purchased by John Costanzo, right?
- 14 | A. Right.
- Q. And you then reported on your tax returns that these
- 16 | airplane tickets were business expenses?
- 17 A. I would need to see them, but I take your word for it.
- MR. ANDREWS: Well, why don't we do this, why don't we
- 19 publish Government Exhibit 604, and if we want to go down to
- 20 | page -- I think it might be 12, to give it a guess. Keep going
- 21 down, and let's zoom in on expenses. And let's just look at
- 22 | travel for a moment.
- 23 | Q. I just want do a little memory test. The number -- or,
- 24 | rather, the amount that Costanzo paid for the airplane tickets
- 25 was about 3,400 bucks, right?

- 1 A. Right.
- 2 | Q. And here we see, under travel, the number is about 3,010
- 3 | bucks, right?
- 4 A. Right.
- 5 | Q. You expensed the airplane tickets, didn't you?
- 6 | A. I what?
- 7 Q. You expensed the airplane tickets, didn't you?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. You claimed that John Costanzo's travel was a JEM business
 10 expense, correct?
- 11 A. I told my accountant that I spent X amount of money on the
- 12 account for travel, and my accountant put it where he put it.
- 13 Q. Oh, so you're blaming your accountant, then, for the fact
- 14 | that John Costanzo's travel is expensed as a JEM expense?
- 15 | That's your testimony?
- 16 A. I gave my accountant the information that he does. I'm not
- 17 | an accountant, sir, I'm sorry.
- 18 Q. Well, sir, you spoke with your accountant about your
- 19 expenses, right?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. Because you wanted to make sure they were accurate, right?
- 22 A. Right.
- 23 Q. Because you have to certify that the tax return is
- 24 | accurate, correct?
- 25 | A. Right.

- Q. It's your name on the line if it's not correct, correct?
- 2 A. Right.

5

6

7

10

11

12

- Q. So when you gave the documents to the accountant, you were
- 4 | very careful with making sure that this tax return was right?
 - A. Right. And I relied on him to make sure it's right also.
 - Q. So then let's now talk about your explanations for these payments.
- 8 MR. ANDREWS: So we can take down Government Exhibit 9 604.
 - Q. So you testified that you don't have any contracts or agreements with Global Legal Consulting because you were so close with Manuel Recio, right?
- 13 A. Not in those words, but yes.
- 14 | Q. You guys were really close buddies, correct?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- Q. Now, Mr. Pagan, you never called Manuel Recio once between
 October 2018 and December 2019, did you?
 - A. It doesn't seem right, but I'll take your word for it.
- 19 Q. Let's just double-check.
- 20 MR. ANDREWS: So let's publish Government Exhibit 360.
 21 And let's do it outside of TrialDirector, please.
- So if we can scroll down a little bit. We can stop right there.
- Q. Focusing your attention on number 3, do you recognize Recio
 Lilly Recio? Do you recognize that name?

- 1 A. I'm sorry?
- 2 Q. Do you recognize the name Lilly Recio?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Okay. That's Manuel Recio's what?
- 5 \parallel A. Ex-wife.
- 6 Q. What relation between Manuel Recio and Lilly Recio?
- 7 A. That's his ex-wife, I believe.
- 8 | Q. And these are call records for Manuel Recio, correct?
- 9 | A. Okay, yes.
- 10 Q. Let's just do a control-F to see whether your phone number
- 11 ever shows up on them. Remind me, what's your phone number?
- 12 | A. (305)218-1251.
- 13 | Q. So let's do 1251.
- Do you see it's searching, searching, searching?
- 15 And if you could read the box.
- MS. GUABA: Objection.
- 17 MR. GAINOR: Objection, your Honor.
- 18 MS. GUABA: I don't even know what this is.
- 19 MR. ANDREWS: Maybe this will clarify. Could we pull
- 20 up stipulation -- one moment.
- 21 (Pause)
- 22 MR. ANDREWS: And if we could scroll down.
- 23 \parallel Q. So if we keep on scrolling down I'll tell you when to
- 24 stop, thank you; keep going, there we go so the parties'
- 25 stipulation S8 an agreement between the parties.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Pagan - Cross

"Government Exhibit 360 is a call log from the Recio phone from October 9, 2018, to November 17, 2019."

Do you remember the exhibit number that we looked at?

Do you remember that number?

- A. No. I'm sorry.
- Q. Okay, totally fine.

MR. ANDREWS: Let's just click over to the left side so we can take a look at what that exhibit is again.

And why don't we just show the witness the exhibit number on this exhibit.

- Q. Government Exhibit 360, right?
- 12 | A. Right.
- Q. We're looking at the call log from Manuel Recio's phone from October of 2018 to November of 2019.
- 15 And your name was nowhere on there, correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- Q. So do you still think you might have called Manuel Recio between October 2018 and 2019?
- MR. GAINOR: Objection, your Honor; he's not familiar with this document.
- 21 THE COURT: Overruled.
- A. I don't know if I called him from another phone that I had at the time.
- 24 | Q. Oh, so you had another secret phone?
- 25 What's your secret phone number?

NB6KCOS6 Pagan - Cross

1 MS. GUABA: Objection.

2 THE COURT: Sustained.

- Q. What's your other phone?
- A. It was a DEA phone. I believe it was (786)269-4 --

5 MS. GUABA: Objection to this form.

- A. 4046 maybe.
- Q. So that was 4046?

8 MS. GUABA: Judge, objection to this.

THE COURT: Overruled.

- 10 Q. So we just looked up 4046 through the call log.
- Did your other DEA phone show up here?
- 12 | A. No.

3

4

6

7

- 13 | Q. Okay.
- But you did have some text messages with Manuel Recio,
- 15 || correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. Now, those text messages were about sports and a
- 18 double-dating, correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 | Q. And, to be clear, you didn't text Manuel Recio a single
- 21 | time in April of 2019, when Recio paid you the \$10,000, right?
- 22 | A. I don't remember the dates of the text messages for the
- 23 double date, no.
- 24 | Q. Let's do this instead, let's publish Government Exhibit
- 25 | 1036. We can do it outside of TrialDirector still.

Pagan - Cross

- 1 MR. ANDREWS: Let's scroll down until we get to the 2 April time period, April 2019.
- All right, so let's zoom in on these two messages.
 - Q. You see this first message on the top from you?
- 5 A. The blue one?
- 6 Q. The blue one.
- 7 A. I just see Manny's number.
- 8 Q. Do you see, the very top, it says "From"?
- 9 \parallel A. Right.

- 10 | Q. Okay. You're Edwin Pagan, right?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Okay. Now, this is sent on March 8th of 2019, correct?
- MR. ANDREWS: Why don't we highlight it for the
- 14 witness.
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. And then the next message is sent from Manuel Recio to you,
- 17 and that is not sent until June 7th of 2019, correct?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 | Q. So you had no text messages between you and Manuel Recio in
- 20 | April of 2019, when the \$10,000 payment happened, correct?
- 21 | A. Correct.
- 22 | Q. And you also had no text messages in May, before the
- 23 | \$10,750 payment, correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 | Q. And then you also had no text messages in June, before the

```
Case 1:24-cr-00641-JHR
                          Document 70-1 Filed 08/08/25 Page 228 of 254
                                                                      1799
      NB6KCOS6
                                Pagan - Cross
      $10,750 payment, correct?
1
 2
      A. Correct.
          So then let's now talk about these invoices that you
 3
 4
     mentioned before.
 5
               MR. ANDREWS: We can take down that exhibit.
6
          So the only documentation you turned over for the $10,000
 7
      payment from Manuel Recio in April 2019 was a single invoice,
8
      correct?
     A. Correct.
9
10
               MR. ANDREWS: If we can publish Government Exhibit
      503.
11
12
          That's the invoice, correct?
13
      Α.
          Yes.
14
          A handwritten document, right?
15
      Α.
          Yes.
          And I think you testified you gave a copy of this invoice
16
17
      to Global Legal Consulting, correct?
18
      A. Correct.
19
          And you don't know whether Global Legal Consulting still
20
      had a copy of this invoice, do you?
21
               MS. GUABA:
                          Objection.
22
               THE COURT: Overruled.
```

A. I don't know.

MR. ANDREWS: If we could put up Government Exhibit

25 504.

- 1 | Q. This is a handwritten invoice for \$10,750 payment, right?
- 2 A. Right.
- 3 | Q. And you claim that you also gave this one to Global Legal
- 4 | Consulting, correct?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. And, by the way, is it your normal practice to handwrite
- 7 | invoices for JEM Solutions?
- 8 | A. No. Manny agreed to do my admin stuff, but he was
- 9 | traveling out of the country a lot, so I just did it on my own.
- 10 | Q. So it's not your normal practice to handwrite invoices,
- 11 | correct?
- 12 A. Right. That's when the company was first developed, so I
- 13 | had no documentation, and I didn't have any invoices or any
- 14 | logs or anything typed up.
- 15 | Q. Well, to be clear, this was four months after the company
- 16 | was opened, right?
- 17 A. Right.
- 18 Q. After you had done all of this work that you just talked
- 19 | about on direct, right?
- 20 | A. Right.
- 21 | Q. And you said it even wasn't your normal practice to
- 22 | handwrite invoices, correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 | Q. You decided to handwrite these invoices, correct?
- 25 A. I didn't have a computer-generated invoice at the time.

Pagan - Cross

1801

- 1 MR. ANDREWS: So let's take down that document.
- 2 I just want to understand then - this \$10,000 payment from
- Manuel Recio to your company, it was an investment in risk 3
- management, I think was what you put on the invoice, correct? 4
- 5 Α. Correct.
- You must have discussed with Recio all the terms of that 6
- 7 investment, correct?
- 8 It was basically for office space.
- It was basically for office space? But you didn't have an 9 Ο. 10 office in 2019, right?
- No. I was looking for one.
- 12 So just let me make sure, then -- so you and Recio
- 13 discussed what percentage Recio would get of the company for
- 14 investing \$10,000, correct?
- 15 Α. No.

- 16 So you and Recio discussed how much you'd have to repay
- 17 Recio for investing \$10,000 in your company, right?
- 18 Α. No.
- 19 And you discussed with Recio how long you'd have to repay
- 20 the \$10,000, correct?
- 21 MR. GAINOR: Objection, your Honor.
- 22 THE COURT: To repay; sustained.
- 23 You discussed with Recio how long you would have to -- let
- 24 me rephrase.
- 25 At some point, you discussed with him that you would

- 1 | have to give him money back, correct?
- 2 A. Well, that money was going to look for an office, but the
- 3 | risk management idea that we had didn't go through.
- 4 | Q. But you didn't discuss with him any of the terms of
- 5 | repayment; that's your testimony?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 | Q. And then there's also this \$10,750 payment from Manuel
- 8 Recio to you, correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 | Q. Those are the services you were doing with the Johnny
- 11 | Grobman case, correct?
- 12 | A. Right.
- 13 Q. Just to be clear, I think when you were being asked by
- 14 Ms. Guaba, you said that that was a bribery case, correct?
- 15 | A. Right.
- 16 | Q. But when Mr. Gainor asked you, you said it was a fraud
- 17 | case?
- 18 | A. Right.
- 19 Q. So was it a bribery case or a fraud case?
- MS. GUABA: Objection.
- 21 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 22 | A. It was a bribery and a fraud case, if you look at the case.
- 23 | Q. Oh, so it's a bribery-fraud case?
- 24 | A. I believe it's both.
- 25 | Q. So when Ms. Guaba asked you, you just forgot about this

NB6KCOS6 Pagan - Cross

1 | whole fraud angle, correct?

MS. GUABA: Objection.

3 | THE COURT: Sustained.

- 4 Q. Just so I understand correctly, you said that when you
- 5 started JEM, it was supposed to be for consulting for athletes,
- 6 | correct?

- 7 A. Right.
- 8 | Q. But this wasn't consulting for athletes, correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 | Q. So this was not the purpose that you -- this was not part
- 11 of the purpose of you creating JEM, correct?
- 12 | A. Yes, it is.
- 13 | Q. And just so I also understand, at the time that you were
- 14 doing these alleged services, you were a task force officer
- 15 | with the DEA, correct?
- 16 A. At the time what?
- 17 | Q. At the time that you were doing these alleged services, you
- were a task force officer at the DEA, correct?
- 19 A. 2019? Yes.
- 20 | Q. You were also a detective with the Coral Gables Police
- 21 | Department, right?
- 22 A. Righted.
- 23 Q. So your sworn mission was to assist in the investigation
- 24 and prosecution of crimes, correct?
- 25 A. Correct.

Pagan - Cross

1 But you want the jury to believe that you were 2 simultaneously being paid to help a criminal defendant beat his case; that's your testimony? 3 4 MS. GUABA: Objection. 5 THE COURT: Sustained as to how it's phrased. 6 But you were simultaneously being paid to help a criminal 7 defendant beat his case; that's your testimony? 8 I was looking for documentation that Manny requested me to look for, important documents and text messages. 9 10 Well, just to be clear, you knew that Manuel Recio was 0. 11 working for defense attorneys, right? 12 Α. Yes. 13 So is your testimony that you were helping Manuel Recio and 0. 14 the defense attorneys in the defense of somebody charged, at 15 the same time that you were responsible for investigating and prosecuting crimes? 16 17 Yes, drug crimes. Α. 18 Just so I understand correctly, then, you were being paid 19 to help a man who was convicted at trial of cheating U.S. 20

manufacturers out of baby formula, at the same time that you were working for both the DEA and Coral Gables Police Department? That's your testimony?

MR. GAINOR: Objection; asked and answered.

THE COURT: Overruled.

You may answer.

21

22

23

24

NB6KCOS6 Pagan - Cross

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Now, you never spoke with Grobman's defense attorneys,
- 3 | right?
- 4 A. I'm sorry?
- 5 Q. You never spoke with Grobman's defense attorneys, correct?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. And you also never told Coral Gables about this work you
- 8 were doing for defense attorneys, right?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 | Q. In fact, when you signed that form that you gave to Coral
- 11 | Gables, you didn't mention anything about doing work for
- 12 defense attorneys, right?
- 13 A. Right. The form changed after the next year because I got
- 14 different work.
- 15 | Q. Well, to be clear, if we want to publish DX 1040 again --
- 16 THE COURT: JC 1040.
- MR. ANDREWS: JC 1040, thank you.
- 18 | Q. -- this is the form you were asked about on direct,
- 19 | correct?
- 20 | A. Right.
- 21 Q. This is the form that you used to tell the City of Coral
- 22 | Gables about your outside employment, right?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. And you knew you had to be truthful on the form, right?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Because this is how Coral Gables decides about whether they're going to allow you to get outside employment, right?
- 3 A. Right.
- 4 | Q. And you were truthful on the form; that's your testimony?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- Q. And in responsibilities, is there anything about doing work
- 7 | for criminal defense attorneys?
- 8 | A. No.
- 9 Q. So we can take down this document because I just want to understand, then, the work you were doing.
- So you stated that Manuel Recio gave you documents,
- 12 | correct?
- 13 | A. Right.
- 14 | Q. What type of documents did he give you?
- 15 A. It was a hard drive, and it was a stack of papers.
- 16 Q. How big was the hard drive?
- 17 | A. How big?
- 18 Q. Yes. How many terabytes?
- 19 A. I don't know.
- 20 Q. How many documents were on the hard drive?
- 21 | A. How many documents on the hard drive? I don't know.
- 22 | Q. You can't remember?
- 23 A. He told me he terabytes of information that he had to go
- 24 | through. I don't know how big the drive was, how many
- 25 documents.

Pagan - Cross

- Q. So he told you there were terabytes of documents on the hard drive, right?
- 3 A. Of information that he had to go through.
- 4 Q. Okay. And I think you said that he gave you a big stack of
- 5 papers; is that right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. And I think your testimony was that he told you not to make reports, correct?
- 9 A. He said, don't mark the papers.
- 10 Q. Don't mark the papers? So, instead, you'd use sticky
- 11 | notes; is that correct?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 Q. So how did you use a sticky note on a hard drive?
- 14 A. Well, I didn't use a sticky note on a hard drive. The hard
- 15 | drive, I marked the page and the line that I wanted him to look
- 16 at. The sticky note was for the text messages, which was a
- 17 stack of papers.
- 18 Q. So there are terabytes of documents on the hard drive, and
- 19 you go one document by one document and just make a note on the
- 20 document?
- 21 A. Yes. A lot of it was in a different language, too, so
- 22 | there was a lot of stuff I couldn't read.
- 23 | Q. So Manuel Recio had you review terabytes of materials in a
- 24 | different language; that's your testimony?
- 25 A. No, I didn't say that. I said a lot of the stuff on the

- 1 | hard drive was in Dutch, and I couldn't read it.
- 2 Q. To be clear, you're a narcotics cop, correct?
- 3 A. What's that?
- 4 | Q. You're a narcotics officer, correct?
- $5 \parallel A.$ Right.
- 6 Q. You're not a specialist in bribery, correct?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. You're not a specialist in fraud, correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 | Q. As part of your responsibilities, you don't review
- 11 documents for bribery, correct?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 | Q. Or fraud, correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 | Q. But Manuel Recio went to you to review these terabytes of
- 16 documents, right?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. And then you went one by one through the documents; is that
- 19 | your testimony?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. And you made, like, notations on the documents?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. And these are -- sorry, these are emails? PDFs? What are
- 24 | these things?
- 25 A. The papers or text messages?

- 1 | Q. I'm talking about the hard drive with the terabytes of --
- 2 A. Oh, they were emails, mostly.
- 3 | Q. So you make notations on electronic emails? I mean, did
- 4 you just bold them or highlight them?
- 5 A. No, I wrote the page numbers down and the line that I
- 6 wanted him to look at.
- 7 Q. Oh, so you did make reports on them?
- 8 A. I put notes of pages and line numbers.
- 9 Q. And that was put on a page, right? That was put in a
- 10 | document?
- 11 | A. Yeah.
- 12 | Q. So you wrote down the page numbers of all the documents in
- 13 | this terabytes of material, correct?
- 14 A. I wrote on paper.
- 15 | Q. You wrote on paper?
- 16 And then you gave that paper to Manuel Recio, correct?
- 17 A. Right.
- 18 | Q. How many pages did you end up having to turn over to Manuel
- 19 Recio?
- 20 A. Of notes?
- 21 | Q. Yes.
- 22 A. I don't know, like 20 pages.
- 23 \ Q. So you went through terabytes of documents, writing, by
- 24 | hand, one by one, document numbers, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 | Q. How did you figure out the document numbers?
- 2 A. They were numbered.
- 3 | Q. The documents were numbered just 1 to what?
- 4 A. They were -- they had their own little, I don't know, an
- 5 exhibit number, I don't know, some kind of number on it.
- 6 Q. And how did you know what to look for?
- 7 A. He told me to look for stuff that would support that
- 8 Johnson was not a victim of this crime.
- 9 \ Q. And this crime was what, again?
- 10 A. Bribery.
- 11 | Q. Not fraud this time?
- 12 A. Well, I believe it was fraud, too, but that's just my
- 13 opinion.
- 14 | Q. Well, that's just your opinion. So just so I understand
- 15 || correctly, was it a bribery fraud case or was it a bribery case
- 16 | but it's your opinion that it was --
- MS. GUABA: Objection.
- 18 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 19 Q. Just to be clear, you were paid when you completed the
- 20 work, right?
- 21 | A. Correct.
- 22 | Q. And it took you about four months to do the work, right?
- 23 | A. Right.
- Q. So then you started the work around when?
- MS. GUABA: Objection; this was asked.

- 1 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 2 A. February or March.
- 3 Q. Well, four months before you were paid would be the
- 4 | beginning of February, correct?
- 5 A. I don't recall the date I was paid.
- Q. But you're pretty confident it was four months before that you started the work, right?
- 8 A. The period of time that I worked on the case was about four 9 months.
- Q. So about the same time you filed that document with the Coral Gables Police Department that didn't mention anything about doing work for defense attorneys, correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- Q. So I now just want to go to a final topic, which are these
 USAA payments that you discussed.
- Now, you testified that Costanzo paid your car insurance until your loan from USAA came through; is that right?
- A. No. John was paying -- he was still paying his car loan, and he was still paying for his insurance --
- 21 | Q. Right. But once --
- 22 | A. -- while I had the car.
- 23 | Q. My apologies; I didn't mean to cut you off.
- Once the loan from USAA came through, you got your own insurance, right?

NB6KCOS6 Pagan - Cross

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And do you remember when your loan from USAA came through?
- 3 A. June '19 maybe.
- 4 \square Q. Was it maybe May of 2019?
- 5 A. It could have been; I don't know the exact date, sir.
- 6 Q. Let's publish JC 1018.
- 7 Could you please read the name at the top?
- 8 A. "Credit Profile from Experian."
- 9 \parallel Q. The name we see on here, is that you?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 Q. So then if we want to go to page 2, the bottom entry, do
- 12 | you see where it says, "USAA Federal Savings"?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 $\mid Q$. And then you see right next to it, it says 5/19?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. May 2019, right?
- 17 | A. 5/19, yes.
- 18 Q. That was your car loan, right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. And is it your testimony that you only gave Costanzo the
- 21 debit card and checkbook photos after he was paying for your
- 22 | insurance?
- 23 | A. I don't recall the date that I sent him picture.
- 24 | Q. Well, did you give him the photos of the debit card and
- 25 checkbook before or after?

Pagan - Redirect

- 1 A. I believe it was early in '19; I don't recall.
- 2 | Q. So he already had the banking information before you guys
- 3 even had any alleged agreement for him to pay your insurance,
- 4 | correct?

- A. He had a picture of the card, yeah.
- 6 Q. He also had a picture of the checkbook, correct?
- 7 A. Right.
- 8 MR. ANDREWS: One moment?
- 9 (Pause)
- 10 MR. ANDREWS: No further questions.
- 11 THE COURT: Ms. Guaba.
- 12 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 13 BY MS. GUABA:
- Q. Mr. Pagan, Mr. Andrews just asked you some questions about
- mortgages.
- 16 What does John Costanzo have to do with any of your
- 17 | mortgages?
- 18 A. He doesn't have anything to do with them.
- 19 Q. Did your mortgages have anything to do with paying bribes
- 20 to John Costanzo?
- 21 | A. No.
- 22 \parallel Q. Did your mortgages have anything to do with JEM?
- 23 | A. No.
- 24 | Q. Did those mortgages reflect all of your assets in 2019?
- 25 A. No. I had other accounts.

Pagan - Redirect

1814

1 BY MS. GUABA:

- 2 | Q. What other accounts did you have?
- 3 A. I had a Dade County savings account, I had my Chase
- 4 checking account, I had my construction rental account.
- 5 Q. Were those mortgages for different properties?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. Do you still own some of those properties?
- 8 A. I still own them all except for my primary residence which
- 9 I sold.
- 10 | Q. And did those mortgages have anything to do with leaking
- 11 confidential information to the DEA?
- 12 | A. No.
- 13 | Q. Mr. Pagan, where did the money that went to John Costanzo,
- 14 | Sr. come from?
- 15 | A. My Dade County Federal Credit Union savings account.
- 16 Q. Where did the money in your Dade County Federal Credit
- 17 Union come from?
- 18 A. It was money that 26 years ago I opened up that account,
- 19 | and every paycheck I put money in there, so twice a month money
- 20 | automatically goes in there; any tax credit or tax return that
- 21 | I get, gets automatically put in there; if I get a -- if I have
- 22 | a claim, an insurance claim, and the insurance company pays me
- 23 | money, once I fix the repairs, if there is any money, I put it
- 24 | in there; and rental income sometimes goes in there also.
- 25 | Q. And you were asked some questions about the JEM bank

Nb62Cos7 Pagan - Redirect

- 1 | accounts.
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. When did you open that bank account?
- 4 A. January -- it was early 2019.
- 5 Q. Did you receive any bribery money into that bank account?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 | Q. Did you give John any bribery money from that bank
- 8 | account?
- 9 | A. No.
- 10 | Q. When did you start JEM Solutions?
- 11 | A. January 2019.
- 12 | Q. What was the status of JEM Solutions in January 2019?
- 13 | A. I just opened the company.
- 14 | Q. And approximately how many hours were you working --
- 15 devoting to JEM in early 2019?
- 16 A. Not many. I still had my full-time job that I worked.
- 17 | Q. And when you started JEM Solutions, how many people worked
- 18 | at JEM Solutions?
- 19 A. Just me.
- 20 Q. And Mr. Pagan, are you a lawyer?
- 21 | A. No.
- 22 | Q. Are you a CPA?
- 23 | A. No.
- 24 | Q. Do you have an MBA?
- 25 A. I have a masters in science.

Nb62Cos7 Pagan - Redirect

- 1 Q. Is that an MBA?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 | Q. And have you ever worked as an officer for a corporation?
- 4 | A. No.
- 5 Q. A Fortune 500 company?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 | Q. Was JEM a solo operation?
- 8 A. Yes, when I had to teach classes, I would hire other people
- 9 to help me out.
- 10 | Q. For the most part it was just you?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And for JEM, you used your own credit card as the sole
- 13 | owner, right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. Now, you were asked some questions about communications
- 16 | with Mr. Recio. How would you communicate with Mr. Recio?
- 17 A. Mostly in person, a phone call, or a text message.
- 18 | Q. Where did you guys meet?
- 19 A. Which day are you talking about?
- 20 Q. Generally, how did you guys meet?
- 21 A. Oh, we would meet here usually in Brickell, we would go to
- 22 | a restaurant that we like, we hang out there. When Manny was
- 23 | traveling a lot, John would -- I would tell John, when you talk
- 24 | to Manny, set some lunch up when he gets back in town or set a
- 25 dinner up when he is back in town.

Pagan - Redirect

- Q. So even though you don't have those phone calls with Manny, you spoke to Manny in 2019, right?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 Q. You were asked about the Johnny Grobman case and work that
- 5 you did on the Johnny Grobman case. What was the assignment
- 6 | that Manny gave you?
- 7 A. He told me look through the e-mails and the text messages,
- 8 anything that had to deal with money or bribery or anything
- 9 that showed that he was not a victim highlighted so I can
- 10 review it to see if it's important.
- 11 | Q. And was Manny asking you to do any legal analysis on these
- 12 | documents?
- 13 | A. No.
- 14 | Q. Were you asked to write any records on these documents?
- 15 | A. No.
- 16 | Q. You were only asked to just sort of note the documents that
- 17 | sort of seemed important?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And did you know if anyone else reviewed those documents
- 20 | after you?
- 21 A. I don't know.
- 22 | Q. And why did you feel comfortable doing that work on the
- 23 Johnny Grobman case?
- 24 | A. Why did I feel comfortable?
- 25 Q. Yeah.

Pagan - Redirect

- 1 A. I don't understand the question. I'm sorry.
- 2 Q. You agreed to do the work on the Johnny Grobman case,
- 3 || right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. And did you feel like it was something that you can do?
- 6 | A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Why?
- 8 A. Why? Because it was just pointing out important things
- 9 | that turn -- that talked about certain topics.
- 10 | Q. And Mr. Pagan, you were asked about a payment that you gave
- 11 | to Susana Rueda for \$20,000?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. Why did you make that payment for John to Susana?
- 14 A. Because John was under a lot of pressure and he just -- the
- 15 | F.B.I. came to his house and I didn't want him to worry about
- 16 her asking him for money and asking him for money, so I just
- 17 paid it for him.
- 18 | Q. And what sort of things has John done for you in your
- 19 | life?
- 20 A. A lot of things as a friend. I mean, countless things.
- 21 | For my family he's done stuff. If I can't do something, he
- 22 | will do it for me. It's a long, long list.
- 23 | Q. What about during your divorce, what did he do for you
- 24 then?
- 25 A. He was constantly at my house trying to -- I was in a bad

Pagan - Recross

- place, consoling me, taking me out, you gotta get out, let's work out, let's go play football, let's go do something.
 - Q. Mr. Pagan, what is the value of all of your properties?
 - A. Today? Over a million dollars.
 - Q. Is that an estimate?
- 6 A. Yes.

3

4

- 7 MS. GUABA: No further questions.
- 8 | THE COURT: All right. Mr. Gainor?
- 9 MR. GAINOR: No questions, your Honor.
- 10 | THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Andrews.
- MR. ANDREWS: Very briefly.
- 12 | RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 13 BY MR. ANDREWS:
- 14 | Q. Mr. Pagan, do you remember being asked about where the
- 15 | \$50,000 came from?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. And do you remember that you said that it came from your
- 18 Dade County account, correct?
- 19 | A. Right.
- 20 Q. You said you had been saving up for about 26 years for that
- 21 Dade County account, correct?
- 22 A. I believe so, yeah.
- 23 | Q. So if we just want to publish Government Exhibit 401B. So
- 24 can you please read the name that we see here under
- 25 | 305-471-5080?

- A. Say it again? Read my name?
- 2 Q. Edwin Pagan.
- $3 \parallel A. III, yes.$
- 4 Q. If we take a look at the previous balance before the
- 5 | \$50,000 you had \$113,574.38, correct?
- 6 A. Yes.

1

- Q. Then you paid the \$50,000, correct?
- 8 A. Right.
- 9 Q. So you paid about 50 percent of the money out of your
- 10 | account, correct?
- 11 A. Right.
- 12 | Q. Money you had been saving for 26 years, right?
- 13 | A. Right.
- MR. ANDREWS: No further questions.
- 15 | THE COURT: Anything further?
- MS. GUABA: Nothing further.
- 17 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir. You may step
- 18 down.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.
- 20 (Witness excused)
- 21 THE COURT: And members of the jury -- can I let the
- 22 | jury go for the evening?
- MR. MUKASEY: Yes, definitely.
- 24 | THE COURT: Okay, folks. Please leave your notepads
- 25 on your chairs and have a good evening, everybody. We will

```
Nb62Cos7
      resume at 9:30 tomorrow morning. See you tomorrow. Have a
 1
 2
      good night.
 3
                (Continued on next page)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

(Jury not present)

THE COURT: Please be seated. So is the defense going to rest in the morning?

MR. MUKASEY: It's our expectation. We are going to make sure our exhibits are moved and our ducks are in a row, but that's our expectation.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. GAINOR: I mean, we will come to a final decision very early, but we anticipate resting also.

THE COURT: Okay. So I guess we have a couple of things. If anybody wants to put anything further on the record on the Rule 29 motions, you can, or I think you have made your motions. Up to you.

Then we need to go over anything else regarding the jury charge, which I think people wanted to do in the morning. Is that all right with everybody?

MR. MUKASEY: Yeah. We want to do that, obviously, before summations. Does the government still have two rebuttals?

MS. DEININGER: Yes. I think we need to discuss, but our current expectation is that we would have two very short rebuttal case witnesses.

MR. MUKASEY: I just don't want to get a flood of documents at 11:00 tonight when we are writing a summation.

MR. ANDREWS: At the risk of the pot calling the

1823

(Adjourned to Tuesday, November 7, 2023, at 9:15 a.m.)

24

1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION
2	Examination of: Page
3	NEIL ROSS
4	Direct By Ms. Young
5	Cross By Mr. Swett
6	Redirect By Ms. Young
7	Recross By Mr. Swett
8	
9	ELGIN FRANKLIN POLO
10	Direct By Ms. Young
11	Cross By Mr. Andrews
12	Redirect By Ms. Young
13	Recross By Mr. Andrews
14	
15	EDWIN PAGAN III
16	Direct By Ms. Guaba
17	Direct By Mr. Gainor
18	Cross By Mr. Andrews
19	Redirect By Ms. Guaba
20	Recross By Mr. Andrews
21	
22	GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS
23	Exhibit No. Received
24	1037, 1037A, 1037B
25	2010A

1	GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS
2	Exhibit No. Received
3	S11
4	
5	
6	DEFENDANT EXHIBITS
7	Exhibit No. Received
8	JC 742, JC 768A, JC 775A, JC 1311A, JC1316,
9	JC 1317, JC 1318
10	JC 2621
11	JC 2622
12	JC 2623
13	JC 1018
14	JC 1040
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	